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# INTRODUCTION TO THE 2019 DATA BINDER 

## Dedication

Without the time and effort devoted to this project by the staff of the Educational Services Department, Grace Icasiano, Shaunie Beachum and Anika Patterson, this project would be impossible to complete. Their involvement in downloading and collecting the information, formatting the charts and graphs, and assembling the binders, is fundamental and key to this project. Collectively, they are the stewards of the data; they bring to the job intelligence, skills and an unprecedented dedication and commitment to deliver high quality services to all our stakeholders.

Without the assistance of Malthi Reddy this work could not be done either. While she serves in a different capacity, her role is critical and essential to this process. Malthi is responsible for keeping up our student database and uploading data to the state through CALPADS; this requires her to make sure that our data is clean and up to date at all times. Her work provides the foundation for the accuracy of these reports...only clean data produces accurate, reliable and valid results!

Without question, this work could not be done without Margarita Navarro's trust in our work, and her support and involvement in this process. This year for the first time, Margarita and I have split up the responsibility for the content of the binder, with Margarita taking charge of SBAC results. Aside from producing the SBAC charts, Margarita has the awesome responsibility to share these data and the findings with our stakeholders, especially those who are closest to the students, and whose work essentially determines the results we are sharing here. Collecting, charting and analyzing data is one thing, but continuous improvement in our performance results can only be ensured when the findings are used to inform changes in curriculum and instructional practices.

This year's binder is a further pared-down version of what you have been receiving in the past. We believe that too much data can easily become overwhelming to the users, hence we want to make sure that we transmit only that what we consider essential to our work, and likely to drive improvement in the performance of all students. Hence, with the input of various stakeholders, we have identified data that is considered to be critical and of high utility in the continuous improvement cycle, and have eliminated those sections that just take up space on our shelves. It is also important to note that different stakeholders require different data to do
their jobs well. Educational Services will continue to respond to data requests from stakeholders so they have the resources they need to drive improvement in performance.

We hope that you will find these data useful and as always, we would appreciate your feedback. We all know that "what gets measured gets results". This binder serves as evidence that there is much truth to this statement. We have a lot to celebrate, yet there is a lot more work to be done! Shining the spotlight on areas where we know we can do better will help us achieve stronger results in the future. It is easy in a district likes ours to overlook the gaps that exist in performance among different student groups. Collectively we do, and always have believed that our highest responsibility is to make sure all students have equal access and equal opportunity. By looking at our student outcomes through an equity lens, we recognize that some students need more support than others to achieve similar results. We are committed to giving each and every student what he/she needs to thrive at MVLA!

Last, but certainly not least, a big thank you and appreciation to our Superintendent and our Board to lead us in this quest for excellence and to value and support our desire to make data-driven decisions that focus on the well-being of students and increase the district's capacity to ensure that each and every student has the opportunity to reach his or her true potential.

I would be remiss without recognizing who is really responsible for these data. That credit goes to our incredible students who produce these results with the help and guidance of our talented and dedicated staff; our teachers, counselors, administrators, and every other employee in the MVLA family who all contribute in their own ways to the wellbeing of our students.

Brigitte Sarraf
Director of Assessment/Evaluation and Special Projects
October 2019

## A word about the process...

There are a few more changes from last year's report, which should be noted. We have moved the Analyses, Observations and Reflections from the Introduction to the front of each section, and would appreciate that any questions about a given section be directed to the person responsible for the section. This would mean that questions about SBAC should be directed to Ms. Navarro, and questions about the Indicators, the District-wide Progress Report, the California Dashboard, Advanced Placement, SAT and ACT be directed to Ms. Sarraf. Because of the timing of when these data became available to us, we are including the SAT and ACT reports in the binder, instead of sending them to the Board under separate cover.

You will find the SBAC section to be trimmed down from last year, based on agreements reached between Ms. Navarro and the Board. However, the most significant difference in format and layout, (not in content) will be in the Indicator section. We are attempting to present these data as a more useful tool for our school sites in evaluating the effectiveness of the progress they are making on their WASC Action plans. To that end, we have reformatted the indicators to take into consideration what WASC deems to be essential to determine student outcomes and to raise awareness of the ever-present opportunity gap that challenges all of us. You will notice that data are provided for the most recent six years, in keeping with the WASC six-year review cycle. Each Indicator presents data first for all students, followed by results for different subgroups. The tables and graphs have a new look, a new color scheme and most importantly, they all follow the same format.

We have also added a new section for the California Dashboard. Again, we are using a WASC-compatible format to show the data in table form as opposed to the 17 pages that can be downloaded from the state website. The credit for this ingenious invention goes to Shaunie!

We hope that these small refinements will make the data a little more accessible and user friendly and will simplify the process of isolating and illuminating the most essential findings, both in terms of our strengths as well as areas in need of improvement.

## BOARD ADOPTED CORE INDICATORS OF STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { a-g } \text { Indidictor } 2) \\
\text { Algebra II/IIH } \\
\text { (Indidicator 3) } \\
\text { AP } 3 \text { or better } \\
\text { (ndicitato 4) }
\end{gathered}
$$

Freshman GPA - 2.0+ ${ }_{\text {(Indicator 7a) }}$
Freshman GPA - 3.0+ ${ }_{\text {Undidator } 7 b}$
End-of-Year (2018-19) GPA - 3.0+ ${ }_{\text {(ndiciator re) }}$
$9^{\text {th }}$ Grade 'No Fs' ${ }^{\text {(nndicator } 8)}$
Students taking at least 1 AP/H class (ndidator ${ }^{\text {g }}$
Graduation Rates (ndiciator 10)
College Acceptance Rates ${ }_{\text {(Indicatot 12) }}$

## FINDINGS

## a-g -- Target: 85\%

- $80 \%$ of MVHS and $77 \%$ of LAHS students (Class 2019) completed a-g requirements with a C or better. The performance gap between Asian and Caucasian students compared to Latino, African Americans, and other students is significant at MVHS; while the overall percentage of students completing a-g at LAHS is a little lower than at MVHS, the gap between Caucasian and Asians and other ethnic groups is much smaller.
- AVID students and students with 504 plans are completing a-g at record numbers, while Resource students and socioeconomically disadvantaged students' completion rates are marginal at both schools; Resource students at LAHS have the lowest completion rates in this category.
- English Only, IFEP and RFEP students are doing relatively well at both schools, with RFEP students coming in lower than the other two groups. The picture for English learners is much different, at MVHS $16 \%$ are completing a-g and at LAHS, $8 \%$.
- LTEL students (long term English Learners) at both schools have a zero completion rate, while $33 \%$ of Newcomers at MVHS, and $50 \%$ at LAHS complete a-g.
- Latino reg ed, Latino RFEP, and Latino SED students have similar completion rates at both schools, with regular ed Latino students outperforming the other two groups. While the completion rates of these three groups are not alarming, there is definitely room for improvement, especially at MVHS where there is a $10 \%$ age point decline among regular ed Latino students, comparing the Class of 2018 and 2019.


## Algebra II/IIH -- Target: 95\%

- $85 \%$ of all students at MVHS, Class of 2019 and $90 \%$ at LAHS have completed Algebra II/IIH with a C or better! We are definitely moving closer to our target!
- The gap among our ethnic groups, Latino and African American students, is about 30\%age points at MVHS; at LAHS, Latino students are the only subgroup with a gap, and this 21\%age points between Caucasian and Asian.
- The gap for Resource students is larger at LAHS than at MVHS. AVID students at both schools have a $100 \%$ completion rate. The gap for socio-economically disadvantaged students is larger at MVHS than at LAHS.
- Among our Language Fluency groups, English Learners and LTELs are struggling at both schools. New Comers show a relatively strong performance at LAHS, compared to MVHS. A quick review confirmed that the ethnic composition of New Comers at LAHS is very different from MVHS.
- For our Latino subgroups, we are proud to report that $100 \%$ of our Regular Ed Latino students at MVHS completed Algebra II. The percentage at LAHS for this group is $91 \%$. Latino RFEP students show strong performance at both schools as well. Among Latino SED students, $53 \%$ completed Algebra II at MVHS, and $71 \%$ at LAHS.


## AP TEST Scores 3+ -- Target: 85\%

- LAHS broke the record with $87 \%$ of all students scoring a 3 or better; at MVHS, $82 \%$ of all students scored a 3 or better!
- The gaps among ethnic groups are evolving in interesting ways. Latino and African American students, as well as other ethnic groups combined at MVHS, show a gap of close to 30 percentage points in comparison with their Caucasian peers, albeit the AfAm group represents only 22 tests. At LAHS, Latinos are the only group with a gap of 27 points.
- 504 students are doing better at LAHS than MVHS. AVID students at both schools have the lowest percentage of passing grades among students belonging to different program subgroups. In general, the performance on this indicator is slightly better at LA than at MV.
- Subgroups in the Language Fluency category are similar for English Only and IFEP students at both schools. RFEP students are performing a little better at LAHS. There is a big discrepancy in the performance of ELs, but the numbers are so small that this information is not statistically significant. The same goes for LTELs and New Comers, whose performance is much stronger at MVHS. Again, we are only talking about less than a handful of tests.
- Both schools show a significant gap between Latino Regular Ed and Latino SED students. The number of tests representing other Latino subgroups are too small to be relevant.


## Freshman GPA 2.0 or higher -- Target: 95\%

- With $93 \%$ of $9^{\text {th }}$ grade students earning a GPA above 2.0 , both schools are close to reaching the target!
- Results are remarkably similar for different ethnic groups between our two schools, except for Af Americans, whose numbers are small at MV and very small at LAHS. There is a gap of 21 points between Caucasians and Latinos at both schools.
- Subgroups by program do not show significant discrepancies, other than more AVID students at LAHS earning a GPA of 2.0.
- Language Fluency subgroups show very similar performance results at both schools, with the only difference for EL students, who show stronger results at MVHS. Again, we have to remember, that the ELD program exists only at MVHS.
- For EL subgroups, the performance for LTELs is $61 \%$ at MV and 63 at LA. New Comers at MV are doing well; at LAHS the number of New Comers is too small to be counted.
- As one would expect, since the ELD program is at MVHS, Latino Regular Ed and Latino ELs are doing better at MV than at LA.


## Freshman GPA 3.0 or higher - Target 85\%

- Overall, $74 \%$ of Freshmen earned a GPA of 3.0 or better at MVHS, and $72 \%$ at LAHS. Caucasian and Asian students are approaching or have exceeded the target at both schools. However, the Gap for Latino and African American students is extremely wide; a 45-point gap exists for Latinos at MVHS 48 points at LAHS, comparing their performance to that of Caucasian students!
- Another shocking finding is the Gap for AVID students, which is 50 points at MVHS and 21 points at LAHS. This begs the question as to why the discrepancy between our two schools?
- Other disconcerting gaps exist for SED students on both campuses and English Learners and LTELs.


## Average GPA of 3.0 or better for the 2018-19 School Year -- Target: 85\%

- Overall, $72 \%$ of all students earned a 3.0 or better at both schools.
- The gap between Caucasian and Latino students at both schools is startling: MVHS-Caucasian 82\%/Latino 36\%. At LAHSCaucasian 82\%/Latino 39\%. Certainly, we should be able to improve this metric in the future!
- Program subgroups show interesting differences: MVHS-SDC* students 45\%/LAHS 14\%. MHS-504 students 73\%/LAHS 33\%. MVHS-AVID 42\%/LAHS 63\%. MVHS-SED 34\%/LAHS 40\%. These differences bear further investigation. (*not listed on the chart)
- For the English Fluency category we see the same phenomenon as on other indicators, where students at MV seem to be benefitting from the ELD program that the school offers. For this indicator 31\% of ELs at MVHS earn a GPA above 3.0, whereas only $14 \%$ do so at LAHS.
- For the English Learner subgroup, we find that $19 \%$ of LTELs earn a 3.0 at MVHS, and only $9 \%$ at LAHS.
- The differences between schools for Latino subgroups are interesting as well. Latino Reg Ed, MVHS 61\%; LAHS 55\%. Latino SPED, MVHS 18\%, LAHS 9\%. Latino LEP, MVHS 25\%, LAHS 7\%. Latino SED, MVHS 24\%, LAHS 33\%.


## Freshmen with NO Fs -- Target: 95\%

- Both schools are approaching our target, but both schools show a small drop in the percent of students whose end-of year record shows no Fs over last year.
- Latino students, as on other Indicators show a 20+ point gap with their Caucasian peers at both schools.
- SED students are the lowest performing group in the Program subgroup category.
- For our Language Fluency groups, again, we see the impact the ELD program has on the performance of freshmen at MVHS. The absence of the program is noticeable at LAHS, but the numbers at LA are much small than at MVHS.
- LTELs show a stronger performance on this indicator at MVHS as well.
- In keeping with the pattern that we have seen so far, Latino Regular Ed students perform similarly at both schools, but Latino ELs, who benefit from the ELD program are doing significantly better at MV.


## Students taking at least One AP/H Class -- Target: 50\%

## Note: The Indicator slide represents data separately for AP and Honors. In hindsight, this data should have been combined. The analysis reflects combined data. This matter will be fixed for future editions.

- Target has been met for all students at both schools, where $51 \%$ of students take at least 1 AP class. For LA, this is the highest percent in seven years; at MVHS, it is only 1\%age point away from 2017.
- Caucasian, Asian and Latino performance in this category is very similar, and the gap between Latinos and Caucasian is 20+ points at both schools.
- The numbers of students from Program subgroups who are taking AP classes is slightly higher at LA than at MVHS, especially noticeable for AVID students.
- No significant differences in the performance on this indicator between our two schools in the Language Fluency category.
- Again, as on other indicators, we see Newcomers at LAHS outperforming their peers at MVHS. The demographics between these two groups are very different.
- When it comes to Latino Regular Ed students, there is a significant difference in the numbers between MV and LA. At MV, 49 percent take at least one AP class; at LAHS it is $84 \%$.


## Students taking at least One Honors Class -- Target: 50\%

- In hindsight, this information would be more useful if we had combined honors and AP since the majority of students who take an AP class also take an honors class. Hence, it is not worth commenting on this indicator.


## Graduation Rates (Aeries Queries, NOT Dashboard) -- Target: 98\%

- Overall graduation rates at MVHS are $89 \%$ and $97 \%$ at LAHS. It is important to remember that MVHS runs a Mod/Severe program for SPED students, and also a post high school program which in part account for the difference in the two schools.
- There are no significant differences among our Ethnic student groups, except for Latinos: Grad rate for Latinos at MVHS runs $81 \%$ and $93 \%$ at LAHS.
- Program subgroups show a few differences: Grad rate for Resource students at MVHS is $100 \%$ and at LAHS 94\%. For 504 students, MVHS runs at $95 \%$ and LAHS at 100\%. AVID students graduate at similar rates: MVHS 97\% and LAHS 100\%. SED students show the greatest difference with $87 \%$ graduation rates at MVHS and $98 \%$ at LAHS.
- Graduation rates for English Learners is $56 \%$ at MV, and $81 \%$ at LAHS. IFEP and RFEP rates run above $90 \%$ at both schools. LTELs at MV show a rate of $72 \%$, and $79 \%$ at LAHS.

Note: These rates are vastly different from what the state posts on the Dashboard. The difference is how the rates are calculated. The state uses a formula that calculates grad rates for cohorts; our own calculations are calculated on the basis of students enrolled as seniors and based on that, the actual number who graduated.

## College Acceptance (Self-Reported)

- At MVHS, $95 \%$ of students in the graduating class of 2019 report having been accepted at college or university. This is the highest rate in the last 7 years. The same holds true for the $70 \%$ of students who are reporting their acceptance at a 4 year university.
- At LAHS, there is a $13 \%$ drop in reported acceptance rates for all colleges, from $97 \%$ in 2014 to $84 \%$ for this last graduating class. The percent for 4four-year schools is down by 7\%age points from 70\% in 2014 and 2018, to 63\% for the Class of 2019.
- For underrepresented students, there is an 11\%age point drop at MVHS for all colleges and a $14 \%$ age point drop at LAHS


## 2019

## MVLA District Accountability

## Board Adopted Indicators

Our Goal: Improve academic achievement of all students at all performance levels


## "How"

- Data Review
- Set Performance Goals
- Data-Driven Decision Making
- Collaboration
- Best Practices
- Differentiated Academic Support


## "What"

- Excellence for ALL Students
- Equitable \& Inclusive Learning Environment
- 21st Century Digital Citizenship Attention to Wellness: Identity, Safety, Growth Mindset
- A Respectful, Collaborative Culture, Embracing Diversity
- Functional, Dependable Infrastructure, Facilities \& Technology
- Hiring, Retaining \& Supporting the Best Staff
- Fiscal Solvency, Now \& for the Future


Los Altos High School Indicator Summary - 2019

| Indicator | \#2 | \#3 | \#4 | \#7a | \#7b | \#7c | \#8 | \#9a | \#9b | \#10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | a-g | Algebra II/H | AP 3+ | 9th Grade GPA 2.0+ | 9th Grade GPA 3.0+ | Average GPA Range 3.0+ | 9th Grade NO Fs | 1+ AP Class | 1+ H Class | Grad Rate (Class 2019) |
| Benchmark Goal | >85\% | >95\% | >85\% | >95\% | >95\% | >85\% | >95\% | >50\% | >50\% | >98\% |
| All Students | 77 | 90 | 87 | 93 | 72 | 72 | 89 | 51 | 40 | 97 |
| All Caucasian | 82 | 94 | 88 | 99 | 85 | 82 | 96 | 54 | 43 | 97 |
| All Asian | 90 | 97 | 90 | 100 | 91 | 90 | 98 | 67 | 52 | 99 |
| All Latino | 55 | 73 | 61 | 78 | 37 | 39 | 73 | 31 | 23 | 93 |
| All Af. Am. | 100 | 100 | 84 | 67 | 33 | 54 | 67 | 52 | 37 | 100 |
| Other | 80 | 100 | 83 | 82 | 73 |  | 82 | 30 | 33 | n/a |
| Resource | 19 | 55 | 63 | 88 | 46 | 30 | 79 | 13 | 10 | 94 |
| 504 | 100 |  | 100 |  |  | 33 |  | 61 | 28 | 100 |
| AVID | 91 | 100 | 44 | 95 | 64 | 63 | 92 | 52 | 33 | 100 |
| SED | 51 | 74 | 60 | 79 | 37 | 40 | 71 | 34 | 21 | 98 |
| English ONLY | 84 | 94 | 89 | 97 | 81 |  | 94 | 56 | 43 | n/a |
| IFEP | 92 | 98 | 93 | 99 | 90 |  | 94 | 61 | 56 | 97 |
| RFEP | 67 | 86 | 76 | 87 | 56 | 65 | 83 | 44 | 32 | 97 |
| EL (LEP) | 8 | 13 | 50 | 63 | 13 | 14 | 44 | 13 | 11 | 81 |
| LTEL | 0 | 8 | 50 | 63 | 13 | 9 | 44 |  |  | 79 |
| Newcomer | 50 | 50 | 50 |  |  | 100 |  |  |  | 100 |
| Latino Reg Ed | 76 | 91 | 79 | 87 | 50 | 55 | 83 | 84 | 30 | 87 |
| Latino EL (LEP) | 8 | 7 | 0 | 60 | 7 | 7 | 40 | 6 | 6 | 80 |
| Latino RFEP | 54 | 79 | 46 | 79 | 38 | 36 | 75 | 31 | 23 | 96 |
| Latino Resource |  |  |  |  |  | *9 |  |  |  | 87* |
| Latino SDC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | n/a |
| Latino SED | 48 | 71 | 43 | 75 | 33 | 33 | 67 | 31 | 20 | 98 |

* All Special Ed

Met or Exceeded Target
Approaching, e.g., within $3 \%$ of target

## a-g Completion

Indicator 2


## Mountain View High School

## a-g Completion

## Indicator 2






Data Source: Indicators (Aeries Query)

Mountain View High School

## a-g Completion

## Indicator 2



## Mountain View High School

## a-g Completion

## Indicator 2

\% Met a-g


|  | Class of School Year | $\begin{gathered} 2013 \\ 2012-13 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2014 \\ 2013-14 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2015 \\ 2014-15 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2016 \\ 2015-16 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2017 \\ 2016-17 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2018 \\ 2017-18 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2019 \\ \text { 2018-19 } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Latino Reg | \# Enrolled |  | 20 | 31 | 41 | 38 | 43 | 26 |
| Ed | \# Met a-g |  | 11 | 21 | 25 | 21 | 34 | 18 |
| Latino EL | \# Enrolled |  | 21 | 27 | 12 | 22 | 14 | 19 |
|  | \# Met a-g |  | 3 |  | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |
| Latino RFEP | \# Enrolled |  |  |  |  |  | 30 | 47 |
|  | \# Met a-g |  |  |  |  |  | 18 | 25 |
| Latino Resource | \# Enrolled |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \# Met a-g |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Latino SDC | \# Enrolled |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \# Met a-g |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Latino SED | \# Enrolled |  | 27 | 51 | 28 | 48 | 33 | 57 |
|  | \# Met a-g |  | 7 | 11 | 9 | 17 | 9 | 24 |

Data Source: Indicators (Aeries Query)

