

School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) Template

Instructions and requirements for completing the SPSA template may be found in the SPSA Template Instructions.

School Name	County-District-School (CDS) Code	Schoolsite Council (SSC) Approval Date	Local Board Approval Date
Alta Vista High School	43696094334736		

Purpose and Description

Briefly describe the purpose of this plan (Select from Schoolwide Program, Comprehensive Support and Improvement, Targeted Support and Improvement, or Additional Targeted Support and Improvement)

Schoolwide Program

Comprehensive Support and Improvement

Briefly describe the school's plan for effectively meeting the ESSA requirements in alignment with the Local Control and Accountability Plan and other federal, state, and local programs.

This plan is designed to support our WASC, CSI and ESSA goals

Comprehensive Needs Assessment Components

Data Analysis

Please refer to the School and Student Performance Data section where an analysis is provided.

Surveys

This section provides a description of surveys (i.e., Student, Parent, Teacher) used during the school-year, and a summary of results from the survey(s).

Classroom Observations

This section provides a description of types and frequency of classroom observations conducted during the school-year and a summary of findings.

Analysis of Current Instructional Program

The following statements are derived from the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) of 1965 and Essential Program Components (EPCs). In conjunction with the needs assessments, these categories may be used to discuss and develop critical findings that characterize current instructional practice for numerically significant subgroups as well as individual students who are:

- Not meeting performance goals
- Meeting performance goals
- · Exceeding performance goals

Discussion of each of these statements should result in succinct and focused findings based on verifiable facts. Avoid vague or general descriptions. Each successive school plan should examine the status of these findings and note progress made. Special consideration should be given to any practices, policies, or procedures found to be noncompliant through ongoing monitoring of categorical programs.

Standards, Assessment, and Accountability

Use of state and local assessments to modify instruction and improve student achievement (ESEA)

Long before the state implemented its accountability system, the district office regularly collected, analyzed and disseminated student performance data which was used as the basis for making educational and fiscal decisions, both at district and site levels. The board adopted a set of performance indicators ten years ago which have undergone minor revisions to reflect new state accountability regulations. The educational services division at the district office was and still is responsible for the collection of data and for assisting the high school principals and staff with data analysis and the preparation of a comprehensive, annual student performance report that is presented to the board each November.

The district office regularly collects, analyzes and disseminates student performance data which is used as the basis for making educational and fiscal decisions, both at district and site levels. The board has adopted a set of performance indicators that reflect State and District accountability goals as well as the WASC Action Plan goals for each site. The educational services division at the district office is responsible for the collection of data and for assisting the high school principals and staff with data analysis and the preparation of a comprehensive, annual student performance report that is presented to the board each November.

Use of data to monitor student progress on curriculum-embedded assessments and modify instruction (EPC)

Staffing and Professional Development Status of meeting requirements for highly qualified staff (ESEA) Sufficiency of credentialed teachers and teacher professional development (e.g., access to instructional materials training on SBE-adopted instructional materials) (EPC) Alignment of staff development to content standards, assessed student performance, and professional needs (ESEA) Teachers at AVHS spend six days each year in school and/or district directed professional development. Teachers are involved in the decision as to what type of staff development is needed. The decision is based on an analysis of student performance data, the WASC action plan, Model Continuation School Program and goals and objectives identified by the school's leadership team. The school has set four specific goals toward improving student achievement. Since student achievement is measured predominantly on the performance of the California Standards tests, the staff reviews all course curricula on an ongoing basis to ensure that the course content is aligned with California Content Standards in all academic areas. Ongoing instructional assistance and support for teachers (e.g., use of content experts and instructional coaches) (EPC) Teacher collaboration by grade level (kindergarten through grade eight [K-8]) and department (grades nine through twelve) (EPC) **Teaching and Learning** Alignment of curriculum, instruction, and materials to content and performance standards (ESEA) Our school curriculum is closely aligned to state standards in all core subject areas. All major departments have had the opportunity to review their curriculum and make modifications in terms of both horizontal and vertical alignment. Instructional materials are reviewed to ensure their alignment before a purchase is authorized. The Board approves all instructional materials purchases annually, and certifies that they meet state content standard requirements. The district and school closely monitor student performance. Each fall, a comprehensive analysis of students' academic achievement is presented to the School Board. All data are disaggregated to better monitor the achievement of subgroups on specific performance indicators. Our school effectively communicates to parents the alignment of curriculum to state standards. The publication and internet posting of the SARC, school newsletters and bulletins, school website and weekly progress reports all communicate vital information to parents and our community at large. Adherence to recommended instructional minutes for reading/language arts and mathematics (K–8) (EPC) Lesson pacing schedule (K–8) and master schedule flexibility for sufficient numbers of intervention courses (EPC)

Availability of standards-based instructional materials appropriate to all student groups (ESEA)
Standards-aligned textbooks and instructional materials for all students are sufficient in both quality and quantity in each subject area. The board annually reviews and certifies sufficiency of instructional materials in a public hearing. The board has declared that one of its priorities is to ensure that every student and teacher has the necessary materials needed for teaching and learning to occur at the highest level.
Use of SBE-adopted and standards-aligned instructional materials, including intervention materials, and for high school students, access to standards-aligned core courses (EPC)
Opportunity and Equal Educational Access
Services provided by the regular program that enable underperforming students to meet standards (ESEA)
Evidence-based educational practices to raise student achievement
Parental Engagement
Resources available from family, school, district, and community to assist under-achieving students (ESEA)
Involvement of parents, community representatives, classroom teachers, other school personnel, and students in secondary schools, in the planning, implementation, and evaluation of ConApp programs (5 California Code of Regulations 3932)
<u>Funding</u>
Services provided by categorical funds that enable underperforming students to meet standards (ESEA)
The student population at AVHS, by virtue of the fact that AVHS is a continuation school, is made up predominantly of underperforming students. Alta Vista High School receives a modest amount of categorical funds to enhance generous funding for its program from the district's General Fund. Title I money is used to purchase additional instructional aide time to assist students in the classrooms. Title IV funds are used to supplement counseling interventions through the community-based CHAC (Community Health Awareness Council) program. AVHS also receives a generous share of the district's Instructional Materials funds.
Fiscal support (EPC)

Stakeholder Involvement

How, when, and with whom did the school consult as part of the planning process for this SPSA/Annual Review and Update?