Los Altos High School

## a-g Completion

Indicator 2


Los Altos High School

## a-g Completion

## Indicator 2

|  |  | Class of School Year | $\begin{gathered} 2013 \\ 2012-13 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2014 \\ 2013-14 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2015 \\ 2014-15 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2016 \\ 2015-16 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2017 \\ 2016-17 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2018 \\ 2017-18 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2019 \\ 2018-19 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\pi}{c} \\ & \frac{\mathrm{O}}{\mathrm{C}} \\ & \frac{\square}{t} \end{aligned}$ | Caucasian | \# Enrolled | 186 | 185 | 183 | 235 | 192 | 198 | 217 |
|  |  | \# Met a-g | 164 | 165 | 152 | 194 | 164 | 172 | 177 |
|  | Asian | \# Enrolled | 96 | 97 | 87 | 96 | 94 | 133 | 145 |
|  |  | \# Met a-g | 92 | 89 | 84 | 92 | 86 | 127 | 130 |
|  | Latino | \# Enrolled | 99 | 92 | 91 | 114 | 129 | 144 | 128 |
|  |  | \# Met a-g | 39 | 40 | 47 | 53 | 62 | 74 | 70 |
|  | African American | \# Enrolled | 6 | 8 | 4 | 7 | 10 | 9 | 4 |
|  |  | \# Met a-g | 2 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 4 |
| $>$ | Other | \# Enrolled | 5 | 15 | 18 | 11 | 10 | 16 | 5 |
| $\infty$ |  | \# Met a-g | 5 | 11 | 12 | 9 | 8 | 12 | 4 |



|  |  | $2013$ 2012-13 | $2014$ 2013-14 | $2015$ 2014-15 | $\begin{gathered} 2016 \\ 2015-16 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2017 \\ 2016-17 \end{gathered}$ | $2018$ 2017-18 | $2019$ 2018-19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Resource | \# Enrolled | 15 | 15 | 18 | 29 | 31 | 37 | 31 |
|  | \# Met a-g | 8 | 9 | 4 | 10 | 8 | 12 | 6 |
| 504 | \# Enrolled |  |  |  |  | 32 | 43 | 1 |
|  | \# Met a-g |  |  |  |  | 27 | 37 | 1 |
| AVID | \# Enrolled | 65 | 32 | 47 | 30 | 50 | 55 | 54 |
|  | \# Met a-g | 41 | 29 | 43 | 28 | 39 | 50 | 49 |
| SED | \# Enrolled | 70 | 57 | 50 | 63 | 59 | 113 | 116 |
|  | \# Met a-g | 27 | 30 | 24 | 27 | 26 | 60 | 59 |

## Los Altos High School <br> a-g Completion

## Indicator 2

| Class of | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School Year | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | 2018-19 |
| \# Enrolled |  |  |  |  | 242 | 266 | 267 |
| \# Met a-g |  |  |  |  | 202 | 234 | 223 |
| \# Enrolled | 61 | 43 |  |  | 52 | 69 | 60 |
| \# Met a-g | 54 | 39 |  |  | 47 | 64 | 55 |
| \# Enrolled |  |  | 91 | 97 | 130 | 155 | 159 |
| \# Met a-g |  |  | 66 | 58 | 76 | 93 | 106 |
| \# Enrolled | 21 | 18 | 5 | 10 | 11 | 10 | 13 |
| \# Met a-g | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |


Data Source: Indicators (Aeries Query)



Los Altos High School

## a-g Completion

## Indicator 2

\% Met a-g


|  | Class of School Year | $\begin{gathered} 2013 \\ 2012-13 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2014 \\ 2013-14 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2015 \\ 2014-15 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2016 \\ 2015-16 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2017 \\ 2016-17 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2018 \\ 2017-18 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2019 \\ 2018-19 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Latino Reg | \# Enrolled |  | 40 | 40 | 50 | 67 | 42 | 33 |
| Ed | \# Met a-g |  | 23 | 28 | 31 | 43 | 32 | 25 |
| Latino EL | \# Enrolled |  | 17 | 5 | 10 | 10 | 8 | 12 |
|  | \# Met a-g |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Latino | \# Enrolled |  |  |  |  |  | 110 | 94 |
| RFEP | \# Met a-g |  |  |  |  |  | 56 | 51 |
| Latino | \# Enrolled |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resource | \# Met a-g |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Latino SDC | \# Enrolled |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \# Met a-g |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Latino SED | \# Enrolled |  | 40 | 41 | 53 | 44 | 91 | 91 |
|  | \# Met a-g |  | 16 | 19 | 21 | 18 | 42 | 44 |

Data Source: Indicators (Aeries Query)

Mountain View High School

## Algebra II Completion

with C or Better
Indicator 3


Data Source: Indicators (Aeries Query)

Mountain View High School

## Algebra II Completion

with C or Better
Indicator 3

|  |  | Class of School Year | $\begin{gathered} 2013 \\ 2012-13 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2014 \\ 2013-14 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2015 \\ 2014-15 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2016 \\ 2015-16 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2017 \\ 2016-17 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2018 \\ 2017-18 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2019 \\ 2018-19 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Caucasian | \# Enrolled | 227 | 237 | 220 | 218 | 213 | 213 | 208 |
|  |  | \# Compl Alg II | 200 | 206 | 201 | 199 | 191 | 196 | 191 |
|  | Asian | \# Enrolled | 86 | 105 | 102 | 112 | 97 | 117 | 124 |
|  |  | \# Compl Alg II | 77 | 91 | 96 | 110 | 88 | 111 | 119 |
|  | Latino | \# Enrolled | 70 | 69 | 96 | 90 | 101 | 96 | 109 |
|  |  | \# Compl Alg II | 37 | 18 | 44 | 50 | 53 | 58 | 68 |
|  | African American | \# Enrolled | 9 | 8 | 8 | 5 | 14 | 6 | 8 |
|  |  | \# Compl Alg II | 4 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 9 | 3 | 5 |
|  | Other | \# Enrolled | 30 | 16 | 21 | 19 | 22 | 25 | 14 |
|  |  | \# Compl Alg II | 11 | 10 | 15 | 13 | 17 | 24 | 12 |

\% Completed Alg II/IIH

\% Completed Alg II/IIH


Data Source: Indicators (Aeries Query)

Mountain View High School

## Algebra II Completion <br> with C or Better

Indicator 3

|  | Class of School Year | $\begin{gathered} 2013 \\ 2012-13 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2014 \\ 2013-14 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2015 \\ 2014-15 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2016 \\ 2015-16 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2017 \\ 2016-17 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2018 \\ 2017-18 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2019 \\ 2018-19 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English | \# Enrolled |  |  |  |  | 300 | 294 | 281 |
| Only | \# Compl Alg II |  |  |  |  | 253 | 263 | 257 |
| IFEP | \# Enrolled |  |  |  |  | 28 | 48 | 42 |
|  | \# Compl Alg II |  |  |  |  | 27 | 46 | 42 |
| RFEP | \# Enrolled |  |  | 84 | 82 | 87 | 87 | 99 |
|  | \# Compl Alg II |  |  | 64 | 61 | 66 | 77 | 87 |
| EL | \# Enrolled | 34 | 41 | 41 | 31 | 32 | 28 | 41 |
|  | \# Compl Alg II | 6 | 7 | 5 | 10 | 12 | 6 | 9 |


| Class of | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School Year | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | 2018-19 |
| \# Enrolled |  |  |  |  |  | 8 | 18 |
| Compl Alg II |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 4 |
| \# Enrolled |  |  |  |  |  | 20 | 23 |
| Compl Alg II |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 5 |

\% Completed Alg II/IIH

\% Completed Alg II/IIH


Data Source: Indicators (Aeries Query)

Mountain View High School

## Algebra II Completion <br> \section*{with C or Better}

Indicator 3

|
\% Completed Alg II/IIH


|  | School Year | $\begin{gathered} 2013 \\ 2012-13 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2014 \\ 2013-14 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2015 \\ 2014-15 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2016 \\ 2015-16 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2017 \\ 2016-17 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2018 \\ 2017-18 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2019 \\ 2018-19 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Latino Reg | \# Enrolled |  |  |  | 42 | 38 | 82 | 27 |
| Ed | \# Compl Alg II |  |  |  | 33 | 27 | 56 | 27 |
| Latino EL | \# Enrolled |  |  | 30 | 21 | 22 | 24 | 34 |
|  | \# Compl Alg II |  |  | 4 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 6 |
| Latino RFEP | \# Enrolled |  |  |  |  |  | 31 | 48 |
|  | \# Compl Alg II |  |  |  |  |  | 23 | 39 |
| Latino | \# Enrolled |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resource | \# Compl Alg II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Latino SDC | \# Enrolled |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \# Compl Alg II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Latino SED | \# Enrolled |  |  | 55 | 35 | 48 | 43 | 68 |
|  | \# Compl Alg II |  |  | 19 | 13 | 24 | 19 | 36 |

[^0]Los Altos High School

## Algebra II Completion

with C or better
Indicator 3


Los Altos High School

## Algebra II Completion <br> with C or better

Indicator 3

\% Completed Alg II/IIH

\% Completed Alg II/IIH


Data Source: Indicators (Aeries Query)

Los Altos High School

## Algebra II Completion

with C or better
Indicator 3

|  | Class of <br> School Year | $\begin{gathered} 2013 \\ 2012-13 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2014 \\ 2013-14 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2015 \\ 2014-15 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2016 \\ 2015-16 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2017 \\ 2016-17 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2018 \\ 2017-18 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2019 \\ 2018-19 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English | \# Enrolled |  |  |  |  | 242 | 272 | 269 |
| Only | \# Compl Alg II |  |  |  |  | 224 | 258 | 253 |
| IFEP | \# Enrolled |  |  |  |  | 52 | 70 | 60 |
|  | \# Compl Alg II |  |  |  |  | 51 | 66 | 59 |
| RFEP | \# Enrolled |  |  | 91 | 97 | 130 | 158 | 159 |
|  | \# Compl Alg II |  |  | 72 | 74 | 110 | 130 | 137 |
| EL | \# Enrolled | 23 | 18 | 6 | 10 | 11 | 10 | 15 |
|  | \# Compl Alg II | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 |


\% Completed Alg II/IIH

\% Completed Alg II/IIH


Data Source: Indicators (Aeries Query)

Los Altos High School

## Algebra II Completion

with C or better
Indicator 3


Data Source: Indicators (Aeries Query)

## Mountain View High School <br> AP Test Results

3+ Score
Indicator 4


| School Year | 2012-13 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 - 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# Tests | 1068 | 1247 | 1392 | 1529 | 1653 | 1647 | 1881 |
| \# 3+ Score | 952 | 1066 | 1166 | 1294 | 1363 | 1410 | 1549 |

Data Source: Indicators (Aeries Query) - Data does not match College Board

Latino Reg Ed = Latino non SpEd, SED, EL

## Mountain View High School <br> AP Test Results

3+ Score
Indicator 4

|  |  | School Year | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | 2018-19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{त}{\frac{N}{C}}$ | Caucasian | \# Tests | 600 | 661 | 702 | 771 | 844 | 770 | 856 |
|  |  | \# 3+ Score | 539 | 579 | 644 | 666 | 715 | 672 | 720 |
|  | Asian | \# Tests | 380 | 440 | 495 | 558 | 629 | 698 | 780 |
|  |  | \# 3+ Score | 339 | 385 | 467 | 502 | 539 | 626 | 694 |
|  | Latino | \# Tests | 60 | 105 | 141 | 133 | 123 | 131 | 179 |
|  |  | \# 3+ Score | 32 | 74 | 86 | 81 | 70 | 85 | 101 |
|  | African | \# Tests | 9 | 9 | 7 | 9 | 11 | 16 | 22 |
|  | American | \# 3+ Score | 5 | 5 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 10 | 13 |
| $>$ | Other | \# Tests |  | 32 |  | 58 | 46 | 32 | 44 |
| $\infty$ |  | \# 3+ Score |  | 23 |  | 39 | 34 | 17 | 21 |



|  | School Year | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | 2018-19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Resource | \# Tests | 2 | 5 | 9 | 16 | 13 | 10 | 18 |
|  | \# 3+ Score | 2 | 3 | 6 | 11 | 13 | 55 | 10 |
| 504 | \# Tests | 58 | 61 | 96 | 76 | 120 | 125 | 96 |
|  | \# 3+ Score | 48 | 56 | 73 | 58 | 95 | 108 | 80 |
| AVID | \# Tests | 58 | 61 | 88 | 91 | 72 | 71 | 112 |
|  | \# 3+ Score | 26 | 30 | 38 | 39 | 25 | 28 | 38 |
| SED | \# Tests | 59 | 47 | 97 | 114 | 97 | 120 | 177 |
|  | \# 3+ Score | 34 | 44 | 52 | 71 | 54 | 82 | 105 |



Data Source: Indicators (Aeries Query) - Data does not match College Board

[^1]
## Mountain View High School <br> AP Test Results

3+ Score
Indicator 4

|  | School Year | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | 2018-19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English | \# Tests | 732 | 860 | 964 | 1086 | 1132 | 1127 | 1255 |
| Only | \# 3+ Score | 675 | 741 | 809 | 926 | 951 | 978 | 1061 |
| IFEP | \# Tests | 135 | 157 | 156 | 215 | 241 | 268 | 298 |
|  | \# 3+ Score | 125 | 145 | 153 | 197 | 222 | 247 | 268 |
| RFEP | \# Tests | 190 | 213 | 247 | 221 | 265 | 237 | 319 |
|  | \# 3+ Score | 143 | 168 | 187 | 167 | 182 | 173 | 212 |
| EL | \# Tests | 11 | 17 | 25 | 7 | 15 | 15 | 9 |
|  | \# 3+ Score | 9 | 12 | 17 | 4 | 8 | 12 | 8 |



Data Source: Indicators (Aeries Query) - Data does not match College Board

[^2]
## Mountain View High School <br> AP Test Results

3+ Score
Indicator 4
\% 3+ Score

$\begin{array}{lllllllll}\text { School Year } & 2012-13 & 2013-14 & 2014-15 & 2015-16 & 2016-17 & 2017-18 & 2018-19\end{array}$

| Latino Reg Ed | \# Tests |  |  |  | 80 | 78 | 95 | 106 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# 3+ Score |  |  |  | 56 | 52 | 66 | 73 |
| Latino EL | \# Tests | 2 | 12 | 17 | 6 | 2 | 5 | 2 |
|  | \# 3+ Score | 1 | 9 | 10 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 2 |
| Latino RFEP | \# Tests |  |  |  |  |  | 52 | 86 |
|  | \# 3+ Score |  |  |  |  |  | 30 | 34 |
| Latino Resource | \# Tests |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \# 3+ Score |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Latino SDC | \# Tests |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \# 3+ Score |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Latino SED | \# Tests | 30 | 33 | 8 | 51 | 45 | 33 | 71 |
|  | \# 3+ Score | 14 | 21 | 5 | 23 | 18 | 18 | 27 |

Data Source: Indicators (Aeries Query) - Data does not match College Board

Note: CB changed calucation of $3+$ for the class of 2019 from computing the \%age based on \# test (2018 and before) to \# students (2019 and after)
Note: CB changed calucation of 3+ for the class of 2019 from
Other $=$ Non-Hisp Native Ame., Hawaiian/PI, + Filipino
LTEL = EL in US school $5+$ years
Newcomer = EL in US school less than 5 years
Newcomer $=$ EL in US school less than 5 y
Latino Reg Ed = Latino non SpEd, SED, EL

## Los Altos High School AP Test Results

3+ Score
Indicator 4
\% 3+ Score


| School Year | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 - 1 3}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 - 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018-19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# Tests | 1315 | 1351 | 1636 | 1642 | 1805 | 1937 | 2136 |
| \# 3+ Score | 1128 | 1127 | 1330 | 1351 | 1503 | 1680 | 1849 |

Data Source: Indicators (Aeries Query) - Data does not match College Board

Note: CB changed calucation of $3+$ for the class of 2019 from computing the \%age based on \# test (2018 and before) to \# students (2019 and after)
Other = Non-Hisp Native Ame., Hawaiian/PI, + Fillipino
Other $=$ Non-Hisp Native Ame.,
LTEL $=E L$ in US school 5 + years
Newcomer = EL in US school less than 5 years
Newcomer = EL in US school less than 5 y
Latino Reg Ed = Latino non SpEd, SED, EL

## Los Altos High School <br> AP Test Results

3+ Score
Indicator 4

|  |  | School Year | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | 2018-19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Caucasian | \# Tests | 647 | 694 | 834 | 821 | 788 | 782 | 818 |
|  |  | \# 3+ Score | 577 | 587 | 703 | 681 | 670 | 687 | 722 |
|  | Asian | \# Tests | 554 | 531 | 617 | 627 | 767 | 897 | 1072 |
|  |  | \# 3+ Score | 474 | 456 | 509 | 553 | 691 | 834 | 968 |
|  | Latino | \# Tests | 91 | 90 | 139 | 152 | 210 | 222 | 204 |
|  |  | \# 3+ Score | 60 | 65 | 88 | 88 | 117 | 132 | 124 |
|  | African | \# Tests | 1 | 5 | 7 | 16 | 16 | 9 | 19 |
|  | American | \# 3+ Score | 0 | 1 | 6 | 11 | 12 | 7 | 16 |
| $>$ | Other | \# Tests | 22 | 31 | 39 | 26 | 24 | 27 | 23 |
| $\infty$ |  | \# 3+ Score | 17 | 18 | 24 | 18 | 13 | 20 | 19 |



|  |  | School Year | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | 2018-19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{E}{\pi}$$\frac{0}{0}$$\frac{0}{2}$ | Resource | \# Tests | 7 | 13 | 13 | 15 | 8 | 8 | 16 |
|  |  | \# 3+ Score | 6 | 11 | 8 | 9 | 4 | 6 | 10 |
|  | 504 | \# Tests | 40 | 42 | 56 | 74 | 112 | 143 | 3 |
|  |  | \# 3+ Score | 35 | 30 | 37 | 52 | 91 | 115 | 3 |
|  | AVID | \# Tests | 52 | 49 | 87 | 75 | 140 | 145 | 113 |
|  |  | \# 3+ Score | 82 | 26 | 42 | 30 | 64 | 71 | 50 |
|  | SED | \# Tests | 63 | 57 | 84 | 81 | 119 | 198 | 192 |
|  |  | \# 3+ Score | 38 | 43 | 45 | 44 | 67 | 128 | 115 |



Data Source: Indicators (Aeries Query) - Data does not match College Board

[^3]
## Los Altos High School <br> AP Test Results

3+ Score
Indicator 4

|  | School Year | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | 2018-19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English | \# Tests | 810 | 866 | 1052 | 1064 | 1046 | 1078 | 1204 |
| Only | \# 3+ Score | 723 | 735 | 880 | 883 | 893 | 968 | 1073 |
| IFEP | \# Tests | 281 | 278 | 328 | 338 | 361 | 387 | 411 |
|  | \# 3+ Score | 233 | 239 | 279 | 306 | 320 | 353 | 382 |
| RFEP | \# Tests | 221 | 207 | 255 | 235 | 394 | 462 | 517 |
|  | \# 3+ Score | 170 | 153 | 170 | 158 | 286 | 349 | 392 |
| EL | \# Tests | 3 |  | 1 | 5 | 4 | 10 | 4 |
|  | \# 3+ Score | 2 |  | 1 | 4 | 4 | 10 | 2 |

Data Source: Indicators (Aeries Query) - Data does not match College Board


[^4]Los Altos High School AP Test Results

3+ Score
Indicator 4
\% 3+ Score


School Year $\begin{array}{llllllll}2012-13 & 2013-14 & 2014-15 & 2015-16 & 2016-17 & 2017-18 & 2018-19\end{array}$

| Latino Reg Ed | \# Tests |  |  |  | 93 | 115 | 91 | 97 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# 3+ Score |  |  |  | 60 | 71 | 58 | 77 |
| Latino EL | \# Tests | 3 |  | 1 | 3 |  | 3 | 1 |
|  | \# 3+ Score | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 3 | 0 |
| Latino | \# Tests |  |  |  |  |  | 161 | 125 |
| RFEP | \# 3+ Score |  |  |  |  |  | 87 | 58 |
| Latino | \# Tests |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resource | \# 3+ Score |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Latino SDC | \# Tests |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \# 3+ Score |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Latino SED | \# Tests | 36 | 34 | 6 | 34 | 95 | 130 | 105 |
|  | \# 3+ Score | 17 | 23 | 4 | 14 | 46 | 73 | 45 |

Data Source: Indicators (Aeries Query) - Data does not match College Board

[^5]Mountain View High School

## Freshmen with 2.0+ GPA

(Nonweighted GPA)
Indicator 7a


Freshmen with 2.0+ GPA
(Nonweighted GPA)
Indicator 7a




Data Source: Indicators (Aeries Query)

Freshmen with 2.0+ GPA
(Nonweighted GPA)
Indicator 7a

| Class of | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School Year | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | 2018-19 |
| \# Enrolled |  | 306 | 310 | 302 | 320 | 316 | 335 |
| \# 2.0+ |  | 289 | 289 | 286 | 301 | 306 | 322 |
| \# Enrolled | 30 | 26 | 44 | 45 | 44 | 46 | 59 |
| \# 2.0+ | 30 | 26 | 44 | 44 | 44 | 46 | 59 |
| \# Enrolled |  | 69 | 71 | 81 | 89 | 95 | 138 |
| \# 2.0+ |  | 65 | 67 | 75 | 76 | 87 | 121 |
| \# Enrolled | 57 | 37 | 36 | 48 | 34 | 39 | 39 |
| \# 2.0+ | 40 | 28 | 28 | 35 | 26 | 31 | 29 |




Data Source: Indicators (Aeries Query)

## Mountain View High School

Freshmen with 2.0+ GPA
(Nonweighted GPA)
Indicator 7a

\% 2.0+


|  | Class of School Year | $\begin{gathered} 2016 \\ 2012-13 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2017 \\ 2013-14 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2018 \\ 2014-15 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2019 \\ 2015-16 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2020 \\ 2016-17 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2021 \\ 2017-18 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2022 \\ 2018-19 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Latino Reg | \# Enrolled |  |  |  | 46 | 48 | 41 | 47 |
| Ed | \# 2.0+ |  |  |  | 42 | 41 | 39 | 43 |
| Latino EL | \# Enrolled |  | 24 | 22 | 34 | 27 | 25 | 32 |
|  | \# 2.0+ |  | 15 | 15 | 23 | 6 | 19 | 23 |
| Latino | \# Enrolled |  |  |  |  |  | 42 | 58 |
| RFEP | \# 2.0+ |  |  |  |  |  | 36 | 44 |
| Latino | \# Enrolled |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resource | \# 2.0+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Latino SDC | \# Enrolled |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \# 2.0+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Latino SED | \# Enrolled |  | 51 | 37 | 50 | 47 | 56 | 75 |
|  | \# 2.0+ |  | 35 | 29 | 41 | 23 | 45 | 51 |