Involvement Process for the SPSA and Annual Review and Update

Following the LCAP Annual Review process timeline, the AVHS staff completes the SPSA and it is reviewed by the School Site Council. The school has a School Site Council that meets as needed. The annual SPSA supports the 6-year WASC goals and Model Continuation School process.

Resource Inequities

Briefly identify and describe any resource inequities identified as a result of the required needs assessment, as applicable.

We need to find a way to have academic and college and career counseling services provided to our students.

Student Enrollment Enrollment By Student Group

Student Enrollment by Subgroup											
.	Per	cent of Enrollr	ment	Number of Students							
Student Group	18-19	19-20	20-21	18-19	19-20	20-21					
American Indian	%	0%	%		0						
African American	5.06%	1.18%	2.8%	4	1	2					
Asian	2.53%	3.53%	2.8%	2	3	2					
Filipino	%	0%	%		0						
Hispanic/Latino	72.15%	72.94%	74.7%	57	62	53					
Pacific Islander	2.53%	3.53%	1.4%	2	3	1					
White	17.72%	14.12%	14.1%	14	12	10					
Multiple/No Response	%	4.71%	4.2%		4	3					
		tal Enrollment	79	85	71						

Student Enrollment Enrollment By Grade Level

Student Enrollment by Grade Level										
	Number of Students									
Grade	18-19	19-20	20-21							
Grade 10	13	18	7							
Grade 11	27	25	24							
Grade 12	39	42	40							
Total Enrollment	79	85	71							

Conclusions based on this data:

1.

Student Enrollment English Learner (EL) Enrollment

Englis	EL) Enrollm	nent					
	Num	ber of Stud	lents	Percent of Students			
Student Group	18-19	19-20	20-21	18-19	19-20	20-21	
English Learners	15	13	7	19.0%	15.3%	9.9%	
Fluent English Proficient (FEP)	37	49	48	46.8%	57.6%	67.6%	
Reclassified Fluent English Proficient (RFEP)	0	0	2	0.0%	0.0%	15.4%	

Conclusions based on this data:

^{1.} The percent of EL students has dropped while the FEP and RFEP students have increased.

CAASPP Results English Language Arts/Literacy (All Students)

	Overall Participation for All Students												
Grade	# of Students Enrolled			# of Students Tested			# of Students with			% of Enrolled Students			
Level	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	
Grade 11	37	42	37	28	28	8	28	28	8	75.7	66.7	21.6	
All Grades	37	42	37	28	28	8	28	28	8	75.7	66.7	21.6	

The "% of Enrolled Students Tested" showing in this table is not the same as "Participation Rate" for federal accountability purposes.

2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

	Overall Achievement for All Students														
Grade	Grade Mean Scale Score			% Standard			% Standard Met			% Standard Nearly			% Standard Not		
Level	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21
Grade 11	2541.	2552.	*	10.71	14.29	*	25.00	25.00	*	32.14	25.00	*	32.14	35.71	*
All Grades	N/A	N/A	N/A	10.71	14.29	*	25.00	25.00	*	32.14	25.00	*	32.14	35.71	*

2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

Reading Demonstrating understanding of literary and non-fictional texts											
Our de Level	% At	ove Stan	dard	% At o	r Near St	andard	% Below Standard				
Grade Level	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21		
Grade 11	21.43	21.43	*	53.57	39.29	*	25.00	39.29	*		
All Grades	21.43	21.43	*	53.57	39.29	*	25.00	39.29	*		

2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

Writing Producing clear and purposeful writing											
Our de Level	% A k	ove Stan	dard	% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard				
Grade Level	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21		
Grade 11	10.71	21.43	*	53.57	35.71	*	35.71	42.86	*		
All Grades	10.71	21.43	*	53.57	35.71	*	35.71	42.86	*		

2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

Listening Demonstrating effective communication skills											
	% A k	ove Stan	dard	% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard				
Grade Level	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21		
Grade 11	17.86	17.86	*	60.71	57.14	*	21.43	25.00	*		
All Grades	17.86	17.86	*	60.71	57.14	*	21.43	25.00	*		

2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

Research/Inquiry Investigating, analyzing, and presenting information											
	% At	ove Stan	dard	% At o	r Near St	andard	% Below Standard				
Grade Level	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21		
Grade 11	14.29	21.43	*	53.57	50.00	*	32.14	28.57	*		
All Grades	14.29	21.43	*	53.57	50.00	*	32.14	28.57	*		

2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

Conclusions based on this data:

1. Few students participated due to the pandemic.

CAASPP Results Mathematics (All Students)

	Overall Participation for All Students												
Grade	# of Students Enrolled			# of Students Tested			# of Students with			% of Enrolled Students			
Level	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	
Grade 11	37	42	37	28	31	7	28	31	7	75.7	73.8	18.9	
All Grades	37	42	37	28	31	7	28	31	7	75.7	73.8	18.9	

^{*} The "% of Enrolled Students Tested" showing in this table is not the same as "Participation Rate" for federal accountability purposes.

2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

				C	Overall	Achiev	ement	for All	Studer	nts					
Grade	Grade Mean Scale Score % Standard % Standard Met % Standard Nearly % Standard Not														l Not
Level			20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21
Grade 11	2442.	2471.	*	0.00	6.45	*	3.57	0.00	*	7.14	19.35	*	89.29	74.19	*
All Grades	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.00	6.45	*	3.57	0.00	*	7.14	19.35	*	89.29	74.19	*

2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

,	Applying		•	ocedures cepts and		ıres							
% Above Standard % At or Near Standard % Below Standard Grade Level													
Grade Level	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21				
Grade 11	3.57	6.45	*	3.57	12.90	*	92.86	80.65	*				
All Grades	3.57	6.45	*	3.57	12.90	*	92.86	80.65	*				

2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

Using appropriate		em Solvin I strategie					ical probl	ems						
Grade Level % Above Standard % At or Near Standard % Below Standard														
Grade Level	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21					
Grade 11	3.57	6.45	*	10.71	38.71	*	85.71	54.84	*					
All Grades	3.57	6.45	*	10.71	38.71	*	85.71	54.84	*					

2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

Demo	onstrating		_	Reasonir mathem	_	nclusions			
One de Leverl	% A k	ove Stan	dard	% At o	r Near St	andard	% Ве	elow Stan	dard
Grade Level	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21
Grade 11	3.57	6.45	*	10.71	35.48	*	85.71	58.06	*
All Grades	3.57	6.45	*	10.71	35.48	*	85.71	58.06	*

2019-20 Data: Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

Conclusions based on this data:

Few students participated in testing due to the pandemic.

ELPAC Results

		Nu	mber of	ELPAC Students		ive Asse an Scale			tudents				
Grade		Overall		Ora	al Langua	age	Writt	en Lang	uage		lumber d dents Te	-	
Level	17-18 18-19 20-21 17-18 18-19 20-21 17-18 18-19 20-21 17-18 18-19 20-21												
9	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		
10	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		
11	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	8	0	
12	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	0	
All Grades										17	13	0	

2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

		Pe	rcentaç	ge of St	tudents		all Lan ch Perf		ce Leve	el for A	II Stud	ents			
Grade	Level														
Level	17-18 18-19 20-2			17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21
11	*	*		*	*		*	*			*		*	*	
12	*	*		*	*			*			*		*	*	
All Grades	64.71	61.54		*	30.77		*	7.69			0.00		17	13	

2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

		Pe	rcentaç	ge of St	tudents		l Lang		ce Leve	el for A	II Stud	ents			
Grade	Grade Level 4 Level 3 Level 2 Level 1 Total Number of Students 17-18 18-19 20-21 18-19 20-21 17-18 18-19 20-21 17-18 18-19 20-21 17-18 18-19 20-21 17-18														
Level	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21
11	*	*		*	*			*			*		*	*	
12	*	*		*	*			*			*		*	*	
All Grades	76.47	61.54		*	38.46			0.00			0.00		17	13	

2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

		Pe	rcenta	ge of S	tudents		en Lan ch Perf		ce Leve	el for A	II Stude	ents			
Grade		Level 4	ļ		Level 3	}		Level 2	2		Level 1			al Num Studer	
Level	17-18	3 18-19 20-21 17-18 18-1 * * * *				20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21
10	*	*		*	*			*			*		*	*	
11	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
12	*	*		*	*		*	*			*		*	*	
All Grades	*	23.08		*	23.08		*	46.15		*	7.69		17	13	

2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

		Percent	age of S	tudents l		ing Dom		evel for	All Stud	ents		
Grade	Wel	I Develo	ped	Somew	vhat/Mod	lerately	E	Beginnin	g		tal Numb f Student	
Level	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21
All Grades	*	7.69		*	84.62			7.69		17	13	

2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

		Percent	age of St	tudents l		ing Doma		evel for	All Stud	ents		
Grade	of Students											-
Level	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21
All Grades	100.00	92.31			7.69			0.00		17	13	

2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

		Percent	age of S	tudents l		ng Doma in Perfo		evel for	All Stud	ents				
Grade	Level													
Level	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21		
11	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*			
12	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*			
All Grades	*	23.08		64.71	61.54		*	15.38		17	13			

2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

		Percent	age of S	tudents I		ng Doma in Perfoi		_evel for	All Stud	ents		
Grade Well Developed Somewhat/Moderately Beginning Total Number of Students												
Level	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21
All Grades	*	23.08		*	76.92			0.00		17	13	

2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

Conclusions based on this data:

1. No students were assessed due to the pandemic.

Student Population

Although both Senate Bill 98 and Assembly Bill 130 suspended the publication of state indicators on the 2020 and 2021 California School Dashboards, these bills also required the reporting of valid and reliable data that would have been included in these Dashboards.

To meet this requirement, CDE has made available the Enrollment, Graduation Rate Additional Report and the College/Career Measures Report data available. All other reports are not available for 2020 and 2021.

This section provides information about the school's student population.

2020-21 Student Population			
Total Enrollment	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	English Learners	Foster Youth
71	60.6	9.9	2.8

This is the total number of students enrolled.

This is the percent of students who are eligible for free or reduced priced meals; or have parents/guardians who did not receive a high school diploma.

This is the percent of students who are learning to communicate effectively in English, typically requiring instruction in both the English Language and in their academic courses.

This is the percent of students whose well-being is the responsibility of a court.

2019-20 Enrollment for All Students/Student Group				
Student Group Total Percentage				
English Learners	7	9.9		
Foster Youth	2	2.8		
Homeless	4	5.6		
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	43	60.6		
Students with Disabilities	10	14.1		

Enrollment by Race/Ethnicity			
Student Group Total Percentage			
African American	2	2.8	
American Indian or Alaska Native			
Asian	2	2.8	
Filipino			
Hispanic	53	74.6	
Two or More Races	3	4.2	
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	1	1.4	
White	10	14.1	

Conclusions based on this data:

1. We continue to serve a very at risk population of students.

Overall Performance

Although both Senate Bill 98 and Assembly Bill 130 suspended the publication of state indicators on the 2020 and 2021 California School Dashboards, these bills also required the reporting of valid and reliable data that would have been included in these Dashboards.

To meet this requirement, CDE has made available the Enrollment, Graduation Rate Additional Report and the College/Career Measures Report data available. All other reports are not available for 2020 and 2021, thus the most recent data (2019 Fall) is provided here.

Academic Performance Academic Engagement English Language Arts No Performance Color Mathematics No Performance Color College/Career Red Conditions & Climate Suspension Rate Yellow College/Career Red

Conclusions based on this data:

- 1. Alta Vista High School is in CSI status due to our graduation rate.
- 2. We spent the 2020-2021 school year looking into our data and developing strategies to increase the graduation rate
- 3. We have implemented the new strategies during the 2021-2022 school year.