Data Source: Indicators (Aeries Query)

Los Altos High School
Freshmen with 2.0+ GPA
(Nonweighted GPA)
Indicator 7a


Data Source: Indicators (Aeries Query)

Freshmen with 2.0+ GPA
(Nonweighted GPA)
Indicator 7a

|  |  | Class of School Year | $\begin{gathered} 2016 \\ 2012-13 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2017 \\ 2013-14 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2018 \\ 2014-15 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2019 \\ 2015-16 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2020 \\ 2016-17 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2021 \\ 2017-18 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2022 \\ 2018-19 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ca | \# Enrolled | 234 | 190 | 226 | 226 | 201 | 228 | 213 |
|  | Caucasian | \# 2.0+ | 230 | 189 | 220 | 220 | 197 | 226 | 211 |
|  | Asian | \# Enrolled | 91 | 91 | 135 | 146 | 163 | 172 | 166 |
|  |  | \# 2.0+ | 90 | 89 | 134 | 144 | 159 | 170 | 166 |
|  | Latino | \# Enrolled | 127 | 139 | 163 | 140 | 138 | 149 | 159 |
|  |  | \# 2.0+ | 117 | 131 | 147 | 130 | 122 | 127 | 124 |
|  | African | \# Enrolled | 8 | 11 | 10 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 6 |
|  | American | \# 2.0+ | 8 | 10 | 9 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 4 |
|  | Other | \# Enrolled | 14 | 14 | 17 | 5 | 24 | 10 | 11 |
| $\infty$ |  |  | 14 | 14 | 15 | 5 | 20 | 9 | 9 |




Data Source: Indicators (Aeries Query)

## Los Altos High School

Freshmen with 2.0+ GPA
(Nonweighted GPA)
Indicator 7a

|  | Class of School Year | $2016$ | $2017$ 2013-14 | $2018$ 2014-15 | $2019$ | $\begin{gathered} 2020 \\ 2016-17 \end{gathered}$ | $2021$ 2017-18 | $2022$ 2018-19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English | \# Enrolled |  | 241 | 295 | 279 | 291 | 294 | 286 |
| Only | \# 2.0+ |  | 234 | 283 | 272 | 279 | 292 | 276 |
| IFEP | \# Enrolled | 58 | 52 | 64 | 62 | 57 | 77 | 72 |
|  | \# 2.0+ | 58 | 52 | 63 | 62 | 54 | 75 | 71 |
| RFEP | \# Enrolled |  | 122 | 154 | 145 | 167 | 180 | 181 |
|  | \# 2.0+ |  | 118 | 145 | 138 | 156 | 160 | 157 |
| EL | \# Enrolled | 18 | 30 | 38 | 35 | 19 | 16 | 16 |
|  | \# 2.0+ | 14 | 29 | 34 | 31 | 15 | 13 | 10 |



Data Source: Indicators (Aeries Query)

Los Altos High School
Freshmen with 2.0+ GPA
(Nonweighted GPA)
Indicator 7a


Mountain View High School

## Freshmen with 3.0+ GPA

(Nonweighted GPA)
Indicator 7b


Mountain View High School
Freshmen with 3.0+ GPA
(Nonweighted GPA)
Indicator 7b

\% 3.0+

\% 3.0+

| 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |  |  |
| $\square$ Resource |  |  |  |  |  | 46 | 41 |
| $\square$ Resource |  |  |  |  |  | 46 | 41 |
| $\square 504$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 63 |
| - AVID |  |  |  |  |  | 50 | 33 |
| -SED |  |  |  |  |  | 41 | 30 |

Data Source: Indicators (Aeries Query)

Mountain View High School
Freshmen with 3.0+ GPA
(Nonweighted GPA)
Indicator 7b

| Class of School Year | $2016$ |  | $2018$ | $2019$ | $2020$ | $2021$ | $2022$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# Enrolled |  |  |  |  |  | 316 | 335 |
| \# 3.0+ |  |  |  |  |  | 242 | 276 |
| \# Enrolled |  |  |  |  |  | 46 | 59 |
| \# 3.0+ |  |  |  |  |  | 42 | 48 |
| \# Enrolled |  |  |  |  |  | 95 | 138 |
| \# 3.0+ |  |  |  |  |  | 51 | 83 |
| \# Enrolled |  |  |  |  |  | 39 | 39 |
| \# 3.0+ |  |  |  |  |  | 25 | 14 |

\% 3.0+



Data Source: Indicators (Aeries Query)

Mountain View High School
Freshmen with 3.0+ GPA
(Nonweighted GPA)
Indicator 7b
\% 3.0+


Data Source: Indicators (Aeries Query)

Los Altos High School
Freshmen with 3.0+ GPA
(Nonweighted GPA)
Indicator 7b


Los Altos High School
Freshmen with 3.0+ GPA
(Nonweighted GPA)
Indicator 7b


Los Altos High School
Freshmen with 3.0+ GPA
(Nonweighted GPA)
Indicator 7b

|  | Class of School Year | $\begin{gathered} 2016 \\ 2012-13 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2017 \\ 2013-14 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2018 \\ 2014-15 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2019 \\ 2015-16 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2020 \\ 2016-17 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2021 \\ 2017-18 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2022 \\ 2018-19 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English | \# Enrolled |  |  |  |  |  | 294 | 286 |
| Only | \# 3.0+ |  |  |  |  |  | 236 | 232 |
| IFEP | \# Enrolled |  |  |  |  |  | 77 | 72 |
|  | \# 3.0+ |  |  |  |  |  | 66 | 65 |
| RFEP | \# Enrolled |  |  |  |  |  | 180 | 181 |
|  | \# 3.0+ |  |  |  |  |  | 102 | 102 |
| EL | \# Enrolled |  |  |  |  |  | 16 | 16 |
|  | \# 3.0+ |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | 2 |


Data Source: Indicators (Aeries Query)

Los Altos High School
Freshmen with 3.0+ GPA
(Nonweighted GPA)
Indicator 7b
\% 3.0+


|  | Class of School Year | $\begin{gathered} 2016 \\ 2012-13 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2017 \\ 2013-14 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2018 \\ 2014-15 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2019 \\ 2015-16 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2020 \\ 2016-17 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2021 \\ 2017-18 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2022 \\ 2018-19 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Latino Reg | \# Enrolled |  |  |  |  |  | 49 | 54 |
| Ed | \# 3.0+ |  |  |  |  |  | 30 | 27 |
| Latino EI | \# Enrolled |  |  |  |  |  | 10 | 15 |
| Latino EL | \# 3.0+ |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 1 |
| Latino | \# Enrolled |  |  |  |  |  | 105 | 110 |
| RFEP | \# 3.0+ |  |  |  |  |  | 38 | 42 |
| Latino | \# Enrolled |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resource | \# 3.0+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tino SDC | \# Enrolled |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lamo SDC | \# 3.0+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Latino SED | \# Enrolled |  |  |  |  |  | 90 | 95 |
| Latno SED | \# 3.0+ |  |  |  |  |  | 27 | 31 |

Data Source: Indicators (Aeries Query)

Mountain View High School
EOY 3.0+ GPA (All Grade Levels)
\% 3.0+



Data Source: Indicators (Aeries Query)

## Mountain View High School

EOY 3.0+ GPA (All Grade Levels)



|  |  | School Year | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | 2018-19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Resource | \# Enrolled |  |  |  |  |  | 106 | 122 |
|  |  | \# 3.0+ |  |  |  |  |  | 33 | 39 |
|  | 504 | \# Enrolled |  |  |  |  |  | 197 | 132 |
|  |  | \# 3.0+ |  |  |  |  |  | 136 | 96 |
|  | AVID | \# Enrolled |  |  |  |  |  | 149 | 147 |
|  |  | \# 3.0+ |  |  |  |  |  | 61 | 62 |
|  | SED | \# Enrolled |  |  |  |  |  | 365 | 400 |
|  |  | \# 3.0+ |  |  |  |  |  | 122 | 137 |



Data Source: Indicators (Aeries Query)

## Mountain View High School

EOY 3.0+ GPA (All Grade Levels)




Mountain View High School
EOY 3.0+ GPA (All Grade Levels)
\% 3.0+


Data Source: Indicators (Aeries Query)

EOY 3.0+ GPA (All Grade Levels)
\% 3.0+



Data Source: Indicators (Aeries Query)

EOY 3.0+ GPA (All Grade Levels)

|  |  | School Year | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | 2018-19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\pi}{c} \\ & \frac{0}{c} \\ & \frac{1}{5} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Caucasian | \# Enrolled |  |  |  |  |  | 867 | 859 |
|  |  | \# 3.0+ |  |  |  |  |  | 705 | 705 |
|  | Asian | \# Enrolled |  |  |  |  |  | 623 | 666 |
|  |  | \# 3.0+ |  |  |  |  |  | 564 | 599 |
|  | Latino | \# Enrolled |  |  |  |  |  | 556 | 565 |
|  |  | \# 3.0+ |  |  |  |  |  | 223 | 218 |
|  | African | \# Enrolled |  |  |  |  |  | 30 | 24 |
|  | American | \# 3.0+ |  |  |  |  |  | 15 | 13 |
|  | Other | \# Enrolled |  |  |  |  |  | 54 | 49 |
| $\infty$ |  | \# 3.0+ |  |  |  |  |  | 33 | 25 |




Data Source: Indicators (Aeries Query)

EOY 3.0+ GPA (All Grade Levels)

|  | School Year | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | 2018-19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English | \# Enrolled |  |  |  |  |  | 1148 | 863 |
| Only | \# 3.0+ |  |  |  |  |  | 925 | 673 |
| IFEP | \# Enrolled \# 3.0+ |  |  |  |  |  | 268 | 279 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 240 | 250 |
| RFEP | \# Enrolled \# 3.0+ |  |  |  |  |  | 652 | 971 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 361 | 630 |
| EL | \# Enrolled\# 3.0+ |  |  |  |  |  | 62 | 50 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14 | 7 |





Data Source: Indicators (Aeries Query)

EOY 3.0+ GPA (All Grade Levels)
\% 3.0+


|  | School Year | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | 2018-19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Latino Reg | \# Enrolled |  |  |  |  |  | 181 | 174 |
| Ed | \# 3.0+ |  |  |  |  |  | 101 | 96 |
|  | \# Enrolled |  |  |  |  |  | 43 | 42 |
| Latino EL | \# 3.0+ |  |  |  |  |  | 401 | 404 |
| Latino | \# Enrolled |  |  |  |  |  | 155 | 145 |
| RFEP | \# 3.0+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Latino | \# Enrolled |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resource | \# 3.0+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Latino SDC | \# Enrolled |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Latino SDC | \# 3.0+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Latino SED | \# Enrolled |  |  |  |  |  | 334 | 364 |
| Latino SED | \# 3.0+ |  |  |  |  |  | 118 | 120 |

Data Source: Indicators (Aeries Query)

Mountain View High School
Freshmen Not Earning 1+ Fs
at the end of 1st HS year
Indicator 8


Data Source: Indicators (Aeries Query)

Note: Original indicator calculates Freshmen earning Fs
Note: Original indicator calculates Freshmen errming
Other $=$ Non-Hisp Native Ame., Hawaiian/PI,+ Filipino

Freshmen Not Earning 1+ Fs

## at the end of 1st HS year

Indicator 8

|  |  | Class of School Year | $\begin{gathered} 2016 \\ 2012-13 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2017 \\ 2013-14 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2018 \\ 2014-15 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2019 \\ 2015-16 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2020 \\ 2016-17 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2021 \\ 2017-18 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2022 \\ 2018-19 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\pi}{.0} \\ & \frac{0}{5} \\ & \frac{1}{\square} \\ & \text { m } \end{aligned}$ | Caucasian | \# Enrolled | 218 | 212 | 219 | 220 | 196 | 225 | 244 |
|  |  | \# No Fs | 206 | 210 | 211 | 216 | 187 | 217 | 236 |
|  | Asian | \# Enrolled | 106 | 92 | 119 | 120 | 142 | 138 | 151 |
|  |  | \# No Fs | 103 | 89 | 117 | 119 | 139 | 137 | 150 |
|  | Latino | \# Enrolled | 107 | 94 | 93 | 107 | 108 | 109 | 134 |
|  |  | \# No Fs | 86 | 75 | 79 | 87 | 83 | 91 | 101 |
|  | African | \# Enrolled | 7 | 15 | 4 | 12 | 16 | 4 | 16 |
|  | American | \# No Fs | 4 | 15 | 4 | 11 | 14 | 4 | 14 |
|  | Other | \# Enrolled | 19 | 25 | 26 | 17 | 25 | 20 | 26 |
|  |  | \# No Fs | 18 | 21 | 24 | 13 | 22 | 19 | 24 |

\% No Fs




Data Source: Indicators (Aeries Query)

Note: Original indicator calculates Freshmen earning Fs
Other = Non-Hisp Native Ame., Hawaiian/PI,+ Fillipino
LTEL = EL in US school $5+$ years
Newcomer = EL in US school less than 5 years
Latino Reg Ed = Latino non SpEd, SED, EL

Mountain View High School
Freshmen Not Earning 1+ Fs

## at the end of 1st HS year

Indicator 8

|  | School Year | $\begin{gathered} 2016 \\ 2012-13 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2017 \\ 2013-14 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2018 \\ 2014-15 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2019 \\ 2015-16 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2020 \\ 2016-17 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2021 \\ 2017-18 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2022 \\ 2018-19 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English | \# Enrolled |  |  | 310 | 302 | 320 | 316 | 335 |
| Only | \# No Fs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IFEP | \# Enrolled | 30 | 26 | 44 | 45 | 44 | 46 | 59 |
|  | \# No Fs | 29 | 25 | 43 | 43 | 44 | 46 | 57 |
| RFEP | \# Enrolled |  |  | 71 | 81 | 89 | 95 | 138 |
|  | \# No Fs |  |  | 67 | 71 | 76 | 86 | 119 |
| EL | \# Enrolled | 57 | 37 | 36 | 48 | 34 | 39 | 39 |
|  | \# No Fs | 46 | 26 | 29 | 39 | 28 | 33 | 25 |


| Class of |  | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School Year | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | 2018-19 |
| \# Enrolled |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18 |
| \# No Fs |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 |
| \# Enrolled |  |  |  |  |  |  | 21 |
| \# No Fs |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15 |



Data Source: Indicators (Aeries Query)

Note: Original indicator calculates Freshmen earning Fs
Note: Original indicator calculates Freshmen earning fs
Other $=$ Non-Hisp Native Ame., Hawaiian/PI,+ + Filipino LTEL = EL in US school $5+$ years
Newcomer = EL in US school less than 5 years
Latino Reg Ed = Latino non SpEd, SED, EL

Mountain View High School
Freshmen Not Earning 1+ Fs
at the end of 1st HS year
Indicator 8


Los Altos High School
Freshmen Not Earning 1+ Fs
at the end of 1st HS year
Indicator 8


Data Source: Indicators (Aeries Query)

Freshmen Not Earning 1+ Fs

## at the end of 1st HS year

Indicator 8

|  |  | Class of School Year | $\begin{gathered} 2016 \\ 2012-13 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2017 \\ 2013-14 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2018 \\ 2014-15 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2019 \\ 2015-16 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2020 \\ 2016-17 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2021 \\ 2017-18 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2022 \\ 2018-19 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Caucasian | \# Enrolled | 234 | 190 | 226 | 226 | 201 | 228 | 213 |
|  | Caucasian | \# 1+ Fs | 228 | 187 | 219 | 213 | 194 | 221 | 204 |
|  | Asian | \# Enrolled | 91 | 91 | 135 | 146 | 163 | 172 | 166 |
|  |  | \# 1+ Fs | 90 | 87 | 133 | 140 | 157 | 168 | 162 |
|  | Latino | \# Enrolled | 127 | 139 | 163 | 140 | 138 | 149 | 159 |
|  |  | \# 1+ Fs | 118 | 116 | 140 | 120 | 112 | 118 | 116 |
|  | African | \# Enrolled | 8 | 11 | 10 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 6 |
|  | American | \# 1+ Fs | 8 | 11 | 9 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 4 |
|  | Other | \# Enrolled | 14 | 14 | 17 | 5 | 24 | 10 | 11 |
|  |  | \# 1+ Fs | 14 | 12 | 15 | 5 | 19 | 9 | 9 |

\% No Fs


|  |  | Class of School Year | $\begin{gathered} 2016 \\ 2012-13 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2017 \\ 2013-14 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2018 \\ 2014-15 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2019 \\ 2015-16 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2020 \\ 2016-17 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2021 \\ 2017-18 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2022 \\ 2018-19 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \underline{E} \\ & \overline{0} \\ & \frac{0}{O} \\ & \hline 0 . \end{aligned}$ | Resource | \# Enrolled | 30 | 22 | 36 | 30 | 19 | 28 | 24 |
|  |  | \# 1+ Fs | 29 | 20 | 34 | 23 | 15 | 19 | 19 |
|  | 504 | \# Enrolled |  |  |  |  | 36 | 35 |  |
|  |  | \# 1+ Fs |  |  |  |  | 32 | 31 |  |
|  | AVID | \# Enrolled | 34 | 55 | 29 | 28 | 44 | 49 | 39 |
|  |  | \# 1+ Fs | 34 | 48 | 27 | 27 | 42 | 47 | 36 |
|  | SED | \# Enrolled | 80 | 86 | 120 | 93 | 91 | 110 | 119 |
|  |  | \# 1+ Fs | 73 | 72 | 104 | 76 | 69 | 84 | 84 |



Data Source: Indicators (Aeries Query)

Freshmen Not Earning 1+ Fs
at the end of 1st HS year
Indicator 8

|  | Class of School Year | $\begin{gathered} 2016 \\ 2012-13 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2017 \\ 2013-14 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2018 \\ 2014-15 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2019 \\ 2015-16 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2020 \\ 2016-17 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2021 \\ 2017-18 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2022 \\ 2018-19 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English | \# Enrolled |  |  | 295 | 279 | 291 | 294 | 286 |
| Only | \# 1+ Fs |  |  | 285 | 266 | 275 | 285 | 270 |
| IFEP | \# Enrolled | 58 | 52 | 64 | 62 | 57 | 77 | 72 |
|  | \# 1+ Fs | 58 | 52 | 63 | 59 | 52 | 75 | 68 |
| RFEP | \# Enrolled |  |  | 154 | 145 | 167 | 180 | 181 |
|  | \# 1+ Fs |  |  | 135 | 132 | 147 | 152 | 150 |
| EL | \# Enrolled | 18 | 30 | 38 | 35 | 19 | 16 | 16 |
|  | \# 1+ Fs | 14 | 22 | 33 | 25 | 14 | 11 | 7 |



Data Source: Indicators (Aeries Query)

Los Altos High School
Freshmen Not Earning 1+ Fs
at the end of 1st HS year
Indicator 8
\% No Fs


|  | Class of School Year | $\begin{gathered} 2016 \\ 2012-13 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2017 \\ 2013-14 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2018 \\ 2014-15 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2019 \\ 2015-16 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2020 \\ 2016-17 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2021 \\ 2017-18 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2022 \\ 2018-19 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Latino Reg | \# Enrolled |  |  |  | 90 | 51 | 49 | 54 |
| Ed | \# 1+ Fs |  |  |  | 77 | 46 | 35 | 45 |
| Latino EL | \# Enrolled \# $1+$ Fs |  |  | 29 | 28 | 12 | 10 | 15 |
|  |  |  |  | 25 | 18 | 7 | 5 | 6 |
| Latino | \# Enrolled \# $1+$ Fs |  |  |  |  |  | 105 | 110 |
| RFEP |  |  |  |  |  |  | 82 | 83 |
| Latino | \# Enrolled |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resource | \# 1+ Fs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Latino SDC | \# Enrolled \# $1+$ Fs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Latino SED | \# Enrolled \# $1+$ Fs |  |  | 92 | 83 | 75 | 90 | 95 |
|  |  |  |  | 78 | 69 | 59 | 66 | 64 |

Data Source: Indicators (Aeries Query)

## Mountain View High School

## AP Enrollment

Students with 1+ AP Class
Indicator 9a

\% 1+ AP


| School Year | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | 2017-18 | 2018-19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# Enrolled | 1852 | 1838 | 1836 | 1871 | 1912 | 1971 | 2071 |
| \# 1+ AP | 688 | 807 | 921 | 957 | 989 | 991 | 1050 |

Data Source: Indicators (Aeries Query)

## Mountain View High School

## AP Enrollment

Students with 1+ AP Class
Indicator 9a


\% 1+ AP


Data Source: Indicators (Aeries Query)

## Mountain View High School

## AP Enrollment

Students with 1+ AP Class
Indicator 9a

|  | School Year | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | 2018-19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English | \# Enrolled |  |  |  | 1212 | 1250 | 1272 | 1293 |
| Only | \# 1+ AP |  |  |  | 676 | 672 | 679 | 711 |
| IFEP | \# Enrolled |  |  |  | 152 | 163 | 179 | 190 |
|  | \# 1+ AP |  |  |  | 97 | 115 | 116 | 124 |
| RFEP | \# Enrolled |  |  |  | 324 | 338 | 362 | 416 |
|  | \# 1+ AP |  |  |  | 152 | 185 | 180 | 193 |
| EL | \# Enrolled | 234 | 216 | 192 | 183 | 161 | 158 | 172 |
|  | \# 1+ AP | 15 | 27 | 40 | 32 | 17 | 16 | 22 |



Data Source: Indicators (Aeries Query)