Academic Performance English Language Arts

Although both Senate Bill 98 and Assembly Bill 130 suspended the publication of state indicators on the 2020 and 2021 California School Dashboards, these bills also required the reporting of valid and reliable data that would have been included in these Dashboards.

To meet this requirement, CDE has made available the Enrollment, Graduation Rate Additional Report and the College/Career Measures Report data available. All other reports are not available for 2020 and 2021, thus the most recent data (2019 Fall) is provided here.

The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:

Lowest Performance









Rlug

Highest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each color.

2019 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Equity Report				
Red	Orange	Yellow	Green	Blue
0	0	0	0	0

This section provides a view of Student Assessment Results and other aspects of this school's performance, specifically how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the English Language Arts assessment. This measure is based on student performance on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3–8 and grade 11.

2019 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Performance for All Students/Student Group

English Learners

No Performance Color 34.4 points below standard Increased Significantly

17

No Performance Color

Less than 11 Students - Data Not
Displayed for Privacy

6

No Performance Color

0 Students

No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy

No Performance Color
78.8 points below standard
Declined -7.7 points

No Performance Color
Less than 11 Students - Data Not
Displayed for Privacy

4

2019 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Performance by Race/Ethnicity

African American

No Performance Color

Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy

1

American Indian

No Performance Color

0 Students

Asian

No Performance Color

Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy

2

Filipino

No Performance Color

0 Students

Hispanic

No Performance Color

84.7 points below standard

Declined Significantly -27.1 points

12

Two or More Races

No Performance Color

0 Students

Pacific Islander

No Performance Color

0 Students

White

No Performance Color

Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy

2

This section provides a view of Student Assessment Results and other aspects of this school's performance, specifically how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the English Language Arts assessment. This measure is based on student performance on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3–8 and grade 11.

2019 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Data Comparisons for English Learners

Current English Learner

Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy

5

Reclassified English Learners

Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy

1

English Only

Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy

6

Conclusions based on this data:

1.

Academic Performance Mathematics

Although both Senate Bill 98 and Assembly Bill 130 suspended the publication of state indicators on the 2020 and 2021 California School Dashboards, these bills also required the reporting of valid and reliable data that would have been included in these Dashboards.

To meet this requirement, CDE has made available the Enrollment, Graduation Rate Additional Report and the College/Career Measures Report data available. All other reports are not available for 2020 and 2021, thus the most recent data (2019 Fall) is provided here.

The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:

Lowest Performance









Rlug

Highest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each color.

2019 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Equity Report				
Red	Orange	Yellow	Green	Blue
0	0	0	0	0

This section provides a view of Student Assessment Results and other aspects of this school's performance, specifically how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the Mathematics assessment. This measure is based on student performance on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3–8 and grade 11.

2019 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Performance for All Students/Student Group

No Performance Color 167.9 points below standard

Increased Significantly 19

English Learners

No Performance Color

Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy

6

Foster Youth

Homeless

No Performance Color

Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy

1

Socioeconomically Disadvantaged

No Performance Color

182.6 points below standard

Increased Significantly

Students with Disabilities

No Performance Color

Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy

4

2019 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Performance by Race/Ethnicity **Filipino African American** American Indian Asian No Performance Color No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy Not Displayed for Privacy 1 2 **Hispanic Two or More Races** Pacific Islander White No Performance Color No Performance Color 182.9 points below standard Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy Increased Significantly 3 111 5 nainta

This section provides a view of Student Assessment Results and other aspects of this school's performance, specifically how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the Mathematics assessment. This measure is based on student performance on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3–8 and grade 11.

2019 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Data Comparisons for English Learners

Current English Learner Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 5 1 7

Conclusions based on this data:

13

1.

Academic Performance English Learner Progress

Although both Senate Bill 98 and Assembly Bill 130 suspended the publication of state indicators on the 2020 and 2021 California School Dashboards, these bills also required the reporting of valid and reliable data that would have been included in these Dashboards.

To meet this requirement, CDE has made available the Enrollment, Graduation Rate Additional Report and the College/Career Measures Report data available. All other reports are not available for 2020 and 2021, thus the most recent data (2019 Fall) is provided here.

This section provides a view of the percentage of current EL students making progress towards English language proficiency or maintaining the highest level.

2019 Fall Dashboard English Learner Progress Indicator

No Performance Color 90.9 making progress towards English language proficiency Number of EL Students: 11 Performance Level: Low

This section provides a view of the percentage of current EL students who progressed at least one ELPI level, maintained ELPI level 4, maintained lower ELPI levels (i.e, levels 1, 2L, 2H, 3L, or 3H), or decreased at least one ELPI Level.

2019 Fall Dashboard Student English Language Acquisition Results			
Decreased One ELPI Level	Maintained ELPI Level 1, 2L, 2H, 3L, or 3H	Maintained ELPI Level 4	Progressed At Least One ELPI Level
9.0		54.5	36.3

Conclusions based on this data:

1.

Academic Performance College/Career Measures Only Report

Although both Senate Bill 98 and Assembly Bill 130 suspended the publication of state indicators on the 2020 and 2021 California School Dashboards, these bills also required the reporting of valid and reliable data that would have been included in these Dashboards.

To meet this requirement, CDE has made available the Enrollment, Graduation Rate Additional Report and the College/Career Measures Report data available. All other reports are not available for 2020 and 2021.

Number and Percentage of Students in the Combined Graduation Rate and/or Dashboard Alternative School Status (DASS) Graduation Rate by Student Group		
Student Group	Cohort Totals	Cohort Percent
All Students	47	100
African American	1	2.1
American Indian or Alaska Native		
Asian	2	4.3
Filipino		
Hispanic	33	70.2
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	1	2.1
White	9	19.1
Two or More Races	1	2.1
English Learners	8	17
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	30	63.8
Students with Disabilities	11	23.4
Foster Youth	2	4.3
Homeless	3	6.4

Advanced Placement Exams – Number and Percentage of Four-Year Graduation Rate Cohort Students		
Student Group	Cohort Totals	Cohort Percent
All Students	0	0
African American		
American Indian or Alaska Native		
Asian		
Filipino		
Hispanic	0	0
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander		
White		
Two or More Races		
English Learners		
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	0	0
Students with Disabilities	0	0
Foster Youth		
Homeless		

^{*} This table shows students in the four-year graduation rate cohort by student group who scored 3 or higher on at least two Advanced Placement exams.

International Baccalaureate Exams – Number and Percentage of Four-Year Graduation Rate Cohort			
Student Group	Cohort Totals	Cohort Percent	
All Students	0	0	
African American			
American Indian or Alaska Native			
Asian			
Filipino			
Hispanic	0	0	
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander			
White			
Two or More Races			
English Learners			
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	0	0	
Students with Disabilities	0	0	
Foster Youth			
Homeless			

^{*} This table shows students in the four-year graduation rate cohort by student group who scored 4 or higher on at least two International Baccalaureate Exams.

Completed at Least One Career Technical Education (CTE) Pathway – Number and Percentage of All Students		
Student Group	Cohort Totals	Cohort Percent
All Students	0	0
African American		
American Indian or Alaska Native		
Asian		
Filipino		
Hispanic	0	0
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander		
White		
Two or More Races		
English Learners		
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	0	0
Students with Disabilities	0	0
Foster Youth		
Homeless		

^{*} This table shows students in the combined graduation rate and/or DASS graduation rate by student group who completed at least one CTE Pathway with a grade of C- or better (or Pass) in the capstone course.

Completed a-g Requirements – Number and Percentage of All Students			
Student Group	Cohort Totals	Cohort Percent	
All Students	0	0	
African American			
American Indian or Alaska Native			
Asian			
Filipino			
Hispanic	0	0	
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander			
White			
Two or More Races			
English Learners			
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	0	0	
Students with Disabilities	0	0	
Foster Youth			
Homeless			

^{*} This table shows students in the combined graduation rate and/or DASS graduation rate by student group who met the University of California (UC) or California State University (CSU) a-g criteria with a grade of C or better (or Pass).

Completed a-g Requirements AND at Least One CTE Pathway – Number and Percentage of All Students		
Student Group	Cohort Totals	Cohort Percent
All Students	0	0
African American		
American Indian or Alaska Native		
Asian		
Filipino		
Hispanic	0	0
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander		
White		
Two or More Races		
English Learners		
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	0	0
Students with Disabilities	0	0
Foster Youth		
Homeless		

^{*} This table shows students in the combined graduation rate and/or DASS graduation rate by student group who met the UC or CSU a-g criteria with a grade of C or better (or Pass) AND completed at least one CTE Pathway with a grade of C- or better (or Pass) in the capstone course.

Completed College Credit Courses – Number and Percentage of All Student Students Completing One Semester, Two Quarters, or Two Trimesters of College Credit Courses			
Student Group	Number of Students	Percent of Students	
All Students	0	0	
African American			
American Indian or Alaska Native			
Asian			
Filipino			
Hispanic	0	0	
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander			
White			
Two or More Races			
English Learners			
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	0	0	
Students with Disabilities	0	0	
Foster Youth			
Homeless			

^{*} This table shows students in the combined graduation rate and/or DASS graduation rate by student group who completed Academic or CTE subject college credit courses with a grade of C- or better (or Pass).

Completed College Credit Courses – Number and Percentage of All Student Students Completing Two Semesters, Three Quarters, or Three Trimesters of College Credit Courses			
Student Group	Number of Students	Percent of Students	
All Students	0	0	
African American			
American Indian or Alaska Native			
Asian			
Filipino			
Hispanic	0	0	
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander			
White			
Two or More Races			
English Learners			
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	0	0	
Students with Disabilities	0	0	
Foster Youth			
Homeless			

^{*} This table shows students in the combined graduation rate and/or DASS graduation rate by student group who completed Academic or CTE subject college credit courses with a grade of C- or better (or Pass).

Earned the State Seal of Biliteracy – Number and	Percentage of All Stude	nts
Student Group	Cohort Totals	Cohort Percent
All Students	0	0
African American		
American Indian or Alaska Native		
Asian		
Filipino		
Hispanic	0	0
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander		
White		
Two or More Races		
English Learners		
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	0	0
Students with Disabilities	0	0
Foster Youth		
Homeless		

^{*} This table shows students in the combined graduation rate and/or DASS graduation rate by student group who earned the State Seal of Biliteracy.

Conclusions based on this data:

- 1. As a continuation high school, we do not offer AP, IB or a-g courses on our site
- 2. The college courses typically offer on site were cancelled due to the pandemic.

Academic Engagement Chronic Absenteeism

Although both Senate Bill 98 and Assembly Bill 130 suspended the publication of state indicators on the 2020 and 2021 California School Dashboards, these bills also required the reporting of valid and reliable data that would have been included in these Dashboards.

To meet this requirement, CDE has made available the Enrollment, Graduation Rate Additional Report and the College/Career Measures Report data available. All other reports are not available for 2020 and 2021, thus the most recent data (2019 Fall) is provided here.

The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:

Lowest Performance	Red	Orange	Yellow	Green	Blue	Highest Performance
		2.392				
This section provid	les number of s	tudent groups in ea	ich color.			
	201	19 Fall Dashboard	Chronic Abser	nteeism Equity	/ Report	
Red		Drange	Yellow	C	Green	Blue
		about the percent al days they were e		in kindergarte	n through grade	e 8 who are absent 10
	2019 Fall Da	ashboard Chronic	Absenteeism f	or All Student	s/Student Grou	ıp
All S	tudents	E	inglish Learne	rs	Fos	ter Youth
Hor	neless	Socioeco	nomically Disa	dvantaged	vantaged Students with Disabilitie	
	2019	Fall Dashboard C	hronic Absente	eeism by Race	e/Ethnicity	
African Ame	erican	American India	ın	Asian		Filipino
Uiononi		Two or More Box	200	Pacific Islanda		Mhita

Conclusions based on this data:

1.