## Mountain View High School

## AP Enrollment

Students with 1+ AP Class
Indicator 9a
\% 1+ AP


Data Source: Indicators (Aeries Query)

Los Altos High School
AP Enrollment
Students with 1+ AP Class
Indicator 9a

\% 1+ AP


| School Year | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | 2018-19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# Enrolled | 1729 | 1784 | 1919 | 2044 | 2091 | 2239 | 2232 |
| \# 1+ AP | 661 | 754 | 792 | 864 | 948 | 1093 | 1143 |

Data Source: Indicators (Aeries Query)

|  |  | School Year | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | 2018-19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T | Caucasian | \# Enrolled | 828 | 838 | 866 | 912 | 867 | 906 | 886 |
|  | Ca | \# 1+ AP | 356 | 410 | 413 | 450 | 445 | 458 | 481 |
|  | Asian | \# Enrolled | 384 | 374 | 422 | 482 | 546 | 632 | 672 |
|  |  | \# 1+ AP | 219 | 234 | 236 | 273 | 310 | 385 | 447 |
|  | Latino | \# Enrolled | 431 | 474 | 533 | 572 | 587 | 611 | 590 |
|  |  | \# 1+ AP | 66 | 86 | 113 | 116 | 163 | 215 | 184 |
| ㄷ | African | \# Enrolled | 30 | 34 | 34 | 32 | 34 | 32 | 27 |
| 焐 | American | \# 1+ AP | 5 | 4 | 5 | 10 | 12 | 10 | 14 |
|  | Other | \# Enrolled | 56 | 64 | 64 | 46 | 57 | 58 | 57 |
| $\infty$ |  | \# 1+ AP | 15 | 20 | 25 | 15 | 18 | 25 | 17 |



|  | School Year | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | 2018-19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Resource | \# Enrolled | 72 | 86 | 99 | 120 | 114 | 116 | 115 |
|  | \# 1+ AP | 3 | 11 | 13 | 16 | 22 | 15 | 15 |
| 504 | \# Enrolled |  |  |  | 129 | 165 | 175 | 158 |
|  | \# 1+ AP |  |  |  | 57 | 70 | 95 | 97 |
| AVID | \# Enrolled | 201 | 184 | 193 | 163 | 225 | 155 | 212 |
|  | \# 1+ AP | 62 | 52 | 76 | 57 | 123 | 124 | 110 |
| SED | \# Enrolled | 269 | 289 | 370 | 296 | 324 | 433 | 391 |
|  | \# 1+ AP | 49 | 44 | 71 | 50 | 76 | 169 | 131 |


Data Source: Indicators (Aeries Query)

|  | School Year | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | 2018-19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English | \# Enrolled |  |  |  | 1149 | 1657 | 1188 | 1197 |
| Only | \# 1+ AP |  |  |  | 566 | 566 | 617 | 669 |
| IFEP | \# Enrolled |  |  |  | 238 | 243 | 277 | 271 |
|  | \# 1+ AP |  |  |  | 143 | 151 | 160 | 165 |
| RFEP | \# Enrolled |  |  |  | 524 | 59 | 673 | 684 |
|  | \# 1+ AP |  |  |  | 147 | 219 | 303 | 299 |
| EL | \# Enrolled | 96 | 71 | 107 | 133 | 132 | 101 | 80 |
|  | \# 1+ AP | 5 | 3 | 4 | 8 | 12 | 13 | 10 |

Data Source: Indicators (Aeries Query)


Los Altos High School
AP Enrollment
Students with 1+ AP Class
Indicator 9a
\% 1+ AP


|  | School Year | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | 2018-19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Latino Reg | \# Enrolled |  |  |  | 212 | 247 | 220 | 227 |
| Ed | \# 1+ AP |  |  |  | 61 | 98 | 87 | 84 |
| Latino EL | \# Enrolled |  |  |  | 81 | 100 | 73 | 54 |
|  | \# 1+ AP |  |  |  | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Latino | \# Enrolled |  |  |  |  |  |  | 412 |
| RFEP | \# 1+ AP |  |  |  |  |  |  | 129 |
| Latino | \# Enrolled |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resource | \# 1+ AP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Latino SDC | \# Enrolled |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \# 1+ AP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Latino SED | \# Enrolled |  |  |  | 280 | 266 | 346 | 313 |
|  | \# 1+ AP |  |  |  | 39 | 59 | 128 | 98 |

Data Source: Indicators (Aeries Query)

## Mountain View High School <br> Honors Enrollment

Students with 1+ Honors Class Indicator 9b
\% 1+ Honors


School Year |  | $2012-13$ | $2013-14$ | $2014-15$ | $2015-16$ | $2016-17$ | $2017-18$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2018-19$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

| All Enrolled | 1852 | 1838 | 1836 | 1871 | 1912 | 1971 | 2071 |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Data Source: Indicators (Aeries Query)

## Mountain View High School

Honors Enrollment

## Students with 1+ Honors Class

Indicator 9b

|  |  | School Year | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | 2018-19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\pi}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{c} \\ & \frac{1}{\square} \\ & \hline 1 \end{aligned}$ | Caucasian | \# Enrolled | 952 | 910 | 880 | 885 | 856 | 870 | 892 |
|  |  | \# 1+ Honors | 455 | 429 | 416 | 440 | 377 | 406 | 449 |
|  | Asian | \# Enrolled | 402 | 411 | 428 | 451 | 488 | 535 | 562 |
|  |  | \# 1+ Honors | 229 | 226 | 241 | 254 | 281 | 309 | 328 |
|  | Latino | \# Enrolled | 372 | 384 | 397 | 405 | 424 | 438 | 481 |
|  |  | \# 1+ Honors | 80 | 111 | 102 | 98 | 102 | 115 | 134 |
|  | African | \# Enrolled | 34 | 44 | 35 | 35 | 50 | 40 | 48 |
|  | American | \# 1+ Honors | 10 | 11 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 14 | 15 |
|  | Other | \# Enrolled | 92 | 89 | 96 | 95 | 94 | 88 | 88 |
| $\infty$ |  | \# 1+ Honors | 22 | 34 | 29 | 30 | 20 | 20 | 33 |



Data Source: Indicators (Aeries Query)

## Mountain View High School <br> Honors Enrollment

## Students with 1+ Honors Class

Indicator 9b

|  | School Year | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | 2018-19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English | \# Enrolled |  |  |  | 1212 | 1250 | 1272 | 1293 |
| Only | \# 1+ Honors |  |  |  | 595 | 531 | 602 | 647 |
| IFEP | \# Enrolled |  |  |  | 152 | 163 | 179 | 190 |
|  | \# 1+ Honors |  |  |  | 98 | 104 | 105 | 109 |
| RFEP | \# Enrolled |  |  |  | 324 | 338 | 362 | 416 |
|  | \# 1+ Honors |  |  |  | 119 | 135 | 129 | 185 |
| EL | \# Enrolled | 234 | 216 | 192 | 183 | 161 | 158 | 172 |
|  | \# 1+ Honors | 20 | 33 | 26 | 18 | 19 | 28 | 18 |

Data Source: Indicators (Aeries Query)



## Mountain View High School <br> Honors Enrollment

Students with 1+ Honors Class Indicator 9b
\% 1+ Honors


| School Year | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | 2018-19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# Enrolled |  |  |  | 157 | 169 | 183 | 176 |
| \# 1+ Honors |  |  |  | 47 | 68 | 69 | 76 |
| \# Enrolled |  |  |  | 104 | 117 | 117 | 134 |
| \# 1+ Honors |  |  |  | 11 | 13 | 20 | 11 |
| \# Enrolled |  |  |  |  |  |  | 183 |
| \# 1+ Honors |  |  |  |  |  |  | 66 |
| \# Enrolled |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# 1+ Honors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# Enrolled |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# 1+ Honors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# Enrolled |  |  |  | 279 | 175 | 204 | 238 |
| \# $1+$ Honors |  |  |  | 43 | 29 | 40 | 54 |

Data Source: Indicators (Aeries Query)

Los Altos High School
Honors Enrollment
Students with 1+ Honors Class
Indicator 9b

\% 1+ Honors


| School Year 2012-13 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | 2018-19 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\#$ | Enrle | 1720 | 1784 | 1019 | 2044 | 2001 | 2239 |

Data Source: Indicators (Aeries Query)

## Los Altos High School

## Honors Enrollment

## Students with $1+$ Honors Class

Indicator 9b

|  |  | School Year | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | 2018-19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\pi}{c} \\ & \frac{\mathrm{O}}{\frac{1}{c}} \\ & \frac{\square}{t} \end{aligned}$ | Caucasian | \# Enrolled | 828 | 838 | 866 | 912 | 867 | 906 | 886 |
|  |  | \# $1+$ Honors | 306 | 358 | 353 | 373 | 361 | 401 | 385 |
|  | Asian | \# Enrolled | 384 | 374 | 422 | 482 | 546 | 632 | 672 |
|  |  | \# 1+ Honors | 178 | 191 | 235 | 289 | 314 | 354 | 352 |
|  | Latino | \# Enrolled | 431 | 474 | 533 | 572 | 587 | 611 | 590 |
|  |  | \# 1+ Honors | 77 | 79 | 126 | 144 | 125 | 110 | 136 |
|  | African | \# Enrolled | 30 | 34 | 34 | 32 | 34 | 32 | 27 |
|  | American | \# 1+ Honors | 4 | 6 | 10 | 8 | 6 | 9 | 10 |
| $\gtrless$ | Other | \# Enrolled | 56 | 64 | 64 | 46 | 57 | 58 | 57 |
| $\infty$ |  | \# 1+ Honors | 17 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 14 | 17 | 19 |



|  | School Year | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | 2018-19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Resource | \# Enrolled | 72 | 86 | 99 | 120 | 114 | 116 | 115 |
|  | \# 1+ Honors | 4 | 4 | 11 | 10 | 5 | 8 | 11 |
| 504 | \# Enrolled |  |  |  | 129 | 165 | 175 | 158 |
|  | \# 1+ Honors |  |  |  | 38 | 58 | 60 | 45 |
| AVID | \# Enrolled | 201 | 184 | 193 | 163 | 225 | 155 | 212 |
|  | \# 1+ Honors | 45 | 41 | 50 | 40 | 62 | 35 | 70 |
| SED | \# Enrolled |  | 289 | 370 | 296 | 324 | 433 | 391 |
|  | \# 1+ Honors |  | 45 | 73 | 69 | 66 | 65 | 84 |



## Los Altos High School <br> Honors Enrollment

## Students with 1+ Honors Class

Indicator 9b

|  | School Year | $2-13$ | 3-1 | 2014-1 | 015-16 | 016-17 | 2017-1 | 018-1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English Only | \# Enrolled |  |  |  | 1149 | 1657 | 1188 | 1197 |
|  | \# 1+ Honors |  |  |  | 487 | 475 | 538 | 520 |
| IFEP | \# Enrolled |  |  |  | 238 | 243 | 277 | 271 |
|  | \# 1+ Honors |  |  |  | 134 | 133 | 142 | 153 |
| RFEP | \# Enrolled |  |  |  | 524 | 59 | 673 | 684 |
|  | \# 1+ Honors |  |  |  | 182 | 193 | 196 | 220 |
| EL | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \# Enrolled } \\ \text { \# } 1+\text { Honors } \end{array}$ | 96 | 71 | 107 | 133 | 132 | 101 | 80 |
|  |  | 10 | 4 | 6 | 22 | 19 | 15 | 9 |

Data Source: Indicators (Aeries Query)


## Los Altos High School <br> Honors Enrollment

\% 1+ Honors


|  | School Year | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | 2018-19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Latino Reg | \# Enrolled |  |  |  | 212 | 247 | 220 | 227 |
| Ed | \# 1+ Honors |  |  |  | 68 | 68 | 64 | 69 |
| Latino EL | \# Enrolled |  |  |  | 81 | 100 | 73 | 54 |
|  | \# 1+ Honors |  |  |  | 8 | 7 | 6 | 3 |
| Latino | \# Enrolled |  |  |  |  |  |  | 412 |
| RFEP | \# 1+ Honors |  |  |  |  |  |  | 95 |
| Latino | \# Enrolled |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resource | \# 1+ Honors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Latino SDC | \# Enrolled |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \# 1+ Honors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Latino SED | \# Enrolled |  |  |  | 280 | 266 | 346 | 313 |
|  | \# 1+ Honors |  |  |  | 55 | 55 | 43 | 62 |

Data Source: Indicators (Aeries Query)

Mountain View High School
Graduation Rates
Seniors who Graduated
Indicator 10


## Graduation Rates

## Seniors who Graduated

Indicator 10



|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Class of } \\ \text { School Year } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2013 \\ 2012-13 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2014 \\ 2013-14 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2015 \\ 2014-15 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2016 \\ 2015-16 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2017 \\ 2016-17 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2018 \\ 2017-18 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2019 \\ 2018-19 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \frac{E}{\overline{(1}} \\ \frac{0}{\circ} \\ \frac{0}{2} \end{gathered}$ | Resource | \# Enrolled | 18 | 17 | 21 | 28 | 33 | 17 | 32 |
|  |  | \# Grads | 18 | 17 | 21 | 28 | 31 | 16 | 32 |
|  | 504 | \# Enrolled |  |  |  |  |  | 54 | 44 |
|  |  | \# Grads |  |  |  |  |  | 48 | 42 |
|  | AVID | \# Enrolled | 25 | 22 | 22 | 33 | 27 | 29 | 32 |
|  |  | \# Grads | 25 | 22 | 21 | 33 | 27 | 29 | 31 |
|  | SED | \# Enrolled | 81 | 50 | 75 | 58 | 70 | 72 | 102 |
|  |  | \# Grads | 60 | 44 | 70 | 51 | 61 | 61 | 89 |



Data Source: Indicators (Aeries Query)

Mountain View High School
Graduation Rates
Seniors who Graduated
Indicator 10

|  | Class of School Year | $\begin{gathered} 2013 \\ 2012-13 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2014 \\ 2013-14 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2015 \\ 2014-15 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2016 \\ 2015-16 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2017 \\ 2016-17 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2018 \\ 2017-18 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2019 \\ 2018-19 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English | \# Enrolled |  |  |  |  | 300 | 294 | 286 |
| Only | \# Grads |  |  |  |  | 284 | 285 | 264 |
| IFEP | \# Enrolled | 38 | 30 |  |  | 28 | 48 | 44 |
|  | \# Grads | 38 | 30 |  |  | 28 | 46 | 41 |
| RFEP | \# Enrolled |  |  | 84 | 82 | 88 | 87 | 100 |
|  | \# Grads |  |  | 82 | 80 | 86 | 86 | 95 |
| EL | \# Enrolled | 30 | 39 | 41 | 31 | 34 | 30 | 45 |
|  | \# Grads | 24 | 28 | 36 | 21 | 21 | 17 | 25 |


Data Source: Indicators (Aeries Query)

Mountain View High School
Graduation Rates
Seniors who Graduated
Indicator 10

\% Grads


|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Class of } \\ \text { School Year } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2013 \\ 2012-13 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2014 \\ 2013-14 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2015 \\ 2014-15 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2016 \\ 2015-16 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2017 \\ 2016-17 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2018 \\ 2017-18 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2019 \\ 2018-19 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Latino Reg | \# Enrolled |  |  |  | 16 | 39 | 44 | 29 |
| Ed | \# Grads |  |  |  | 16 | 38 | 43 | 26 |
| Latino EL | \# Enrolled |  |  | 30 | 21 | 23 | 25 | 37 |
|  | \# Grads |  |  | 27 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 19 |
| Latino RFEP | \# Enrolled |  |  |  |  |  | 31 | 49 |
|  | \# Grads |  |  |  |  |  | 31 | 47 |
| Latino Resource | \# Enrolled |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \# Grads |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Latino SDC | \# Enrolled |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \# Grads |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Latino SED | \# Enrolled |  |  | 55 | 35 | 48 | 43 | 68 |
|  | \# Grads |  |  | 51 | 28 | 41 | 33 | 57 |

[^6]
## Graduation Rates

Seniors who Graduated
Indicator 10


Graduation Rates

## Seniors who Graduated

Indicator 10

|  |  | Class of School Year | $\begin{gathered} 2013 \\ 2012-13 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2014 \\ 2013-14 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2015 \\ 2014-15 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2016 \\ 2015-16 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2017 \\ 2016-17 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2018 \\ 2017-18 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2019 \\ 2018-19 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Caucasian | \# Enrolled | 188 | 186 | 185 | 235 | 198 | 211 | 223 |
|  |  | \# Grads | 187 | 184 | 183 | 235 | 192 | 198 | 217 |
|  | Asian | \# Enrolled | 94 | 98 | 87 | 96 | 95 | 133 | 146 |
|  |  | \# Grads | 94 | 97 | 87 | 96 | 94 | 133 | 145 |
|  | Latino | \# Enrolled | 98 | 92 | 92 | 114 | 132 | 149 | 137 |
|  |  | \# Grads | 98 | 91 | 91 | 114 | 128 | 147 | 128 |
|  | African | \# Enrolled | 7 | 8 | 4 | 7 | 10 | 10 | 4 |
|  | American | \# Grads | 6 | 8 | 4 | 7 | 10 | 9 | 4 |
|  | Other | \# Enrolled | 5 | 15 | 18 | 11 | 10 | 15 | 5 |
|  |  | \# Grads | 5 | 15 | 18 | 11 | 10 | 13 | 5 |



|  |  | Class of School Year | $\begin{gathered} 2013 \\ 2012-13 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2014 \\ 2013-14 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2015 \\ 2014-15 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2016 \\ 2015-16 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2017 \\ 2016-17 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2018 \\ 2017-18 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2019 \\ 2018-19 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \frac{E}{\mathbb{O}} \\ \frac{0}{O} \\ \frac{0}{0} \end{gathered}$ | Resource | \# Enrolled | 15 | 15 | 19 | 29 | 31 | 38 | 33 |
|  |  | \# Grads | 15 | 15 | 18 | 29 | 31 | 37 | 31 |
|  | 504 | \# Enrolled |  |  |  |  |  | 43 | 1 |
|  |  | \# Grads |  |  |  |  |  | 43 | 1 |
|  | AVID | \# Enrolled | 36 | 32 | 47 | 30 | 50 | 55 | 54 |
|  |  | \# Grads | 36 | 32 | 47 | 30 | 50 | 55 | 54 |
|  | SED | \# Enrolled | 79 | 57 | 50 | 63 | 59 | 113 | 118 |
|  |  | \# Grads | 70 | 57 | 50 | 63 | 59 | 113 | 116 |



Graduation Rates
Seniors who Graduated
Indicator 10

|  | Class of School Year | $\begin{gathered} 2013 \\ 2012-13 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2014 \\ 2013-14 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2015 \\ 2014-15 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2016 \\ 2015-16 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2017 \\ 2016-17 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2018 \\ 2017-18 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2019 \\ 2018-19 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English | \# Enrolled |  |  |  |  | 247 | 277 | 273 |
| Only | \# Grads |  |  |  |  | 242 | 266 | 267 |
| IFEP | \# Enrolled | 61 | 43 |  |  | 52 | 70 | 62 |
|  | \# Grads | 61 | 42 |  |  | 52 | 69 | 60 |
| RFEP | \# Enrolled |  |  | 91 | 97 | 133 | 160 | 164 |
|  | \# Grads |  |  | 91 | 97 | 130 | 155 | 159 |
| EL | \# Enrolled | 22 | 18 | 6 | 10 | 13 | 11 | 16 |
|  | \# Grads | 21 | 18 | 5 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 13 |



|  | Class of School Year | $\begin{gathered} 2013 \\ 2012-13 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2014 \\ 2013-14 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2015 \\ 2014-15 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2016 \\ 2015-16 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2017 \\ 2016-17 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2018 \\ 2017-18 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2019 \\ 2018-19 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LTEL | \# Enrolled |  |  |  |  |  | 11 | 14 |
|  | \# Grads |  |  |  |  |  | 10 | 11 |
| Newcomer | \# Enrolled |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
|  | \# Grads |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |



Data Source: Indicators (Aeries Query)

## Graduation Rates

Seniors who Graduated Indicator 10
\% Grads


|  | Class of School Year | $\begin{gathered} 2013 \\ 2012-13 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2014 \\ 2013-14 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2015 \\ 2014-15 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2016 \\ 2015-16 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2017 \\ 2016-17 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2018 \\ 2017-18 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2019 \\ 2018-19 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Latino Reg | \# Enrolled |  |  |  | 50 | 67 | 45 | 38 |
| Ed | \# Grads |  |  |  | 50 | 67 | 44 | 33 |
| Latino EL | \# Enrolled |  |  | 6 | 10 | 12 | 9 | 15 |
|  | \# Grads |  |  | 5 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 12 |
| Latino | \# Enrolled |  |  |  |  |  | 112 | 98 |
| RFEP | \# Grads |  |  |  |  |  | 110 | 94 |
| Latino | \# Enrolled |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resource | \# Grads |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Latino SDC | \# Enrolled |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \# Grads |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Latino SED | \# Enrolled |  |  | 41 | 53 | 44 | 91 | 93 |
|  | \# Grads |  |  | 41 | 53 | 44 | 91 | 91 |

Data Source: Indicators (Aeries Query)

Mountain View High School
College Acceptance
Self Reported
Indicator 12


[^7]Mountain View High School
College Acceptance
Self Reported
Indicator 12


[^8]Los Altos High School
College Acceptance
Self Reported
Indicator 12


Data Source: Post High School Plans Report

Los Altos High School
College Acceptance
Self Reported
Indicator 12


Data Source: Post High School Plans Report

## DISTRICT WIDE PROGRESS REPORT

The performance of our schools determines the quality of our district! We have a lot to celebrate and to be proud of!

SBAC Results
ELA:

- District-wide, Standards Met/Exceeded $=83 \%$, the highest in 4 years!! Both, County and State scores show a 1 percentage point drop each: SCC $=66 \%$ and State $=57 \%$
- $85 \%$ of LAHS students met or exceeded standards-the highest in four years! MVHS came in close, with $83 \%$ of students meeting or exceeding standards.