Academic Engagement Graduation Rate Additional Report

Although both Senate Bill 98 and Assembly Bill 130 suspended the publication of state indicators on the 2020 and 2021 California School Dashboards, these bills also required the reporting of valid and reliable data that would have been included in these Dashboards.

To meet this requirement, CDE has made available the Enrollment, Graduation Rate Additional Report and the College/Career Measures Report data available. All other reports are not available for 2020 and 2021.

2021 Graduation Rate by Student Group				
Student Group	Number of Students in the Graduation Rate	Number of Graduates	Number of Fifth Year Graduates	Graduation Rate
All Students	47	24	0	51.1
English Learners	8		0	
Foster Youth	2		0	
Homeless	3		0	
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	30	13	0	43.3
Students with Disabilities	11	9	0	81.8
African American	1		0	
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian	2		0	
Filipino				
Hispanic	33	17	0	51.5
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	1		0	
White	9		0	
Two or More Races	1		0	

Conclusions based on this data:

- 1. Alta Vista High School is in CSI status due to our graduation rate.
- 2. We spent the 2020-2021 school year looking into our data and developing strategies to increase the graduation rate
- 3. We have implemented the new strategies during the 2021-2022 school year.

Conditions & Climate Suspension Rate

Although both Senate Bill 98 and Assembly Bill 130 suspended the publication of state indicators on the 2020 and 2021 California School Dashboards, these bills also required the reporting of valid and reliable data that would have been included in these Dashboards.

To meet this requirement, CDE has made available the Enrollment, Graduation Rate Additional Report and the College/Career Measures Report data available. All other reports are not available for 2020 and 2021, thus the most recent data (2019 Fall) is provided here.

The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:

Lowest Performance









Rlue

Highest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each color.

2019 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate Equity Report				
Red	Orange	Yellow	Green	Blue
0	1	1	0	0

This section provides information about the percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 12 who have been suspended at least once in a given school year. Students who are suspended multiple times are only counted once.

2019 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate for All Students/Student Group

All Students
Yellow
10
Declined -0.5 130

English Learners
No Performance Color
8
Declined -6.3 25

Foster Youth
No Performance Color
Less than 11 Students - Data Not 2

Homeless
No Performance Color
Less than 11 Students - Data Not 8
Less than 11 Students - Data Not

Socioeconomically Disadvantaged
Orange
10.9
Declined -0.9 92

Students with Disabilities
No Performance Color
0
Declined -7.7 29

2019 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate by Race/Ethnicity


No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data 5


American Indian


No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data

Asian

No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data 1







White		
No Performance Color		
5.3		
Declined -5.3 19		

This section provides a view of the percentage of students who were suspended.

2019 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate by Year		
2017	2018	2019
	10.5	10

Conclusions based on this data:

1.

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

LEA/LCAP Goal

Goal #4: Implement changes to the service delivery system in Special Education to improve students' academic performance and increase student placement in the Least Restrictive Environment (LRE).

Goal 1

WASC ACTION PLAN 1: By June 2023, 80% of seniors who start the year with AVHS and were MVLA or PAUSD students will graduate on time.

		4	: :: :		N I		_
ш	ne	nı	ITI	ed	N	ee	П

How can we increase our graduation rate

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
Increased graduation rate		

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

Strategy/Activity 1

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All students

Strategy/Activity

Align referral process to Ed code

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)	Source(s)
0	

Strategy/Activity 2

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All students

Strategy/Activity

Implement credit thresholds for referrals/referral process across district

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s) Source(s)

Strategy/Activity 3

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All students

Strategy/Activity

Insure all classes have credit recovery options that students successfully access and utilize

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)	Source(s)
0	

Annual Review

SPSA Year Reviewed: 2020-21

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required and this section may be deleted.

ANALYSIS

Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

We hope to have all activities implemented this year

Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

LEA/LCAP Goal

Goal #1: All students will receive high quality, 21st century instruction in Common Core and NGSS standards by highly qualified teachers. The instructional environment and overall school climate contribute to full attendance, positive behavior, and opportunities to meet individual academic, social, and emotional needs.

Goal 2

WASC ACTION PLAN 2: Improve school culture by addressing student on campus behaviors and needs that impact their success

Identified Need

We need to improve school culture

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
Reduction in suspensions	2019-2020 data	Reduction of 20%
Reduction in behavioral referrals	2019-2020 data	Reduction of 20%
Increase in attendance rates	2019-2020 data	Increase of 20%

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

Strategy/Activity 1

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All students

Strategy/Activity

Create tiered intervention flowchart & documentation based on school contract and school rules

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)	Source(s)
0	

Strategy/Activity 2

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All students

Strategy/Activity

The AVHS principal needs ongoing meetings with the comprehensive sites administrators to make sure information about AVHS is disseminated correctly

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)	Source(s)
0	

Strategy/Activity 3

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All students

Strategy/Activity

Provide interventions that enable students to be more successful on campus. These include but are not limited to the following: comprehensive intakes, counseling services, referrals to outside agencies, tiered reward systems, ASB class

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)	Source(s)
0	Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI)

Strategy/Activity 4

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All Students

Strategy/Activity

Parent outreach, including a revamped parent teacher conference model

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)	Source(s)
	Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI)

Annual Review

SPSA Year Reviewed: 2020-21

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required and this section may be deleted.

ANALYSIS

Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

We plan to implement all activities this year and in to 2022-2023

Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

LEA/LCAP Goal

Goal #1: All students will receive high quality, 21st century instruction in Common Core and NGSS standards by highly qualified teachers. The instructional environment and overall school climate contribute to full attendance, positive behavior, and opportunities to meet individual academic, social, and emotional needs.

Goal 3

WASC ACTION PLAN III: Access to an academic counselor and "...intensive guidance services to meet the special needs of pupils." (EDC § 48430)

Identified Need

AVHS has no designated staff member to provide counseling and guidance services. Through the WASC process, this need was identified to serve all students.

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
Graduation Rate (CA Dashboard)	51.1% baseline	Increased percentage of students who receive a standard high school diploma or complete their graduation requirements at an alternative school.
College/Career Indicator (CA Dashboard)	0 % baseline	Increased percentage of graduates who are placed in the "prepared" level on the College/Career Indicator

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

Strategy/Activity 1

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All Students

Strategy/Activity

Work with the district administration to hire a part-time counselor or share one from one of our traditional high schools.