Math:

- District-wide results are the same as last year; $71 \%$ met or exceeded standards. SCC scores increased by $2 \%$ age points, to $50 \%$ meeting or exceeding standards; state scores grew by $1 \%$ age point, to $32 \%$.
- LAHS's results increased by 1 point over last year, to $71 \%$; MVHS's dropped by 1 \%age point, to $71 \%$.


## AP/Honors Math and Science Courses

- Enrollment continued to increase, but so has our overall enrollment
- District enrollment grew by 3.5\%; enrollment in AP/H math increased by 11.5\% and in Science by 4.7\%

Algebra II

- Completion of Algebra II/IIH, not counting SDC students, is at its highest ever-- $92 \%$ !! Only 3\% percentage points below our desired target of $95 \%$.

SAT

- Scores show a modest decline.

ACT

- Scores, signifying College Readiness show a decline of 4 \%age points in English and a 1 \%age point in Biology. Scores in Math and Social Science remained the same.

AP

- 1903 students took 3932 exams, and 3319 (84\%) of students scored a 3 or higher!
a-g
- completion rates have risen by 2 \%age points since last year. $78 \%$ of our students now graduate having completed a full complement of a-g required courses with a C or better. We are still shy of our desired target of $85 \%$.


## National Merit

- The number of Qualifying Students is rising again, after a experiencing a drop in numbers last year.


## College Attendance

- Self-reported attendance at 4 -Year universities has gone down by $2 \%$ age points.

District-wide - Comparing SBAC Results for All Students
Grade 11 Only
State-County-District-Schools
ELA


District-wide - Comparing SBAC Results for All Students
Grade 11 Only
State-County-District-Schools
Math


District-wide - Enrollment in AP/H Math Courses


District-wide - Enrollment in AP/H Science Courses


District-wide - Completion of Algebra II/II Honors with "C" or Better


Calculation starting with class of 2015 excludes SDC students; including SDC students generates the following data: 2015-83\%, 2016-86\%, 2017-85\%, 2018-88\%, 2019-88\%

District-wide - SAT Averages
2 year comparison of New SAT
(The new SAT was first given in 2016-17)


District-wide - ACT Percent of Tested Students Ready for College





District-wide - AP Results




Percent of Exams 3 or Higher


District-wide - UC a-g Completion Rates


District-wide - National Merit Scholarship Program Number of Qualifying Students


District-wide - Post High School - 4 year College/University Attendance Self-Reported


## OBSERVATIONS FROM CALIFORNIA DASHBOARD

It should be noted that most of the Dashboard Indicators reflect data from 2017-18. The state is in the process of updating the Dashboard, but it will not be available until December 2019. When published, the updated Dashboard will reflect data from the 2018-19 school year.

## FINDINGS

Demographics: Latino student population is higher at LAHS than MVHS. The same goes for Socio-economically disadvantaged students. MVHS has a slightly larger EL population, which is a function of the intentional consolidation of the EL program on the MVHS campus.

AVHS' has the highest concentration of English Learners with the least amount of support. Not surprisingly, AVHS also has a very high ratio of Socio-economically disadvantaged students and special ed students.

SBAC: Results are over a year old, therefore we refer the reader to the 2019 SBAC section in the binder.

English Learners: Because of the nature of the program, MVHS has a large number of students who are considered Beginners and Early Intermediates. Our practice is to assign these students to MVHS, where the support services exist, and only allow Beginners to be transferred to LAHS when the family cannot be persuaded otherwise. Hence, it is to be expected that the numbers of EL students operating at a performance level of 3 or 4 is much higher at LAHS
than at MVHS. This is due to the fact that students at this level, if they reside in the LAHS attendance area, are allowed to attend LAHS. The majority of EL students at AVHS have a moderately to well- developed level of fluency in English.

College and Career: Based on the color designation, the following student groups are lagging behind in showing preparedness for college and career: English Learners and Special Ed students, district-wide. Little information is available for AVHS.

Graduation Rates: Please do not compare Dashboard results for Graduation Rates with those published under our District Indicators! They are calculated differently. The state model is a cohort model, whereas the Indicators track graduation rates for a given graduation class, based on information available in AERIES.

The following student groups have graduation rates that are far below those of other groups: Special Ed students, district-wide and at both comprehensive HSs; English Learners, district-wide and at MVHS; Homeless students, district-wide (the numbers are too small to be broken out for our HSs; SED students at MVHS; and Latino students at MVHS.

Suspension Rates: District-wide suspension rates are disproportionately high for the following student groups: African Americans, Lations, English Learners, Foster Youth, SED, and Special Ed.

Overall suspension rates are slightly higher at MVHS than at LAHS--enough to throw MV into the orange range, while LA remains yellow. While no color is assigned, the group with the highest percentage of suspensions district-wide, are Pacific Islanders. At AVHS, Latinos, English Learners, and SED students have the highest suspension rates. At

MVHS, White and SED students as well as Foster Youth show high percentages, although the colors do not necessarily match the percentages; at LAHS, Latino, English Learners, Homeless and Special Ed students show the highest percentages.

College and Career Preparedness: There are multiple ways by which a student is deemed to be college and career ready. This includes completion of CTE pathways, achieving a score of 3 or higher on the SBAC or an AP exam, completing the a-g course pattern with a C or better, and having earned the Seal of Biliteracy in conjunction with an ELA score of three or better on the SBAC.

Overall, MVLA students appear prepared for College and Career, but there is a significant gap between those who are prepared and the ones who are less so, which includes the following student groups: Latinos, English Learners, Homeless SED, and Special Ed. Generally speaking, the level of preparedness is stronger at LAHS than at MVHS.

California Dashboard Performance Color Key
Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a grey color dial with the words 'No Performance Color'.


Red
LOWEST PERFORMANCE


Orange


Yellow


Green


Blue

| $\boldsymbol{n}$ | Race/Ethnicity | Fall 2018 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | \# | \% |
|  | All | 1969 | - |
|  | African American | 28 | 1.4\% |
|  | American Indian | 1 | 0.1\% |
|  | Asian | 437 | 22.2\% |
| 3 | Filipino | 65 | 3.3\% |
| - | Hispanic | 442 | 22.4\% |
|  | Two or More Races | 163 | 8.3\% |
|  | Pacific Islander | 11 | 0.6\% |
| + | White | 822 | 41.7\% |
| 5 | Student Group | Fall 2018 |  |
|  |  | \# | \% |
| 2 | English Learners | 164 | 8.3\% |
|  | Foster Youth | 6 | 0.3\% |
|  | Homeless | 44 | 2.2\% |
|  | SED | 361 | 18.3\% |
|  | Special Ed (All) | 208 | 10.6\% |


| $\stackrel{\sim}{1}$ | Race/Ethnicity | Fall 2018 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | \# | \% |
|  | All | 2234 | - |
|  | African American | 20 | 0.9\% |
|  | American Indian | 2 | 0.1\% |
|  | Asian | 543 | 24.3\% |
|  | Filipino | 35 | 1.6\% |
| - | Hispanic | 610 | 27.3\% |
| 0 | Two or More Races | 138 | 6.2\% |
| $\pm$ | Pacific Islander | 6 | 0.3\% |
|  | White | 880 | 39.4\% |
| $0$ | Student Group | Fall 2018 |  |
|  |  | \# | \% |
|  | English Learners | 101 | 4.5\% |
|  | Foster Youth | 2 | 0.1\% |
|  | Homeless | 37 | 1.7\% |
|  | SED | 503 | 22.5\% |
|  | Special Ed (All) | 241 | 10.8\% |


| $$ | Race/Ethnicity | Fall 2018 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | \# | \% |
|  | All | 4304 | - |
|  | African American | 51 | 1.2\% |
|  | American Indian | 3 | 0.1\% |
|  | Asian | 991 | 23.0\% |
|  | Filipino | 102 | 2.4\% |
|  | Hispanic | 1110 | 25.8\% |
|  | Two or More Races | 302 | 7.0\% |
|  | Pacific Islander | 19 | 0.4\% |
|  | White | 1726 | 40.1\% |
|  | Student Group |  |  |
|  | Student Group | \# | \% |
|  | English Learners | 286 | 6.6\% |
|  | Foster Youth | 9 | 0.2\% |
|  | Homeless | 84 | 2.0\% |
|  | SED | 917 | 21.3\% |
|  | Special Ed (All) | 490 | 11.4\% |


| $\underset{I}{n}$ | Race/Ethnicity | Fall 2018 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | \# | \% |
|  | All | 82 | - |
|  | African American | 3 | 3.7\% |
|  | American Indian | 0 | 0.0\% |
|  | Asian | 6 | 7.3\% |
|  | Filipino | 2 | 2.4\% |
|  | Hispanic | 54 | 65.9\% |
|  | Two or More Races | 1 | 1.2\% |
|  | Pacific Islander | 2 | 2.4\% |
| 0 | White | 14 | 17.1\% |
| $\frac{4}{4}$ | Student Group | Fall 2018 |  |
|  |  | \# | \% |
|  | English Learners | 21 | 25.6\% |
|  | Foster Youth | 1 | 1.2\% |
|  | Homeless | 3 | 3.7\% |
|  | SED | 53 | 64.6\% |
|  | Special Ed (All) | 22 | 26.8\% |

Fall 2018 Enrollment reflects CALPADS CBEDS Fall 2017

Dashboard Indicators
SBAC - English

| $\boldsymbol{I}$ | Race/Ethnicity | Fall 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Color | \# Points | Above/Below Standard | Status Change | Status Change Points | \# of Students |
|  | All | Green | 92.6 | Above | Declined | -7.4 | 401 |
|  | African American | - | - | - | - | - | 5 |
|  | American Indian | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Asian | Blue | 138.1 | Above | Increased | 5.1 | 104 |
| 3 | Filipino | - | - | - | - | - | 10 |
| $\stackrel{1}{ \pm}$ | Hispanic | Orange | 19 | Below | Declined | -20 | 88 |
| S | Two or More Races | - | 124.9 | Above | Declined | -17.8 | 28 |
| .든 | Pacific Islander | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| + | White | Green | 120.5 | Above | Declined | -6 | 164 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \frac{}{5} \\ & 0 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | Student Group | Fall 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Color | \# Points | Above/Below Standard | Status Change | Status Change Points | \# of Students |
|  | English Learners | Red | 85.1 | Below | Declined | -41.9 | 52 |
|  | Foster Youth | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
|  | Homeless | - | 49.3 | Below | - | - | 13 |
|  | SED | Yellow | 12 | Below | Increased | 17.4 | 81 |
|  | Special Ed (All) | - | 36.9 | Below | Maintained | 0.6 | 47 |


| Los Altos HS | Race/Ethnicity | Fall 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Color | \# Points | Above/Below Standard | Status Change | Status Change Points | \# of Students |
|  | All | Green | 94.8 | Above | Declined | -8.4 | 471 |
|  | African American | - | - | - | - | - | 5 |
|  | American Indian | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Asian | Green | 139.4 | Above | Declined | -26.9 | 138 |
|  | Filipino | - | - | - | - | - | 3 |
|  | Hispanic | Yellow | 6.8 | Above | Declined | -11.9 | 120 |
|  | Two or More Races | - | - | - | - | - | 10 |
|  | Pacific Islander | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | White | Green | 115.5 | Above | Declined | -15.6 | 195 |
|  | Student Group | Fall 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Color | \# Points | Above/Below Standard | Status Change | Status Change Points | \# of Students |
|  | English Learners | Orange | 32.3 | Below | Declined | -4.3 | 63 |
|  | Foster Youth | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Homeless | - | - | - | - | - | 8 |
|  | SED | Yellow | 2.3 | Above | Declined | -14.2 | 103 |
|  | Special Ed (All) | Yellow | 32.4 | Below | Increased | 8.4 | 58 |

Dash (-) = Data not populated on the Dashboard Page

Dashboard Indicators
SBAC - English

| -• | Race/Ethnicity | Fall 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Color | \# Points | Above/Below Standard | Status Change | Status Change Points | \# of Students |
|  | All | Green | 89.6 | Above | Declined | -7.6 | 899 |
|  | African American | - | - | - | - | - | 10 |
|  | American Indian | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Asian | Green | 138.8 | Above | Declined | -11.7 | 243 |
|  | Filipino | - | 85.3 | Above | Increased | 7.2 | 13 |
|  | Hispanic | Orange | 9.6 | Below | Declined | -17.9 | 229 |
|  | Two or More Races | - | 128.5 | Above | Maintained | -1.9 | 38 |
|  | Pacific Islander | - |  | - | - | - | 2 |
|  | White | Green | 115.8 | Above | Declined | -10.7 | 364 |
|  | Student Group | Fall 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Color | \# Points | Above/Below Standard | Status Change | Status Change Points | \# of Students |
|  | English Learners | Red | 58.9 | Below | Declined | -19 | 125 |
|  | Foster Youth | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
|  | Homeless | - | 85.4 | Below | Declined | -131.5 | 24 |
|  | SED | Orange | 10.7 | Below | Declined | -5.7 | 207 |
|  | Special Ed (All) | Orange | 41.7 | Below | Maintained | 1.8 | 113 |


|  | Race/Ethnicity | Fall 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Color | \# Points | Above/Below Standard | Status Change | Status Change Points | \# of Students |
|  | All | - | 53.6 | Below | Declined | -16.8 | 15 |
|  | African American | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | American Indian | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Asian | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
|  | Filipino | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Hispanic | - | 57.6 | Below | Declined | -8.8 | 13 |
|  | Two or More Races | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Pacific Islander | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | White | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
|  | Student Group | Fall 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Color | \# Points | Above/Below Standard | Status Change | Status Change Points | \# of Students |
|  | English Learners | - | - | - | - | - | 7 |
|  | Foster Youth | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Homeless | - | - | - | - | - | 3 |
|  | SED | - | 71.1 | Below | - | - | 13 |
|  | Special Ed (All) | - | - | - | - | - | 5 |

Dashboard Indicators
SBAC - Math

| $\boldsymbol{\Xi}$ | Race/Ethnicity | Fall 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Color | \# Points | Above/Below Standard | Status Change | Status Change Points | \# of Students |
|  | All | Blue | 55.5 | Above | Increased | 5.5 | 399 |
|  | African American | - | - | - | - | - | 4 |
|  | American Indian | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Asian | Blue | 125.4 | Above | Increased | 23.5 | 104 |
| 3 | Filipino | - | 25.3 | Above | Increased | 35 | 11 |
| - | Hispanic | Orange | 68.6 | Below | Declined | -9.3 | 88 |
|  | Two or More Races | - | 95.2 | Above | Increased | 26.7 | 26 |
| .들 | Pacific Islander | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| \% | White | Blue | 74.6 | Above | Maintained | -2.7 | 164 |
| 5 |  |  |  |  | Fall 2018 |  |  |
| $\sum$ | Student Group | Color | \# Points | Above/Below Standard | Status Change | Status Change Points | \# of Students |
|  | English Learners | Yellow | 91 | Below | Increased | 9.4 | 52 |
|  | Foster Youth | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
|  | Homeless | - | 98.5 | Below | - | - | 13 |
|  | SED | Green | 49.7 | Below | Increased | 46.2 | 81 |
|  | Special Ed (All) | - | 103 | Below | Increased | 9.7 | 47 |


| Los Altos HS | Race/Ethnicity | Fall 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Color | \# Points | Above/Below Standard | Status Change | Status Change Points | \# of Students |
|  | All | Blue | 60.9 | Above | Maintained | 2.7 | 469 |
|  | African American | - | - | - | - | - | 5 |
|  | American Indian | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Asian | Green | 134.3 | Above | Declined | -23.5 | 137 |
|  | Filipino | - | - | - | - | - | 3 |
|  | Hispanic | Green | 58.5 | Below | Increased | 4.7 | 119 |
|  | Two or More Races | - | - | - | - | - | 10 |
|  | Pacific Islander | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | White | Green | 79.6 | Above | Declined | -16.7 | 195 |
|  | Student Group | Fall 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Color | \# Points | Above/Below Standard | Status Change | Status Change Points | \# of Students |
|  | English Learners | Yellow | 91.3 | Below | Increased | 8.6 | 64 |
|  | Foster Youth | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Homeless | - | - | - | - | - | 8 |
|  | SED | Yellow | 57.8 | Below | Declined | -3.6 | 101 |
|  | Special Ed (All) | Yellow | 87.6 | Below | Increased | 44.3 | 56 |

Dash (-) = Data not populated on the Dashboard Page

Dashboard Indicators
SBAC - Math

|  | Race/Ethnicity | Fall 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Color | \# Points | Above/Below Standard | Status Change | Status Change Points | \# of Students |
|  | All | Blue | 50.8 | Above | Increased | 3.4 | 895 |
|  | African American | - | - | - | - | - | 9 |
|  | American Indian | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Asian | Blue | 130.2 | Above | Maintained | -1.2 | 242 |
|  | Filipino | - | 35.2 | Above | Increased | 29.3 | 14 |
|  | Hispanic | Orange | 75.3 | Below | Declined | -4.6 | 228 |
|  | Two or More Races | - | 98.3 | Above | Increased | 18 | 36 |
|  | Pacific Islander | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |
|  | White | Green | 73.4 | Above | Declined | -9.2 | 364 |
|  | Student Group | Fall 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Color | \# Points | Above/Below Standard | Status Change | Status Change Points | \# of Students |
|  | English Learners | Yellow | 102.9 | Below | Increased | 4.3 | 126 |
|  | Foster Youth | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
|  | Homeless | - | 144.9 | Below | Declined | -100.7 | 24 |
|  | SED | Yellow | 70.5 | Below | Increased | 8.2 | 205 |
|  | Special Ed (All) | Yellow | 105.9 | Below | Increased | 27.6 | 111 |


|  | Race/Ethnicity | Fall 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Color | \# Points | Above/Below Standard | Status Change | Status Change Points | \# of Students |
|  | All | - | 205.2 | Below | Declined | -34.3 | 15 |
|  | African American | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | American Indian | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Asian | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
|  | Filipino | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Hispanic | - | 224.4 | Below | Declined | -48.6 | 13 |
|  | Two or More Races | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Pacific Islander | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | White | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
|  | Student Group | Fall 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Color | \# Points | Above/Below Standard | Status Change | Status Change Points | \# of Students |
|  | English Learners | - | - | - | - | - | 7 |
|  | Foster Youth | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Homeless | - | - | - | - | - | 3 |
|  | SED | - | 225 | Below | - | - | 13 |
|  | Special Ed (All) | - | - | - | - | - | 5 |

Dash (-) = Data not populated on the Dashboard Page

## Dashboard Indicators

English Learner Progress

| $\begin{aligned} & \frac{n}{1} \\ & \sum \end{aligned}$ | Level | Fall 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | \% |
|  | Level 4 - Well Developed | 33.3\% |
|  | Level 3 - Moderately Developed | 15.7\% |
|  | Level 2 - Somewhat Developed | 17.0\% |
|  | Level 1 - Beginning Stage | 34.0\% |


| Level |  | Fall 2018 |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
|  |  | $\%$ |
|  | Level 4 - Well Developed | $55.8 \%$ |
|  | Level 3 - Moderately Developed | $31.2 \%$ |
|  | Level 2 - Somewhat Developed | $11.7 \%$ |
|  | Level 1 - Beginning Stage | $1.3 \%$ |


| - | Level | Fall 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | \% |
| ${ }^{\circ}$ ㄴ | Level 4 - Well Developed | 42.5\% |
| $\bigcirc$ | Level 3 - Moderately Developed | 21.5\% |
| - | Level 2 - Somewhat Developed | 14.6\% |
|  | Level 1 - Beginning Stage | 21.5\% |


| $\boldsymbol{*}$ Level |  | Fall 2018 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  |  | $\%$ |
|  | Level 4 - Well Developed | $64.7 \%$ |
|  | Level 3 - Moderately Developed | $29.4 \%$ |
|  | Level 2 - Somewhat Developed | $5.9 \%$ |
|  | Level 1 - Beginning Stage | $0.0 \%$ |

## Dashboard Indicators

College and Career

| $\underline{\sim}$ | Race/Ethnicity | Fall 2018 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Color | \% Prepared | Status Change | Status Change \% | \# of Students |
|  | All | Blue | 71.9\% | Increased | 2.6\% | 459 |
|  | African American | - | - | - | - | 5 |
|  | American Indian | - | - | - | - | 1 |
|  | Asian | Green | 81.5\% | Declined | -5.6\% | 108 |
|  | Filipino | - | 47.1\% | Declined | -7.5\% | 17 |
| . | Hispanic | Green | 41.1\% | Increased | 7.1\% | 95 |
| $>$ | Two or More Races | - | 70.6\% | Increased | 20.6\% | 17 |
| .들 | Pacific Islander | - | - | - | - | 6 |
| $\pm$ | White | Blue | 82.9\% | Maintained | 0.6\% | 210 |
| $\frac{5}{5}$ |  |  |  | Fall 2018 |  |  |
| $\sum$ | Student Group | Color | \% Prepared | Status Change | Status Change \% | \# of Students |
|  | English Learners | Orange | 24.5\% | Maintained | -1.4\% | 49 |
|  | Foster Youth | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Homeless | - | - | - | - | 9 |
|  | SED | Yellow | 38.6\% | Maintained | -0.6\% | 101 |
|  | Special Ed (All) | Orange | 20.9\% | Declined | -4.9\% | 43 |


| Los Altos HS | Race/Ethnicity | Fall 2018 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Color | \% Prepared | Status Change | Status Change \% | \# of Students |
|  | All | Blue | 76.0\% | Increased | 4.8\% | 529 |
|  | African American | - | - | - | - | 7 |
|  | American Indian | - | - | - | - | 1 |
|  | Asian | Blue | 94.4\% | Maintained | 1.2\% | 126 |
|  | Filipino | - | 92.3\% | - | - | 13 |
|  | Hispanic | Green | 49.3\% | Increased | 7.1\% | 152 |
|  | Two or More Races | - | 86.7\% | - | - | 15 |
|  | Pacific Islander | - | - | - | - | 1 |
|  | White | Blue | 82.7\% | Maintained | 1.6\% | 214 |
|  |  |  |  | Fall 201 |  |  |
|  | Student Group | Color | \% Prepared | Status Change | Status Change \% | \# of Students |
|  | English Learners | Yellow | 23.4\% | Increased | 9.1\% | 47 |
|  | Foster Youth | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Homeless | - | 50.0\% | Increased | 10.0\% | 14 |
|  | SED | Green | 52.8\% | Increased | 9.9\% | 159 |
|  | Special Ed (All) | Orange | 20.3\% | Maintained | -0.4\% | 79 |