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)	Source(s)
	Title I Part A: Allocation

Annual Review

SPSA Year Reviewed: 2020-21

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required and this section may be deleted.

ANALYSIS

Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

We continue to work towards getting a school counselor

Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

Budget Summary

Complete the table below. Schools may include additional information. Adjust the table as needed. The Budget Summary is required for schools funded through the ConApp, and/or that receive funds from the LEA for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI).

Budget Summary

Description	Amount
Total Funds Provided to the School Through the Consolidated Application	\$188,187
Total Federal Funds Provided to the School from the LEA for CSI	\$201,719
Total Funds Budgeted for Strategies to Meet the Goals in the SPSA	\$0.00

Other Federal, State, and Local Funds

List the additional Federal programs that the school is including in the schoolwide program. Adjust the table as needed. If the school is not operating a Title I schoolwide program this section is not applicable and may be deleted.

Federal Programs	Allocation (\$)
Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI)	\$0.00

Subtotal of additional federal funds included for this school: \$0.00

List the State and local programs that the school is including in the schoolwide program. Duplicate the table as needed.

State or Local Programs	Allocation (\$)
	\$0.00

Subtotal of state or local funds included for this school: \$0.00

Total of federal, state, and/or local funds for this school: \$0.00

School Site Council Membership

California Education Code describes the required composition of the School Site Council (SSC). The SSC shall be composed of the principal and representatives of: teachers selected by teachers at the school; other school personnel selected by other school personnel at the school; parents of pupils attending the school selected by such parents; and, in secondary schools, pupils selected by pupils attending the school. The current make-up of the SSC is as follows:

- 1 School Principal
- 1 Classroom Teachers
- 1 Other School Staff
- 1 Parent or Community Members

Name of Members Role

Bill Pierce	Principal
Helen Richardson	Parent or Community Member
Alba Garza	Other School Staff
Bonnie Michalek	Classroom Teacher

At elementary schools, the school site council must be constituted to ensure parity between (a) the principal, classroom teachers, and other school personnel, and (b) parents of students attending the school or other community members. Classroom teachers must comprise a majority of persons represented under section (a). At secondary schools there must be, in addition, equal numbers of parents or other community members selected by parents, and students. Members must be selected by their peer group.

Recommendations and Assurances

The School Site Council (SSC) recommends this school plan and proposed expenditures to the district governing board for approval and assures the board of the following:

The SSC is correctly constituted and was formed in accordance with district governing board policy and state law.

The SSC reviewed its responsibilities under state law and district governing board policies, including those board policies relating to material changes in the School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) requiring board approval.

The SSC sought and considered all recommendations from the following groups or committees before adopting this plan:

Signature

Committee or Advisory Group Name

The SSC reviewed the content requirements for school plans of programs included in this SPSA and believes all such content requirements have been met, including those found in district governing board policies and in the local educational agency plan.

This SPSA is based on a thorough analysis of student academic performance. The actions proposed herein form a sound, comprehensive, coordinated plan to reach stated school goals to improve student academic performance.

This SPSA was adopted by the SSC at a public meeting on .

Attested:

Principal, Bill Pierce on

Appendix A: Plan Requirements

Schoolwide Program Requirements

This School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) template meets the requirements of a schoolwide program plan. The requirements below are for planning reference.

A school that operates a schoolwide program and receives funds allocated through the ConApp is required to develop a SPSA. The SPSA, including proposed expenditures of funds allocated to the school through the ConApp, must be reviewed annually and updated by the SSC. The content of a SPSA must be aligned with school goals for improving student achievement.

Requirements for Development of the Plan

- I. The development of the SPSA shall include both of the following actions:
 - A. Administration of a comprehensive needs assessment that forms the basis of the school's goals contained in the SPSA.
 - 1. The comprehensive needs assessment of the entire school shall:
 - a. Include an analysis of verifiable state data, consistent with all state priorities as noted in Sections 52060 and 52066, and informed by all indicators described in Section 1111(c)(4)(B) of the federal Every Student Succeeds Act, including pupil performance against state-determined long-term goals. The school may include data voluntarily developed by districts to measure pupil outcomes (described in the Identified Need); and
 - b. Be based on academic achievement information about all students in the school, including all groups under §200.13(b)(7) and migratory children as defined in section 1309(2) of the ESEA, relative to the State's academic standards under §200.1 to—
 - Help the school understand the subjects and skills for which teaching and learning need to be improved; and
 - ii. Identify the specific academic needs of students and groups of students who are not yet achieving the State's academic standards; and
 - iii. Assess the needs of the school relative to each of the components of the schoolwide program under §200.28.
 - iv. Develop the comprehensive needs assessment with the participation of individuals who will carry out the schoolwide program plan.
 - v. Document how it conducted the needs assessment, the results it obtained, and the conclusions it drew from those results.
 - B. Identification of the process for evaluating and monitoring the implementation of the SPSA and progress towards accomplishing the goals set forth in the SPSA (described in the Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes and Annual Review and Update).

Requirements for the Plan

- II. The SPSA shall include the following:
 - A. Goals set to improve pupil outcomes, including addressing the needs of student groups as identified through the needs assessment.
 - B. Evidence-based strategies, actions, or services (described in Strategies and Activities)