## Dashboard Indicators

College and Career

| $\begin{aligned} & \pi \\ & \hline \underline{n} \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ | Race/Ethnicity | Fall 2018 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Color | \% Prepared | Status Change | Status Change \% | \# of Students |
|  | All | Blue | 71.7\% | Increased | 3.7\% | 1031 |
|  | African American | - | 58.3\% | Increased | 18.3\% | 12 |
|  | American Indian | - | - | - | - | 2 |
|  | Asian | Blue | 87.8\% | Maintained | -1.3\% | 238 |
|  | Filipino | - | 64.5\% | Increased | 4.5\% | 31 |
|  | Hispanic | Green | 42.5\% | Increased | 6.7\% | 273 |
|  | Two or More Races | - | 78.1\% | Increased | 6.7\% | 32 |
|  | Pacific Islander | - | - | - | - | 7 |
|  | White | Blue | 81.2\% | Maintained | 0.9\% | 436 |
|  |  |  |  | Fall 201 |  |  |
|  | Student Group | Color | \% Prepared | Status Change | Status Change \% | \# of Students |
|  | English Learners | Yellow | 22.5\% | Increased | 3.6\% | 102 |
|  | Foster Youth | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Homeless | Green | 41.7\% | Increased | 9.8\% | 24 |
|  | SED | Green | 44.6\% | Increased | 6.5\% | 280 |
|  | Special Ed (All) | Orange | 18.4\% | Declined | -3.2\% | 136 |


| $\begin{aligned} & n \\ & \pi \\ & \# \\ & \# \\ & \vdots \\ & \frac{\pi}{4} \end{aligned}$ | Race/Ethnicity | Fall 2018 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Color | \% Prepared | Status Change | Status Change \% | \# of Students |
|  | All | - | 18.8\% | Increased | 18.8\% | 32 |
|  | African American | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | American Indian | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Asian | - | - | - | - | 2 |
|  | Filipino | - | - | - | - | 1 |
|  | Hispanic | - | 4.8\% | Increased | 4.8\% | 21 |
|  | Two or More Races | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Pacific Islander | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | White | - | - | - | - | 8 |
|  | Student Group | Fall 2018 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Color | \% Prepared | Status Change | Status Change \% | \# of Students |
|  | English Learners | - | - | - | - | 5 |
|  | Foster Youth | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Homeless | - | - | - | - | 1 |
|  | SED | - | 11.1\% | Increased | 11.1\% | 18 |
|  | Special Ed (All) | - | - | - | - | 7 |

## Dashboard Indicators

Graduation Rate

| $\mathfrak{\sim}$ | Race/Ethnicity | Fall 2018 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Color | \% Graduated | Status | Status \% | \# of Students |
|  | All | Green | 94.6\% | Maintained | -0.9\% | 459 |
|  | African American | - | - | - | - | 5 |
|  | American Indian | - | - | - | - | 1 |
|  | Asian | Blue | 97.2\% | Declined | -1.7\% | 108 |
| 3 | Filipino | - | 100.0\% | Maintained | 0.0\% | 17 |
| $\mathbb{O}$ | Hispanic | Orange | 83.2\% | Declined | -3.8\% | 95 |
| $\geq$ | Two or More Races | - | 100.0\% | Increased | 8.3\% | 17 |
| .든 | Pacific Islander | - | - | - | - | 6 |
| $\pm$ | White | Blue | 97.1\% | Maintained | -0.5\% | 210 |
| $\frac{5}{5}$ |  |  |  | Fall 20 |  |  |
| $\sum$ | Student Group | Color | \% Graduated | Status | Status \% | \# of Students |
|  | English Learners | Orange | 75.5\% | Declined | -5.5\% | 49 |
|  | Foster Youth | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Homeless | - | - | - | - | 9 |
|  | SED | Orange | 86.1\% | Declined | -1.5\% | 101 |
|  | Special Ed (All) | Orange | 76.7\% | Declined | -14.6\% | 43 |


|  | Race/Ethnicity | Fall 2018 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Color | \% Graduated | Status | Status \% | \# of Students |
|  | All | Blue | 97.9\% | Maintained | 0.6\% | 529 |
|  | African American | - | - | - | - | 7 |
|  | American Indian | - | - | - | - | 1 |
|  | Asian | Blue | 99.2\% | Maintained | 0.3\% | 126 |
|  | Filipino | - | 100.0\% | - | - | 13 |
|  | Hispanic | Blue | 96.7\% | Maintained | 0.4\% | 152 |
|  | Two or More Races | - | 100.0\% | - | - | 15 |
|  | Pacific Islander | - | - | - | - | 1 |
|  | White | Blue | 97.7\% | Maintained | 0.6\% | 214 |
|  | Student Group | Fall 2018 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Color | \% Graduated | Status | Status \% | \# of Students |
|  | English Learners | Blue | 95.7\% | Increased | 1.9\% | 47 |
|  | Foster Youth | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Homeless | - | 92.9\% | Maintained | -0.5\% | 14 |
|  | SED | Blue | 98.1\% | Increased | 2.0\% | 159 |
|  | Special Ed (All) | Yellow | 93.7\% | Declined | -3.2\% | 79 |

## Dashboard Indicators

Graduation Rate

| $$ | Race/Ethnicity | Fall 2018 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Color | \% Graduated | Status | Status \% | \# of Students |
|  | All | Blue | 95.2\% | Maintained | -0.2\% | 1031 |
|  | African American | - | 100.0\% | Maintained | 0.0\% | 12 |
|  | American Indian | - | - | - | - | 2 |
|  | Asian | Blue | 97.5\% | Declined | -1.4\% | 238 |
|  | Filipino | - | 96.9\% | Declined | -3.2\% | 31 |
|  | Hispanic | Yellow | 89.4\% | Maintained | -0.8\% | 273 |
|  | Two or More Races | - | 100.0\% | Increased | 4.8\% | 32 |
|  | Pacific Islander | - | - | - | - | 7 |
|  | White | Blue | 96.8\% | Maintained | 0.1\% | 436 |
|  | Student Group | Fall 2018 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Color | \% Graduated | Status | Status \% | \# of Students |
|  | English Learners | Yellow | 84.3\% | Maintained | 0.7\% | 102 |
|  | Foster Youth | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Homeless | Orange | 79.2\% | Declined | -2.7\% | 24 |
|  | SED | Green | 91.8\% | Increased | 2.0\% | 280 |
|  | Special Ed (AII) | Orange | 83.8\% | Declined | -8.5\% | 136 |


|  | Race/Ethnicity | Fall 2018 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Color | \% Graduated | Status | Status \% | \# of Students |
|  | All | - | 78.1\% | Increased | 12.6\% | 32 |
|  | African American | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | American Indian | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Asian | - | - | - | - | 2 |
|  | Filipino | - | - | - | - | 1 |
|  | Hispanic | - | 76.2\% | Increased | 13.0\% | 21 |
|  | Two or More Races | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Pacific Islander | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | White | - | - | - | - | 8 |
|  | Student Group | Fall 2018 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Color | \% Graduated | Status | Status \% | \# of Students |
|  | English Learners | - | - | - | - | 5 |
|  | Foster Youth | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Homeless | - | - | - | - | 1 |
|  | SED | - | 72.2\% | Increased | 14.3\% | 18 |
|  | Special Ed (All) | - | - | - | - | 7 |

## Dashboard Indicators

Suspension Rate

| $\boldsymbol{I}$ | Race/Ethnicity | Fall 2018 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Color | \% Suspended at least once | Status | Status \% | \# of Students |
|  | All | Orange | 2.5\% | Increased | 0.3\% | 2049 |
|  | African American | - | 10.3\% | Increased | 8.0\% | 29 |
|  | American Indian | - | - | - | - | 1 |
|  | Asian | Yellow | 1.1\% | Increased | 0.4\% | 449 |
| 3 | Filipino | Green | 1.5\% | Maintained | -0.1\% | 65 |
| $\stackrel{(1)}{ }$ | Hispanic | Yellow | 4.5\% | Maintained | 0.1\% | 484 |
| $>$ | Two or More Races | Green | 3.0\% | Declined | -0.8\% | 168 |
| . | Pacific Islander | - | 8.3\% | Increased | 8.3\% | 12 |
| + | White | Orange | 1.8\% | Increased | 0.3\% | 841 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 틀 } \\ & 2 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Student Group | Fall 2018 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Color | \% Suspended at least once | Status | Status \% | \# of Students |
|  | English Learners | Green | 4.1\% | Declined | -0.4\% | 195 |
|  | Foster Youth | - | 15.4\% | - | - | 13 |
|  | Homeless | - | 0.0\% | Declined | -12.5\% | 46 |
|  | SED | Orange | 5.1\% | Increased | 0.3\% | 432 |
|  | Special Ed (All) | Green | 5.0\% | Declined | -3.5\% | 239 |


| 4 | Race/Ethnicity | Fall 2018 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Color | \% Suspended at least once | Status | Status \% | \# of Students |
|  | All | Yellow | 2.0\% | Maintained | 0.2\% | 2274 |
|  | African American | - | 0.0\% | Maintained | 0.0\% | 21 |
|  | American Indian | - | - | - | - | 2 |
|  | Asian | Blue | 0.4\% | Declined | -0.5\% | 547 |
|  | Filipino | Blue | 0.0\% | Maintained | 0.0\% | 35 |
|  | Hispanic | Orange | 5.1\% | Increased | 1.2\% | 624 |
| 0 | Two or More Races | Yellow | 2.1\% | Maintained | 0.2\% | 143 |
| $\square$ | Pacific Islander | - | - | - | - | 6 |
| 6 | White | Green | 0.8\% | Declined | -0.3\% | 896 |
| $0$ | Student Group | Fall 2018 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Color | \% Suspended at least once | Status | Status \% | \# of Students |
|  | English Learners | Orange | 5.6\% | Increased | 2.0\% | 108 |
|  | Foster Youth | - | - | - | - | 4 |
|  | Homeless | Orange | 4.4\% | Increased | 4.4\% | 45 |
|  | SED | Yellow | 5.2\% | Maintained | 0.1\% | 562 |
|  | Special Ed (All) | Orange | 8.6\% | Increased | 2.7\% | 279 |

Dash (-) = Data not populated on the Dashboard Page

## Dashboard Indicators

Suspension Rate

| + | Race/Ethnicity | Fall 2018 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Color | \% Suspended at least once | Status | Status \% | \# of Students |
|  | All | Green | 2.5\% | Maintained | 0.2\% | 4398 |
|  | African American | Orange | 5.7\% | Increased | 4.3\% | 53 |
|  | American Indian | - | - | - | - | 3 |
|  | Asian | Blue | 0.7\% | Maintained | -0.1\% | 1002 |
|  | Filipino | Blue | 1.0\% | Maintained | 0.0\% | 102 |
|  | Hispanic | Yellow | 5.6\% | Maintained | 0.3\% | 1154 |
|  | Two or More Races | Green | 2.6\% | Declined | -0.8\% | 312 |
|  | Pacific Islander | - | 15.0\% | Increased | 15.0\% | 20 |
|  | White | Blue | 1.4\% | Maintained | 0.1\% | 1752 |
|  | Student Group | Fall 2018 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Color | \% Suspended at least once | Status | Status \% | \# of Students |
|  | English Learners | Yellow | 5.9\% | Maintained | 0.1\% | 320 |
|  | Foster Youth | Orange | 21.1\% | Declined | -1.2\% | 19 |
|  | Homeless | Green | 3.1\% | Declined | -1.2\% | 96 |
|  | SED | Yellow | 5.8\% | Maintained | -0.2\% | 1043 |
|  | Special Ed (All) | Yellow | 7.1\% | Declined | -1.0\% | 539 |


|  | Race/Ethnicity | Fall 2018 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Color | \% Suspended at least once | Status | Status \% | \# of Students |
|  | All | Yellow | 10.5\% | Declined | -3.7\% | 153 |
|  | African American | - | - | - | - | 4 |
|  | American Indian | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Asian | - | - | - | - | 10 |
|  | Filipino | - | - | - | - | 2 |
|  | Hispanic | Orange | 12.5\% | Declined | -8.4\% | 96 |
|  | Two or More Races | - | - | - | - | 1 |
|  | Pacific Islander | - | - | - | - | 2 |
|  | White | - | 10.5\% | Increased | 10.5\% | 38 |
|  | Student Group | Fall 2018 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Color | \% Suspended at least once | Status | Status \% | \# of Students |
|  | English Learners | Orange | 14.3\% | Declined | -6.2\% | 35 |
|  | Foster Youth | - | - | - | - | 7 |
|  | Homeless | - | - | - | - | 8 |
|  | SED | Orange | 11.8\% | Declined | -4.5\% | 102 |
|  | Special Ed (All) | Yellow | 7.7\% | Declined | -16.6\% | 39 |

Dashboard Indicators
College and Career Indicator: Prepared vs Approaching Prepared

| $\boldsymbol{\square}$ | Race/Ethnicity | Class of 2018: Prepared |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Overall |  | CTE |  | SBAC Level 3 |  | AP |  | a-g |  | Seal of Biliteracy |  | \# of Students |
|  |  | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% |  |
|  | All | 330 | 71.9\% | 21 | 6.4\% | 266 | 80.6\% | 227 | 68.8\% | 256 | 77.6\% | 119 | 36.1\% | 459 |
|  | African American | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 |
|  | American Indian | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
|  | Asian | 88 | 81.5\% | 5 | 5.7\% | 81 | 92.0\% | 75 | 85.2\% | 74 | 84.1\% | 40 | 45.5\% | 108 |
| 3 | Filipino | 8 | 47.1\% | - | 0.0\% | 7 | 87.5\% | 2 | 25.0\% | 8 | 100.0\% | 1 | 12.5\% | 17 |
| . 1 | Hispanic | 39 | 41.1\% | 4 | 10.3\% | 27 | 69.2\% | 15 | 38.5\% | 28 | 71.8\% | 19 | 48.7\% | 95 |
| $>$ | Two or More Races | 12 | 70.6\% | - | 0.0\% | 9 | 75.0\% | 12 | 100.0\% | 9 | 75.0\% | 4 | 33.3\% | 17 |
| .든 | Pacific Islander | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6 |
| ${ }^{0}$ | White | 174 | 82.9\% | 12 | 6.9\% | 136 | 78.2\% | 121 | 69.5\% | 131 | 75.3\% | 53 | 30.5\% | 210 |
| 5 | Student Group | Class of 2018: Prepared |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\Sigma$ |  | Overall |  | CTE |  | SBAC Level 3 |  | AP |  | a-g |  | Seal of Biliteracy |  | \# of Students |
|  |  | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% |  |
|  | English Learners | 12 | 24.5\% | - | 0.0\% | 7 | 58.3\% | 4 | 33.3\% | 11 | 91.7\% | 7 | 58.3\% | 49 |
|  | Foster Youth | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Homeless | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 9 |
|  | SED | 39 | 38.6\% | 1 | 2.6\% | 27 | 69.2\% | 15 | 38.5\% | 31 | 79.5\% | 12 | 30.8\% | 101 |
|  | Special Ed (AII) | 9 | 20.9\% | 1 | 11.1\% | 9 | 100.0\% | 4 | 44.4\% | 5 | 55.6\% | 2 | 22.2\% | 43 |

Prepared Criteria

| CTE | Career Technical Education (CTE) Pathway Completion with a C minus or better in the Capstone Course plus one of the following: <br> -SBAC: At least a level 3 on ELA or Math and at least a <br> - One semester of college coursework with grade C- or better in <br> Level 2 in the other subject area <br> Academic/CTE subjects where college credit is awarded |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SBAC Level 3 | At least a Level 3 "Standard Met" on both ELA and Math on SBAC |  |  |
| AP | A score of 3 on two AP Exams |  |  |
| a-g | Completion of courses that meet the UC/CSU a-g criteria with a grade of C- or better <br> plus one of the following: <br> -CTE Pathway completion <br> -SBAC: At least a Level 3 on <br> - One semester of college coursework <br> - A score of 3 on two AP <br> with a C- or better on the with grade C- or better in Exams capstone course level 2 in the other subject Academic/CTE subjects where college area credit is awarded |  |  |
| Seal of Biliteracy | Earned the State Seal of Biliteracy and SBAC: At least a level 3 on ELA |  |  |

## Dashboard Indicators

College and Career Indicator: Prepared vs Approaching Prepared

| $\Psi$ | Race/Ethnicity | Class of 2018: Approaching Prepared |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Overall |  | CTE |  | SBAC Level 2 |  | a-g |  | \# of Students |
|  |  | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% |  |
|  | All | 46 | 10.0\% | 5 | 10.9\% | 23 | 50.0\% | 20 | 43.5\% | 459 |
|  | African American | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 |
|  | American Indian | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
|  | Asian | 7 | 6.5\% | - | 0.0\% | 6 | 85.7\% | 3 | 42.9\% | 108 |
| 3 | Filipino | 4 | 23.5\% | - | 0.0\% | 4 | 100.0\% | - | 0.0\% | 17 |
| (1) | Hispanic | 16 | 16.8\% | - | 0.0\% | 7 | 43.8\% | 9 | 56.3\% | 95 |
|  | Two or More Races | 2 | 11.8\% | - | 0.0\% | 1 | 50.0\% | 1 | 50.0\% | 17 |
| - | Pacific Islander | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6 |
| $10$ | White | 16 | 7.6\% | 5 | 31.3\% | 4 | 25.0\% | 7 | 43.8\% | 210 |
|  | Student Group | Class of 2018: Approaching Prepared |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $0$ |  | Overall |  | CTE |  | SBAC Level 2 |  | a-g |  | \# of Students |
|  |  | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% |  |
|  | English Learners | 6 | 12.2\% | - | 0.0\% | 4 | 66.7\% | 2 | 33.3\% | 49 |
|  | Foster Youth | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Homeless | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 9 |
|  | SED | 19 | 18.8\% | - | 0.0\% | 15 | 78.9\% | 6 | 31.6\% | 101 |
|  | Special Ed (AII) | 7 | 16.3\% | 1 | 14.3\% | 4 | 57.1\% | 3 | 42.9\% | 43 |

## Approaching Prepared Criteria

| CTE | CTE Pathway Completion with a C- or better on the capstone course |
| :--- | :--- |
| SBAC Level $\mathbf{2}$ | SBAC: At least a level 2 on ELA and Math |
| a-g | Completion of courses that meet the UC or CSU a-g criteria with a C minus or better |

Dashboard Indicators
College and Career Indicator: Prepared vs Approaching Prepared

| Los Altos HS | Race/Ethnicity | Class of 2018: Prepared |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Overall |  | CTE |  | SBAC Level 3 |  | AP |  | a-g |  | Seal of Biliteracy |  |  |
|  |  | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% |  |
|  | All | 402 | 76.0\% | 19 | 4.7\% | 338 | 84.0\% | 260 | 64.7\% | 324 | 80.6\% | 180 | 44.8\% | 529 |
|  | African American | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 7 |
|  | American Indian | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
|  | Asian | 119 | 94.4\% | 6 | 5.0\% | 111 | 93.3\% | 103 | 86.6\% | 101 | 84.9\% | 72 | 60.5\% | 126 |
|  | Filipino | 12 | 92.3\% | - | 0.0\% | 10 | 83.3\% | 5 | 41.7\% | 10 | 83.3\% | 2 | 16.7\% | 13 |
|  | Hispanic | 75 | 79.3\% | 1 | 1.3\% | 54 | 72.0\% | 22 | 29.3\% | 60 | 80.0\% | 36 | 48.0\% | 152 |
|  | Two or More Races | 13 | 86.7\% | - | 0.0\% | 10 | 76.9\% | 10 | 76.9\% | 11 | 84.6\% | 4 | 30.8\% | 15 |
|  | Pacific Islander | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
|  | White | 177 | 82.7\% | 12 | 6.8\% | 150 | 84.7\% | 118 | 66.7\% | 139 | 78.5\% | 65 | 36.7\% | 214 |
|  | Student Group | Class of 2018: Prepared |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Overall |  | CTE |  | SBAC Level 3 |  | AP |  | a-g |  | Seal of Biliteracy |  | \# of Students |
|  |  | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% |  |
|  | English Learners | 11 | 23.4\% | 1 | 9.1\% | 3 | 27.3\% | 1 | 9.1\% | 9 | 81.8\% | 4 | 36.4\% | 47 |
|  | Foster Youth | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Homeless | 7 | 50.0\% | - | 0.0\% | 4 | 57.1\% | 1 | 14.3\% | 6 | 85.7\% | 2 | 28.6\% | 14 |
|  | SED | 84 | 52.8\% | 6 | 7.1\% | 60 | 71.4\% | 27 | 32.1\% | 66 | 78.6\% | 36 | 42.9\% | 159 |
|  | Special Ed (All) | 16 | 20.3\% | - | 0.0\% | 13 | 81.3\% | 4 | 25.0\% | 12 | 75.0\% | 4 | 25.0\% | 79 |