- 1. A description of the strategies that the school will be implementing to address school needs, including a description of how such strategies will-
 - a. provide opportunities for all children including each of the subgroups of students to meet the challenging state academic standards
 - b. use methods and instructional strategies that:
 - i. strengthen the academic program in the school,
 - ii. increase the amount and quality of learning time, and
 - iii. provide an enriched and accelerated curriculum, which may include programs, activities, and courses necessary to provide a well-rounded education.
 - c. Address the needs of all children in the school, but particularly the needs of those at risk of not meeting the challenging State academic standards, so that all students demonstrate at least proficiency on the State's academic standards through activities which may include:
 - i. strategies to improve students' skills outside the academic subject areas;
 - ii. preparation for and awareness of opportunities for postsecondary education and the workforce;
 - iii. implementation of a schoolwide tiered model to prevent and address problem behavior;
 - iv. professional development and other activities for teachers, paraprofessionals, and other school personnel to improve instruction and use of data; and
 - v. strategies for assisting preschool children in the transition from early childhood education programs to local elementary school programs.
- C. Proposed expenditures, based on the projected resource allocation from the governing board or body of the local educational agency (may include funds allocated via the ConApp, federal funds for CSI, any other state or local funds allocated to the school), to address the findings of the needs assessment consistent with the state priorities, including identifying resource inequities, which may include a review of the LEAs budgeting, it's LCAP, and school-level budgeting, if applicable (described in Proposed Expenditures and Budget Summary). Employees of the schoolwide program may be deemed funded by a single cost objective.
- D. A description of how the school will determine if school needs have been met (described in the Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes and the Annual Review and Update).
 - 1. Annually evaluate the implementation of, and results achieved by, the schoolwide program, using data from the State's annual assessments and other indicators of academic achievement;
 - 2. Determine whether the schoolwide program has been effective in increasing the achievement of students in meeting the State's academic standards, particularly for those students who had been furthest from achieving the standards; and
 - 3. Revise the plan, as necessary, based on the results of the evaluation, to ensure continuous improvement of students in the schoolwide program.
- E. A description of how the school will ensure parental involvement in the planning, review, and improvement of the schoolwide program plan (described in Stakeholder Involvement and/or Strategies/Activities).

- F. A description of the activities the school will include to ensure that students who experience difficulty attaining proficient or advanced levels of academic achievement standards will be provided with effective, timely additional support, including measures to
 - 1. Ensure that those students' difficulties are identified on a timely basis; and
 - 2. Provide sufficient information on which to base effective assistance to those students.
- G. For an elementary school, a description of how the school will assist preschool students in the successful transition from early childhood programs to the school.
- H. A description of how the school will use resources to carry out these components (described in the Proposed Expenditures for Strategies/Activities).
- I. A description of any other activities and objectives as established by the SSC (described in the Strategies/Activities).

Authority Cited: S Title 34 of the Code of Federal Regulations (34 CFR), sections 200.25-26, and 200.29, and sections-1114(b)(7)(A)(i)-(iii) and 1118(b) of the ESEA. EC sections 6400 et. seq.

Appendix B:

Plan Requirements for School to Meet Federal School Improvement Planning Requirements

For questions or technical assistance related to meeting Federal School Improvement Planning Requirements, please contact the CDE's School Improvement and Support Office at SISO@cde.ca.gov.

Comprehensive Support and Improvement

The LEA shall partner with stakeholders (including principals and other school leaders, teachers, and parents) to locally develop and implement the CSI plan for the school to improve student outcomes, and specifically address the metrics that led to eligibility for CSI (Stakeholder Involvement).

The CSI plan shall:

- 1. Be informed by all state indicators, including student performance against state-determined long-term goals (Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Annual Review and Update, as applicable);
- Include evidence-based interventions (Strategies/Activities, Annual Review and Update, as applicable) (For resources related to evidence-based interventions, see the U.S. Department of Education's "Using Evidence to Strengthen Education Investments" at https://www2.ed.gov/policy/elsec/leg/essa/guidanceuseseinvestment.pdf);
- 3. Be based on a school-level needs assessment (Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Annual Review and Update, as applicable); and
- 4. Identify resource inequities, which may include a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting, to be addressed through implementation of the CSI plan (Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Planned Strategies/Activities; and Annual Review and Update, as applicable).

Authority Cited: Sections 1003(e)(1)(A), 1003(i), 1111(c)(4)(B), and 1111(d)(1) of the ESSA.

Targeted Support and Improvement

In partnership with stakeholders (including principals and other school leaders, teachers, and parents) the school shall develop and implement a school-level TSI plan to improve student outcomes for each subgroup of students that was the subject of identification (Stakeholder Involvement).

The TSI plan shall:

- Be informed by all state indicators, including student performance against state-determined long-term goals (Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Annual Review and Update, as applicable); and
- Include evidence-based interventions (Planned Strategies/Activities, Annual Review and Update, as applicable). (For resources related to evidence-based interventions, see the U.S. Department of Education's "Using Evidence to Strengthen Education Investments" https://www2.ed.gov/policy/elsec/leg/essa/guidanceuseseinvestment.pdf.)

Authority Cited: Sections 1003(e)(1)(B), 1003(i), 1111(c)(4)(B) and 1111(d)(2) of the ESSA.

Additional Targeted Support and Improvement

A school identified for ATSI shall:

1. Identify resource inequities, which may include a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting, which will be addressed through implementation of its TSI plan (Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Planned Strategies/Activities, and Annual Review and Update, as applicable).

Authority Cited: Sections 1003(e)(1)(B), 1003(i), 1111(c)(4)(B), and 1111(d)(2)(c) of the ESSA.

Single School Districts and Charter Schools Identified for School Improvement

Single school districts (SSDs) or charter schools that are identified for CSI, TSI, or ATSI, shall develop a SPSA that addresses the applicable requirements above as a condition of receiving funds (EC Section 64001[a] as amended by Assembly Bill [AB] 716, effective January 1, 2019).

However, a SSD or a charter school may streamline the process by combining state and federal requirements into one document which may include the local control and accountability plan (LCAP) and all federal planning requirements, provided that the combined plan is able to demonstrate that the legal requirements for each of the plans is met (EC Section 52062[a] as amended by AB 716, effective January 1, 2019).

Planning requirements for single school districts and charter schools choosing to exercise this option are available in the LCAP Instructions.

Authority Cited: EC sections 52062(a) and 64001(a), both as amended by AB 716, effective January 1, 2019.

Appendix C: Select State and Federal Programs

For a list of active programs, please see the following links:

Programs included on the Consolidated Application: https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/co/
ESSA Title I, Part A: School Improvement: https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/sw/t1/schoolsupport.asp
Available Funding: https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/fo/af/

Developed by the California Department of Education, January 2019