Prepared Criteria

| CTE | Career Technical Education (CTE) Pathway Completion with a C minus or better in the Capstone Course plus one of the following: <br> - SBAC: At least a level 3 on ELA or Math and at least a <br> - One semester of college coursework with grade C- or better in Level 2 in the other subject area <br> Academic/CTE subjects where college credit is awarded |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SBAC Level 3 | At least a Level 3 "Standard Met" on both ELA and Math on SBAC |  |  |
| AP | A score of 3 on two AP Exams |  |  |
| a-g | Completion of courses that meet the UC/CSU a-g criteria with a grade of C- or better plus one of the following: <br> -CTE Pathway completion <br> -SBAC: At least a Level 3 on <br> - One semester of college coursework <br> -A score of 3 on two AP with a C- or better on the ELA or Math and at least a with grade C- or better in Exams capstone course level 2 in the other subject Academic/CTE subjects where college area credit is awarded |  |  |
| Seal of Biliteracy | Earned the State Seal of Biliteracy and <br> SBAC: At least a level 3 on ELA |  |  |

Dashboard Indicators
College and Career Indicator: Prepared vs Approaching Prepared

| Los Altos HS | Race/Ethnicity | Class of 2018: Approaching Prepared |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Overall |  | CTE |  | SBAC Level 2 |  | a-g |  | \# of Students |
|  |  | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% |  |
|  | All | 43 | 8.1\% | 5 | 11.6\% | 18 | 41.9\% | 22 | 51.2\% | 529 |
|  | African American | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 7 |
|  | American Indian | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
|  | Asian | 5 | 4.0\% | - | 0.0\% | 3 | 60.0\% | 3 | 60.0\% | 126 |
|  | Filipino | - | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% | 13 |
|  | Hispanic | 23 | 15.1\% | 5 | 21.7\% | 10 | 43.5\% | 9 | 39.1\% | 152 |
|  | Two or More Races | 1 | 6.7\% | - | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% | 15 |
|  | Pacific Islander | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
|  | White | 13 | 6.1\% | - | 0.0\% | 5 | 38.5\% | 8 | 61.5\% | 214 |
|  | Student Group | Class of 2018: Approaching Prepared |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Overall |  | CTE |  | SBAC Level 2 |  | a-g |  | \# of <br> Students |
|  |  | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% |  |
|  | English Learners | 9 | 19.1\% | 2 | 22.2\% | 5 | 55.6\% | 4 | 44.4\% | 47 |
|  | Foster Youth | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Homeless | 1 | 7.1\% | - | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% | 14 |
|  | SED | 22 | 13.8\% | 5 | 22.7\% | 9 | 40.9\% | 9 | 40.9\% | 159 |
|  | Special Ed (All) | 16 | 20.3\% | 5 | 31.3\% | 6 | 37.5\% | 5 | 31.3\% | 79 |

## Approaching Prepared Criteria

| CTE | CTE Pathway Completion with a C- or better on the capstone course |
| :--- | :--- |
| SBAC Level $\mathbf{2}$ | SBAC: At least a level 2 on ELA and Math |
| a-g | Completion of courses that meet the UC or CSU a-g criteria with a C minus or better. |

Dashboard Indicators
College and Career Indicator: Prepared vs Approaching Prepared

| $\begin{aligned} & \pm \\ & \frac{U}{4} \\ & \frac{\pi}{0} \end{aligned}$ | Race/Ethnicity | Class of 2018: Prepared |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Overall |  | CTE |  | SBAC Level 3 |  | AP |  | a-g |  | Seal of Biliteracy |  | \# of Students |
|  |  | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% |  |
|  | All | 739 | 71.7\% | 40 | 5.4\% | 610 | 82.5\% | 488 | 66.0\% | 580 | 78.5\% | 299 | 40.5\% | 1031 |
|  | African American | 7 | 58.3\% | - | 0.0\% | 4 | 57.1\% | 3 | 42.9\% | 5 | 71.4\% | - | 0.0\% | 12 |
|  | American Indian | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |
|  | Asian | 209 | 87.8\% | 11 | 5.3\% | 194 | 92.8\% | 178 | 85.2\% | 175 | 83.7\% | 112 | 53.6\% | 238 |
|  | Filipino | 20 | 64.5\% | - | 0.0\% | 17 | 85.0\% | 7 | 35.0\% | 18 | 90.0\% | 4 | 12.9\% | 31 |
|  | Hispanic | 116 | 42.5\% | 5 | 4.3\% | 83 | 71.6\% | 37 | 31.9\% | 88 | 75.9\% | 41 | 15.0\% | 273 |
|  | Two or More Races | 25 | 78.1\% | - | 0.0\% | 19 | 76.0\% | 22 | 88.0\% | 20 | 80.0\% | 3 | 9.4\% | 32 |
|  | Pacific Islander | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 7 |
|  | White | 354 | 81.2\% | 24 | 6.8\% | 288 | 81.4\% | 240 | 67.8\% | 270 | 76.3\% | 29 | 6.7\% | 436 |
|  | Student Group | Class of 2018: Prepared |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Overall |  | CTE |  | SBAC Level 3 |  | AP |  | a-g |  | Seal of Biliteracy |  |  |
|  |  | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% |  |
|  | English Learners | 23 | 22.5\% | 1 | 4.3\% | 10 | 43.5\% | 5 | 21.7\% | 20 | 87.0\% | 15 | 14.7\% | 102 |
|  | Foster Youth | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Homeless | 10 | 41.7\% | - | 0.0\% | 6 | 60.0\% | 2 | 20.0\% | 8 | 80.0\% | 1 | 4.2\% | 24 |
|  | SED | 125 | 44.6\% | 7 | 5.6\% | 89 | 71.2\% | 42 | 33.6\% | 97 | 77.6\% | 43 | 15.4\% | 280 |
|  | Special Ed (All) | 25 | 18.4\% | 1 | 4.0\% | 22 | 88.0\% | 8 | 32.0\% | 17 | 68.0\% | 23 | 16.9\% | 136 |

Prepared Criteria

| CTE | Career Technical Education (CTE) Pathway Completion with a C minus or better in the Capstone Course plus one of the following: <br> -SBAC: At least a level 3 on ELA or Math and at least a <br> - One semester of college coursework with grade C- or better in <br> Level 2 in the other subject area <br> Academic/CTE subjects where college credit is awarded |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SBAC Level 3 | At least a Level 3 "Standard Met" on both ELA and Math on SBAC |  |  |
| AP | A score of 3 on two AP Exams |  |  |
| a-g | Completion of courses that meet the UC/CSU a-g criteria with a grade of C- or better plus one of the following: |  |  |
| Seal of Biliteracy | Earned the State Seal of Biliteracy and <br> SBAC: At least a level 3 on ELA |  |  |

Dashboard Indicators
College and Career Indicator: Prepared vs Approaching Prepared

|  | Race/Ethnicity | Class of 2018: Approaching Prepared |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Overall |  | CTE |  | SBAC Level 2 |  | a-g |  | \# of <br> Students |
|  |  | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% |  |
|  | All | 91 | 8.8\% | 10 | 11.0\% | 43 | 47.3\% | 42 | 46.2\% | 1031 |
|  | African American | 1 | 8.3\% | - | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% | 12 |
|  | American Indian | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |
|  | Asian | 12 | 5.0\% | - | 0.0\% | 9 | 75.0\% | 6 | 50.0\% | 238 |
|  | Filipino | 4 | 12.9\% | - | 0.0\% | 4 | 100.0\% | - | 0.0\% | 31 |
|  | Hispanic | 41 | 15.0\% | 5 | 12.2\% | 19 | 46.3\% | 18 | 43.9\% | 273 |
|  | Two or More Races | 3 | 9.4\% | - | 0.0\% | 1 | 33.3\% | 2 | 66.7\% | 32 |
|  | Pacific Islander | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 7 |
|  | White | 29 | 6.7\% | 5 | 17.2\% | 9 | 31.0\% | 15 | 51.7\% | 436 |
|  | Student Group | Class of 2018: Approaching Prepared |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Overall |  | CTE |  | SBAC Level 2 |  | a-g |  | \# of Students |
|  |  | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% |  |
|  | English Learners | 15 | 14.7\% | 2 | 13.3\% | 9 | 60.0\% | 6 | 40.0\% | 102 |
|  | Foster Youth | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Homeless | 1 | 4.2\% | - | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% | 24 |
|  | SED | 43 | 15.4\% | 5 | 11.6\% | 26 | 60.5\% | 15 | 34.9\% | 280 |
|  | Special Ed (AII) | 23 | 16.9\% | 6 | 26.1\% | 10 | 43.5\% | 8 | 34.8\% | 136 |

## Approaching Prepared Criteria

| CTE | CTE Pathway Completion with a C- or better on the capstone course |
| :--- | :--- |
| SBAC Level $\mathbf{2}$ | SBAC: At least a level 2 on ELA and Math |
| a-g | Completion of courses that meet the UC or CSU a-g criteria with a C minus or better. |

Dashboard Indicators
College and Career Indicator: Prepared vs Approaching Prepared

| $\boldsymbol{\square}$ | Race/Ethnicity | Class of 2018: Prepared |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Overall |  | CTE |  | SBAC Level 3 |  | AP |  | a-g |  | Seal of Biliteracy |  | \# of Students |
|  |  | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% |  |
|  | All | 6 | 18.8\% | - | 0.0\% | 5 | 83.3\% | 1 | 16.7\% | - | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% | 32 |
|  | African American | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | American Indian | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Asian | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |
|  | Filipino | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| $$ | Hispanic | 1 | 4.8\% | - | 0.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% | - | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% | 21 |
|  | Two or More Races | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Pacific Islander | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | White | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 8 |
|  | Student Group | Class of 2018: Prepared |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Overall |  | CTE |  | SBAC Level 3 |  | AP |  | a-g |  | Seal of Biliteracy |  | \# of Students |
|  |  | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% |  |
|  | English Learners | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 |
|  | Foster Youth | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Homeless | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
|  | SED | 2 | 11.1\% | - | 0.0\% | 2 | 100.0\% | - | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% | 18 |
|  | Special Ed (AII) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 7 |

Prepared Criteria

| CTE | Career Technical Education (CTE) Pathway Completion with a C minus or better in the Capstone Course plus one of the following: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SBAC Level 3 | At least a Level 3 "Standard Met" on both ELA and Math on SBAC |  |  |
| AP | A score of 3 on two AP Exams |  |  |
| a-g | Completion of courses that meet the UC/CSU a-g criteria with a grade of C- or better plus one of the following: <br> -CTE Pathway completion <br> - SBAC: At least a Level 3 on <br> - One semester of college coursework <br> - A score of 3 on two AP with a C- or better on the <br> ELA or Math and at least a with grade C- or better in Exams capstone course level 2 in the other subject Academic/CTE subjects where college area credit is awarded |  |  |
| Seal of Biliteracy | Earned the State Seal of Biliteracy and <br> SBAC: At least a level 3 on ELA |  |  |

Dashboard Indicators
College and Career Indicator: Prepared vs Approaching Prepared

| $\boldsymbol{I}$ | Race/Ethnicity | Class of 2018: Approaching Prepared |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Overall |  | CTE |  | SBAC Level 2 |  | a-g |  | \# of Students |
|  |  | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% |  |
|  | All | 1 | 3.1\% | - | 0.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% | - | 0.0\% | 32 |
|  | African American | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | American Indian | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Asian | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |
|  | Filipino | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| $$ | Hispanic | 1 | 4.8\% | - | 0.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% | - | 0.0\% | 21 |
|  | Two or More Races | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Pacific Islander | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | White | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 8 |
|  | Student Group | Class of 2018: Approaching Prepared |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Overall |  | CTE |  | SBAC Level 2 |  | a-g |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { \# of } \\ \text { Students } \end{array}$ |
|  |  | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% |  |
|  | English Learners | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 |
|  | Foster Youth | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Homeless | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
|  | SED | 1 | 5.6\% | - | 0.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% | - | 0.0\% | 18 |
|  | Special Ed (AII) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 7 |

## Approaching Prepared Criteria

| CTE | CTE Pathway Completion with a C- or better on the capstone course |
| :--- | :--- |
| SBAC Level $\mathbf{2}$ | SBAC: At least a level 2 on ELA and Math |
| a-g | Completion of courses that meet the UC or CSU a-g criteria with a C minus or better. |

## SBAC REPORT

## SBAC Test Results

The SBAC results for the spring 2019 administration show us that we are making steady progress in reaching higher levels of mastery in the areas of English language arts and mathematics. Overall, MVLA scores surpass the county and state results across almost all student groups. This is a testament to the hard work of our course teams, administrators and instructional support team members as they continue to set forth to implement the Common Core State Standards across all disciplines. Through the work on the Alignment 1.0 and 2.0 initiatives, MVLA educators have discussed student learning outcomes, agreed on grading practices, designed common assessments and examined student data. This work is critical in ensuring alignment across disciplines and creating a rich standards-based environment. The Instructional Support Team (IST) has been instrumental in this work by supporting course team members to engage in the cycle of inquiry and empowering course team leaders to sustain that work. The administration of the Interim Assessment Blocks (IAB), which are practice SBAC exams, have been useful in preparing students for the summative assessment. More importantly is the data the IABs produce that helps teachers analyze to what extent are students meeting standards and how to strategically plan instruction that will support students' mastery of the skills and content of the CCSS.

## Overall Key Findings (Section 1)

## For the ELA assessments:

- $83 \%$ of MVLA students reached the Standard Met or Standard Exceeded performance levels compared to $66 \%$ of students in Santa Clara County and $57 \%$ of students statewide.
- MVLA students' overall scores were the highest in five years. Both county and state scores dropped by $1 \%$ in overall scores.
- MVHS students' overall scores for meeting or exceeding standard increased by $4 \%$ to $83 \%$ which is on par with their performance in 2017.
- LAHS students' overall scores for meeting or exceeding standard increased by $3 \%$ to $85 \%$, the highest in five years.

For the math assessments:

- 69\% of MVLA students reached the Standard Met or Standard Exceeded performance levels compared to 50\% of students in Santa Clara County and 32\% of students statewide.
- MVLA students' overall scores remained stagnant for the third year in a row, compared to slight improvements at county and state levels.
- MVHS students' overall scores for meeting or exceeding standard slightly decreased by $1 \%$ to $71 \%$.
- LAHS students' overall scores for meeting or exceeding standard remained the same at $70 \%$.
- The percentage of students performing at the highest performance band, or exceeding standard, increased at both high schools, including 4\% for MVHS and 3\% for LAHS.


## Findings for MVLA Student Groups (Sections 2 \& 3)

With the exception of the English Learner student group, MVLA's racial/ethnic and program student groups met or exceeded standard at higher rates than their county and statewide counterparts on both the ELA and math assessments.

- MVLA English Learner students' performance was below county and statewide EL student performance in ELA (3\% vs. 9\% countywide \& $8 \%$ statewide met or exceeded standard) and below in math ( $0 \%$ vs. $10 \%$ countywide \& $5 \%$ statewide met or exceeded standard).
o In 2018, MVLA was above the county and state rates, $16 \%$ met or exceeded standard in ELA and $11 \%$ in math.
o The lower scores in 2019 may be attributed to the shift in the reclassification period for ELs. EL students were reclassified in the fall 2018 instead of the spring as in past years. Students reclassified to RFEP in the fall now fall under the non-EL count for the SBAC in the spring. Their scores will impact the RFEP count for 2019.
- Latino students had the highest gains in 2019 with a $6 \%$ increase at LAHS and $11 \%$ increase at MVHS that met or exceeded standard in ELA. A slight increase was observed in math as well, with $3 \%$ increase at LAHS and a $2 \%$ increase in MVHS that met or exceeded standard.
- There was a slight dip in the performance Asian students at LAHS in the math assessment. There was a $6 \%$ decrease in students meeting standard and a $5 \%$ increase in students not meeting standard. The percentage of students reaching the highest performance band or exceeding standard remained constant, as well as the percentage of students nearly meeting standard which is in the 3rd performance band. The biggest shifts occurred with students in the middle and lowest performance band. Further investigation is needed to determine shift in performance, including how many students may have been newcomer ELs and what level of math were students taking at the time of the test.
- Students with disabilities only saw gains in ELA at LAHS by $1 \%$ that met or exceeded standard. MVHS students with disabilities saw an $11 \%$ decrease in ELA and $12 \%$ decrease in math that met or exceeded standard. LAHS experienced the same in math with a $13 \%$ decrease that met or exceeded standard. Overall fewer students exceeded standard and there was an increase of students "not meeting standard." The percentages in the middle performance bands remained constant.

Within MVLA there continues to be a substantial performance gap between Latino students and Asian \& Caucasian students:

- For ELA, there is a 38 percentage point difference between the percent of Latino and Caucasian students that met or exceeded standard ( $56 \%$ vs. $94 \%$, respectively).
- For ELA, there is a 35 percentage point difference between the percent of Latino and Asian students that met or exceeded standard ( $56 \%$ vs. $91 \%$, respectively)
- The gap is even wider in math, where there is a 50 percentage point difference between Latinos and Caucasian students ( $31 \%$ vs. $81 \%$, respectively), and 55 percentage point difference between Latinos and Asian students ( $31 \%$ vs. $86 \%$, respectively).
- These trends are consistent with the county and statewide results.
- As previously mentioned, Latino students performed better in 2019 compared to the dip scores took in 2018. Latino students' scores in 2019 surpassed the spike that was observed in 2017.
- The performance gap remains considering that scores for Caucasian students also increased in 2019 and the scores for Asians remained stagnant.

Within MVLA there are substantial performance gaps between the program student groups and overall student body

- Socio-economically disadvantaged students:
o For ELA, there is a 31 percentage point difference between the percent socio-economically disadvantaged students and the overall student body that met or exceeded standard ( $52 \%$ vs. $83 \%$, respectively)
o The gap is larger in math, where there is a 40 percentage point difference ( $29 \%$ vs. $69 \%$, respectively).
- English Learners:
- As mentioned earlier, EL students' scores dropped dramatically in 2019 ( $16 \%$ to $3 \%$ in ELA and $11 \%$ to $0 \%$ in math). It is speculated that this decrease is due to a shift in the reclassification period for ELs and the increase of newcomer students in the spring during testing.
- Students with disabilities:
o For ELA, there is a 46 percentage point difference between the percent of students with disabilities and the overall student body that met or exceeded standard ( $37 \%$ vs. $83 \%$, respectively).
o The gap is larger in math, where there is a 55 percentage point difference ( $14 \% \mathrm{vs} .69 \%$, respectively).


## Longitudinal Findings (Section 4)

Between 2016 and 2019, the overall percentages of MVLA students meeting or exceeding standard have gradually climbed in ELA.

- The highest gains over the four year span has been with Latino students ( $20 \%$ gain), socio-economically disadvantaged students ( $28 \%$ gain) and AVID students ( $18 \%$ gain).
- AVID students have had dramatic gains and losses in the four year span. In 2017, 87\% of AVID students met or exceeded standard in ELA. In 2018, there was a $12 \%$ decline and in 2019, $75 \%$ of AVID met or exceeded standard in ELA, which signals that this student group is on the rise once again.

Between 2016 and 2019, the overall percentages of MVLA students meeting or exceeding standard have fluctuated in math.

- Other than for Caucasian students districtwide, Asian students and AVID students at MVHS, there is no pattern to the performance on the math assessment across the student groups.
- There are dips and rises to each student group at both sites.
- 2017 seemed to be a year when many student groups performed the highest in math.


## Focus on Latino Performance (Sections 5 \& 6)

Over the past three years, Latino students have gradually improved their performance in ELA and math assessments.

- For ELA, there is a 24 percentage point difference between the percent Latino students and all students that met or exceeded standard ( $60 \%$ vs. $84 \%$, respectively)
- For students Latino students with a 3.0 GPA of better, the gap is completely closed with $84 \%$ of Latino students that met or exceeded standard.
- The same is observed for Latino students who do not fall under special programs (such as SPED, EL or SED). 85\% of Latino students that fall under this category met or exceeded standard in ELA.
o At MVHS, this profile of student has had most success in the ELA assessment with $92 \%$ of students that met or exceeded standard
- For Latino students who do fall under special programs (such as SPED, EL or SED), they are performing significantly lower than their peers, particularly English Learners. Latino students with disabilities and socio-economically disadvantaged students continue to perform lower than their peers.
- For Latino students who were reclassified as Fluent English Proficient (RFEP), $60 \%$ met or exceeded standard in ELA. There was a $6 \%$ increase since 2018 which may be attributed to reclassifying students earlier in the year. Nonetheless, there is a 24 percentage point difference between Latino RFEP students and their English only peers. When students are reclassified, it is expected that they are able to access grade-level content and perform similarly to their English-only peers.


## Score Distribution \& Student Groups (Section 7)

This section captures the various students groups and how they performed across the four performance bands. This analysis includes the student groups that did not meet standard which will initiate further exploration. This section also compares participation rates across the different student groups.

## ELA

- MVHS
o 28\% Latino, 2\% Asian, 2\% Caucasian
o $40 \%$ Students with disabilities, $100 \%$ English Learners, $13 \%$ AVID, $25 \%$ Socio-economically disadvantaged students
o $2 \%$ Blach, $14 \%$ Crittenden, $10 \%$ Graham, $14 \%$ Out of District
o $6 \%$ Am Lit Survey
- LAHS
o 13\% Latino, 5\% Asian, 2\% Caucasian
o $39 \%$ Students with disabilities, $89 \%$ English Learners, 5\% AVID, $16 \%$ Socio-economically disadvantaged students
o 8\% Blach, 7\% Crittenden, 3\% Egan, 15\% Graham, 6\% Out of District
o $6 \%$ Am Lit Survey, $14 \%$ Soc \& Pol in Literature
Math
- MVHS
o 43\% Latino, 5\% Asian, 7\% Caucasian
o $64 \%$ Students with disabilities, $95 \%$ English Learners, $22 \%$ AVID, $41 \%$ Socio-economically disadvantaged students
o $4 \%$ Blach, $26 \%$ Crittenden, $20 \%$ Graham, $20 \%$ Out of District
o 5 students enrolled in Geometry that took the SBAC, $60 \%$ or 3 of the 5 students did not meet standard which is not surprising since the math SBAC includes math concepts beyond Geometry
o 60 students enrolled in Algebra II and 17\% did not meet standard
- LAHS
o $36 \%$ Latino, $9 \%$ Asian, 5\% Caucasian
o $74 \%$ Students with disabilities, $89 \%$ English Learners, $20 \%$ AVID, $36 \%$ Socio-economically disadvantaged students
o $18 \%$ Blach, $28 \%$ Crittenden, $7 \%$ Egan, $32 \%$ Graham, $11 \%$ Out of District
o 14 students enrolled in Geometry that took the SBAC, $93 \%$ or 13 of the 14 students did not meet standard which is not surprising since the math SBAC includes math concepts beyond Geometry
- 64 students enrolled in Algebra II and $41 \%$ did not meet standard


## SBAC Cohort Study (Section 8)

This section compares how the tested students fared on the 11th grade SBAC compared to the last time they took the assessment in 8th grade. It would be expected that students sustain the ability to meet grade level standards in 11th grade and that students who fell in the lower performance bands improve by the time they were tested in 11th grade. For a second year in a row, since we have been able to collect cohort data, there have been improvements at all levels for all students.

- MVHS
o A higher percentage of 11th grade Latino students improved performance in ELA (34\% in 2019 vs. 26\% in 2018)
o Students who exceeded standard in ELA in 8th grade maintained their performance in 11th grade ( $95 \%$ for all students \& 90\% for Latinos)
o There was less success in math for all students. $36 \%$ of Latino students declined in performance in 11th grade.
- LAHS
o 11th grade Latino students had a decline in improved performance in ELA ( $24 \%$ in 2019 vs. $37 \%$ in 2018).
o Overall students who exceeded standard in ELA in 8th grade maintained their performance in 11th grade (90\%)
o Similar to MVHS, there was less success in math for all students. $22 \%$ of Latinos declined in performance in 11th grade.


## Section 1

## Official SBAC Results 2018-2019

These tables form the basis for all charts and graphs contained in this binder. They reflect Official SBAC Results as found on DataQuest from the CDE website

Table 1: District
Table 2: MVHS
Table 3: LAHS
Table 4: AVHS

# Mountain View-Los Altos High School District 

SBAC Results

## 2018 and 2019

2018

|  |  |  | ALL ST |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Enrolled = 1020 | $\mathrm{n}=909$ | $\mathrm{n}=18369$ | $\mathrm{n}=440132$ |  |
| ELA | MVLA | County | State |  |
| Standard Exceeded | $56 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $26 \%$ |  |
| Standard Met | $24 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $30 \%$ |  |
| Standard Nearly Met | $11 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $22 \%$ |  |
| Standard Not Met | $10 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $22 \%$ |  |
| \% Tested | $89 \%$ | $91 \%$ | $94 \%$ |  |

UDENTS

| Enrolled = 1020 | $\mathrm{n}=909$ | $\mathrm{n}=18234$ | $\mathrm{n}=438591$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Math | MVLA | County | State |
| Standard Exceeded | $46 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| Standard Met | $23 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $18 \%$ |
| Standard Nearly Met | $12 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $23 \%$ |
| Standard Not Met | $19 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $46 \%$ |
| $\%$ Tested | $89 \%$ | $90 \%$ | $94 \%$ |

## SPECIAL EDUCATION

| Enrolled = 129 | $\mathrm{n}=106$ | $\mathrm{n}=1613$ | $\mathrm{n}=40092$ | Enrolled = 129 | $\mathrm{n}=104$ | $\mathrm{n}=1590$ | $\mathrm{n}=39681$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELA | MVLA | County | State | Math | MVLA | County | State |
| Standard Exceeded | 14\% | 7\% | 3\% | Standard Exceeded | 13\% | 5\% | 2\% |
| Standard Met | 27\% | 13\% | 11\% | Standard Met | 13\% | 5\% | 3\% |
| Standard Nearly Met | 18\% | 24\% | 23\% | Standard Nearly Met | 14\% | 11\% | 9\% |
| Standard Not Met | 41\% | 56\% | 62\% | Standard Not Met | 60\% | 79\% | 87\% |
| \% Tested | 82\% | 83\% | 88\% | \% Tested | 81\% | 82\% | 87\% |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Enrolled $=242$ | $\mathrm{n}=212$ | $\mathrm{n}=6820$ | $\mathrm{n}=254223$ | Enrolled = 242 | $\mathrm{n}=214$ | $\mathrm{n}=6777$ | $\mathrm{n}=253452$ |
| ELA | MVLA | County | State | Math | MVLA | County | State |
| Standard Exceeded | 18\% | 17\% | 16\% | Standard Exceeded | 15\% | 9\% | 6\% |
| Standard Met | 31\% | 28\% | 30\% | Standard Met | 12\% | 15\% | 14\% |
| Standard Nearly Met | 23\% | 25\% | 26\% | Standard Nearly Met | 24\% | 22\% | 23\% |
| Standard Not Met | 27\% | 29\% | 28\% | Standard Not Met | 49\% | 54\% | 57\% |
| \% Tested | 88\% | 91\% | 94\% | \% Tested | 88\% | 91\% | 93\% |


|  |  |  | ENGLIS |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Enrolled = 76 | $\mathrm{n}=1940$ | $\mathrm{n}=1940$ | $\mathrm{n}=39893$ |  |  |
| ELA | MVLA | County | State |  |  |
| Standard Exceeded | $0 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ |  |  |
| Standard Met | $16 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $7 \%$ |  |  |
| Standard Nearly Met | $22 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $24 \%$ |  |  |
| Standard Not Met | $62 \%$ | $64 \%$ | $69 \%$ |  |  |
| \% Tested | $76 \%$ | $89 \%$ | $89 \%$ |  |  |
| C |  |  |  |  |  |

## H LEARNERS

| Enrolled = 76 | $\mathrm{n}=62$ | $\mathrm{n}=1944$ | $\mathrm{n}=40377$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Math | MVLA | County | State |
| Standard Exceeded | $6 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Standard Met | $5 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| Standard Nearly Met | $13 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| Standard Not Met | $76 \%$ | $78 \%$ | $85 \%$ |
| $\%$ Tested | $82 \%$ | $89 \%$ | $90 \%$ |

## CAUCASIAN

| Enrolled $=431$ | $\mathrm{n}=366$ | $\mathrm{n}=3737$ | $\mathrm{n}=105038$ | Enrolled = 431 | $\mathrm{n}=366$ | $\mathrm{n}=3713$ | $\mathrm{n}=105487$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELA | MVLA | County | State | Math | MVLA | County | State |
| Standard Exceeded | 67\% | 48\% | 19\% | Standard Exceeded | 50\% | 32\% | 36\% |
| Standard Met | 22\% | 29\% | 25\% | Standard Met | 30\% | 26\% | 32\% |
| Standard Nearly Met | 5\% | 13\% | 24\% | Standard Nearly Met | 9\% | 21\% | 18\% |
| Standard Not Met | 6\% | 10\% | 32\% | Standard Not Met | 11\% | 21\% | 14\% |
| \% Tested | 85\% | 87\% | 93\% | \% Tested | 85\% | 86\% | 93\% |
| HISPANIC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Enrolled $=266$ | $\mathrm{n}=234$ | $\mathrm{n}=6997$ | $\mathrm{n}=233620$ | Enrolled $=266$ | $\mathrm{n}=237$ | $\mathrm{n}=6923$ | $\mathrm{n}=232853$ |
| ELA | MVLA | County | State | Math | MVLA | County | State |
| Standard Exceeded | 18\% | 15\% | 16\% | Standard Exceeded | 12\% | 5\% | 5\% |
| Standard Met | 32\% | 29\% | 31\% | Standard Met | 17\% | 13\% | 14\% |
| Standard Nearly Met | 26\% | 27\% | 26\% | Standard Nearly Met | 22\% | 23\% | 24\% |
| Standard Not Met | 24\% | 30\% | 27\% | Standard Not Met | 49\% | 59\% | 57\% |
| \% Tested | 88\% | 91\% | 94\% | \% Tested | 89\% | 90\% | 94\% |


| 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL STUDENTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Enrolled $=1075$ | $\mathrm{n}=970$ | $\mathrm{n}=18603$ | $\mathrm{n}=440797$ | Enrolled = 1075 | $\mathrm{n}=965$ | $\mathrm{n}=18398$ | $n=438789$ |
| ELA | MVLA | County | State | Math | MVLA | County | State |
| Standard Exceeded | 60\% | 39\% | 27\% | Standard Exceeded | 50\% | 31\% | 14\% |
| Standard Met | 23\% | 27\% | 30\% | Standard Met | 19\% | 19\% | 18\% |
| Standard Nearly Met | 9\% | 17\% | 21\% | Standard Nearly Met | 14\% | 18\% | 22\% |
| Standard Not Met | 8\% | 17\% | 21\% | Standard Not Met | 17\% | 31\% | 45\% |
| \% Tested | 90\% | 92\% | 94\% | \% Tested | 90\% | 91\% | 94\% |
| SPECIAL EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Enrolled $=122$ | $\mathrm{n}=100$ | $\mathrm{n}=1610$ | $\mathrm{n}=41563$ | Enrolled = 122 | $\mathrm{n}=97$ | $\mathrm{n}=1578$ | $n=41053$ |
| ELA | MVLA | County | State | Math | MVLA | County | State |
| Standard Exceeded | 9\% | 7\% | 4\% | Standard Exceeded | 5\% | 4\% | 2\% |
| Standard Met | 28\% | 13\% | 12\% | Standard Met | 9\% | 6\% | 4\% |
| Standard Nearly Met | 24\% | 24\% | 24\% | Standard Nearly Met | 19\% | 11\% | 9\% |
| Standard Not Met | 38\% | 55\% | 60\% | Standard Not Met | 67\% | 78\% | 86\% |
| \% Tested | 82\% | 81\% | 88\% | \% Tested | 80\% | 80\% | 87\% |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Enrolled $=232$ | $\mathrm{n}=199$ | $\mathrm{n}=6418$ | $\mathrm{n}=253059$ | Enrolled = 232 | $\mathrm{n}=199$ | $\mathrm{n}=6343$ | $\mathrm{n}=251903$ |
| ELA | MVLA | County | State | Math | MVLA | County | State |
| Standard Exceeded | 26\% | 18\% | 18\% | Standard Exceeded | 14\% | 10\% | 7\% |
| Standard Met | 26\% | 28\% | 30\% | Standard Met | 15\% | 16\% | 14\% |
| Standard Nearly Met | 26\% | 25\% | 25\% | Standard Nearly Met | 27\% | 22\% | 22\% |
| Standard Not Met | 22\% | 29\% | 28\% | Standard Not Met | 45\% | 53\% | 57\% |
| \% Tested | 86\% | 91\% | 94\% | \% Tested | 86\% | 90\% | 94\% |
| ENGLISH LEARNERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Enrolled $=52$ | $\mathrm{n}=36$ | $\mathrm{n}=1811$ | $\mathrm{n}=38861$ | Enrolled = 52 | $\mathrm{n}=35$ | $\mathrm{n}=1792$ | $\mathrm{n}=39302$ |
| ELA | MVLA | County | State | Math | MVLA | County | State |
| Standard Exceeded | 0\% | 1\% | 1\% | Standard Exceeded | 0\% | 3\% | 1\% |
| Standard Met | 3\% | 8\% | 7\% | Standard Met | 0\% | 7\% | 4\% |
| Standard Nearly Met | 14\% | 27\% | 24\% | Standard Nearly Met | 6\% | 11\% | 9\% |
| Standard Not Met | 83\% | 64\% | 68\% | Standard Not Met | 94\% | 79\% | 86\% |
| \% Tested | 69\% | 88\% | 89\% | \% Tested | 67\% | 87\% | 90\% |
| CAUCASIAN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Enrolled $=389$ | $\mathrm{n}=340$ | $\mathrm{n}=3524$ | $\mathrm{n}=102665$ | Enrolled = 389 | $\mathrm{n}=341$ | $\mathrm{n}=3482$ | $\mathrm{n}=102098$ |
| ELA | MVLA | County | State | Math | MVLA | County | State |
| Standard Exceeded | 71\% | 50\% | 38\% | Standard Exceeded | 58\% | 37\% | 20\% |
| Standard Met | 22\% | 28\% | 31\% | Standard Met | 23\% | 25\% | 25\% |
| Standard Nearly Met | 4\% | 13\% | 17\% | Standard Nearly Met | 12\% | 18\% | 24\% |
| Standard Not Met | 3\% | 9\% | 14\% | Standard Not Met | 7\% | 19\% | 32\% |
| \% Tested | 87\% | 89\% | 94\% | \% Tested | 88\% | 88\% | 93\% |
| HISPANIC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Enrolled $=276$ | $\mathrm{n}=240$ | $\mathrm{n}=6972$ | $\mathrm{n}=237522$ | Enrolled = 276 | $\mathrm{n}=237$ | $\mathrm{n}=6887$ | $\mathrm{n}=236596$ |
| ELA | MVLA | County | State | Math | MVLA | County | State |
| Standard Exceeded | 24\% | 15\% | 18\% | Standard Exceeded | 14\% | 6\% | 6\% |
| Standard Met | 33\% | 28\% | 31\% | Standard Met | 17\% | 14\% | 15\% |
| Standard Nearly Met | 21\% | 26\% | 25\% | Standard Nearly Met | 26\% | 23\% | 23\% |
| Standard Not Met | 22\% | 30\% | 26\% | Standard Not Met | 43\% | 58\% | 57\% |
| \% Tested | 87\% | 91\% | 95\% | \% Tested | 86\% | 90\% | 94\% |

Mountain View-Los Altos High School District
SBAC Results

## 2018 and 2019

| ASIAN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Enrolled $=254$ | $\mathrm{n}=245$ | $\mathrm{n}=5559$ | $\mathrm{n}=42554$ | Enrolled = 254 | $\mathrm{n}=244$ | $\mathrm{n}=5542$ | $n=42607$ |
| ELA | MVLA | County | State | Math | MVLA | County | State |
| Standard Exceeded | 75\% | 61\% | 52\% | Standard Exceeded | 72\% | 56\% | 44\% |
| Standard Met | 17\% | 24\% | 27\% | Standard Met | 18\% | 23\% | 25\% |
| Standard Nearly Met | 4\% | 8\% | 12\% | Standard Nearly Met | 6\% | 12\% | 16\% |
| Standard Not Met | 4\% | 7\% | 9\% | Standard Not Met | 4\% | 9\% | 15\% |
| \% Tested | 96\% | 94\% | 96\% | \% Tested | 96\% | 94\% | 97\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Enrolled $=<10$ | $\mathrm{n}=<10$ | $\mathrm{n}=393$ | $\mathrm{n}=24310$ | Enrolled = < 10 | $\mathrm{n}=<10$ | $\mathrm{n}=384$ | $\mathrm{n}=24086$ |
| ELA | MVLA | County | State | Math | MVLA | County | State |
| Standard Exceeded | * | 15\% | 12\% | Standard Exceeded | * | 8\% | 3\% |
| Standard Met | * | 35\% | 24\% | Standard Met | * | 15\% | 10\% |
| Standard Nearly Met | * | 21\% | 26\% | Standard Nearly Met | * | 28\% | 19\% |
| Standard Not Met | * | 28\% | 37\% | Standard Not Met | * | 49\% | 67\% |
| \% Tested |  | 88\% | 91\% | \% Tested |  | 86\% | 90\% |
| FILIPINO |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Enrolled = 13 | $\mathrm{n}=12$ | $\mathrm{n}=845$ | $\mathrm{n}=13088$ | Enrolled = 13 | $\mathrm{n}=13$ | $\mathrm{n}=836$ | $\mathrm{n}=13046$ |
| ELA | MVLA | County | State | Math | MVLA | County | State |
| Standard Exceeded | 33\% | 34\% | 39\% | Standard Exceeded | 23\% | 17\% | 19\% |
| Standard Met | 42\% | 35\% | 36\% | Standard Met | 46\% | 27\% | 30\% |
| Standard Nearly Met | 25\% | 21\% | 17\% | Standard Nearly Met | 8\% | 27\% | 25\% |
| Standard Not Met | 0\% | 10\% | 8\% | Standard Not Met | 23\% | 29\% | 25\% |
| \% Tested | 92\% | 96\% | 98\% | \% Tested | 100\% | 95\% | 97\% |
| FEMALES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Enrolled $=510$ | $\mathrm{n}=454$ | $\mathrm{n}=8957$ | $\mathrm{n}=216458$ | Enrolled = 510 | $\mathrm{n}=453$ | $\mathrm{n}=8897$ | $\mathrm{n}=215696$ |
| ELA | MVLA | County | State | Math | MVLA | County | State |
| Standard Exceeded | 61\% | 41\% | 29\% | Standard Exceeded | 45\% | 27\% | 12\% |
| Standard Met | 22\% | 29\% | 33\% | Standard Met | 24\% | 21\% | 20\% |
| Standard Nearly Met | 9\% | 17\% | 22\% | Standard Nearly Met | 11\% | 21\% | 25\% |
| Standard Not Met | 7\% | 13\% | 16\% | Standard Not Met | 20\% | 31\% | 44\% |
| \% Tested | 89\% | 91\% | 94\% | \% Tested | 89\% | 90\% | 94\% |
| MALES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Enrolled = 510 | $\mathrm{n}=455$ | $\mathrm{n}=9412$ | $\mathrm{n}=223674$ | Enrolled = 510 | $\mathrm{n}=456$ | $\mathrm{n}=9337$ | $\mathrm{n}=222895$ |
| ELA | MVLA | County | State | Math | MVLA | County | State |
| Standard Exceeded | 50\% | 34\% | 22\% | Standard Exceeded | 47\% | 29\% | 14\% |
| Standard Met | 25\% | 27\% | 28\% | Standard Met | 22\% | 18\% | 17\% |
| Standard Nearly Met | 13\% | 18\% | 23\% | Standard Nearly Met | 13\% | 18\% | 21\% |
| Standard Not Met | 12\% | 21\% | 27\% | Standard Not Met | 18\% | 35\% | 48\% |
| \% Tested | 89\% | 91\% | 94\% | \% Tested | 89\% | 91\% | 93\% |


| ASIAN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Enrolled $=233$ | $\mathrm{n}=222$ | $\mathrm{n}=5833$ | $\mathrm{n}=42710$ | Enrolled = 233 | $\mathrm{n}=220$ | $\mathrm{n}=5788$ | $\mathrm{n}=42669$ |
| ELA | MVLA | County | State | Math | MVLA | County | State |
| Standard Exceeded | 74\% | 61\% | 54\% | Standard Exceeded | 73\% | 60\% | 46\% |
| Standard Met | 16\% | 24\% | 26\% | Standard Met | 13\% | 21\% | 24\% |
| Standard Nearly Met | 5\% | 9\% | 12\% | Standard Nearly Met | 5\% | 11\% | 15\% |
| Standard Not Met | 5\% | 6\% | 8\% | Standard Not Met | 9\% | 8\% | 15\% |
| \% Tested | 95\% | 95\% | 97\% | \% Tested | 94\% | 94\% | 97\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Enrolled $=16$ | $\mathrm{n}=14$ | $\mathrm{n}=365$ | $\mathrm{n}=23392$ | Enrolled = 16 | $\mathrm{n}=13$ | $\mathrm{n}=354$ | $n=23165$ |
| ELA | MVLA | County | State | Math | MVLA | County | State |
| Standard Exceeded | 31\% | 21\% | 14\% | Standard Exceeded | 33\% | 7\% | 4\% |
| Standard Met | 23\% | 27\% | 25\% | Standard Met | 0\% | 16\% | 11\% |
| Standard Nearly Met | 23\% | 23\% | 25\% | Standard Nearly Met | 17\% | 25\% | 19\% |
| Standard Not Met | 23\% | 29\% | 37\% | Standard Not Met | 50\% | 52\% | 66\% |
| \% Tested | 88\% | 87\% | 90\% | \% Tested | 81\% | 85\% | 89\% |
| FILIPINO |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Enrolled $=22$ | $\mathrm{n}=21$ | $\mathrm{n}=844$ | $\mathrm{n}=12499$ | Enrolled $=22$ | $\mathrm{n}=21$ | $\mathrm{n}=826$ | $\mathrm{n}=12446$ |
| ELA | MVLA | County | State | Math | MVLA | County | State |
| Standard Exceeded | 43\% | 33\% | 41\% | Standard Exceeded | 19\% | 17\% | 21\% |
| Standard Met | 33\% | 40\% | 35\% | Standard Met | 33\% | 26\% | 30\% |
| Standard Nearly Met | 24\% | 18\% | 16\% | Standard Nearly Met | 38\% | 29\% | 25\% |
| Standard Not Met | 0\% | 9\% | 9\% | Standard Not Met | 10\% | 28\% | 24\% |
| \% Tested | 95\% | 93\% | 97\% | \% Tested | 95\% | 91\% | 97\% |
| FEMALES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Enrolled $=524$ | $\mathrm{n}=473$ | $\mathrm{n}=8954$ | $\mathrm{n}=217115$ | Enrolled = 524 | $\mathrm{n}=469$ | $\mathrm{n}=8847$ | $\mathrm{n}=216021$ |
| ELA | MVLA | County | State | Math | MVLA | County | State |
| Standard Exceeded | 65\% | 43\% | 31\% | Standard Exceeded | 45\% | 30\% | 13\% |
| Standard Met | 24\% | 29\% | 33\% | Standard Met | 26\% | 22\% | 20\% |
| Standard Nearly Met | 7\% | 17\% | 21\% | Standard Nearly Met | 17\% | 20\% | 24\% |
| Standard Not Met | 4\% | 12\% | 16\% | Standard Not Met | 12\% | 29\% | 43\% |
| \% Tested | 90\% | 92\% | 95\% | \% Tested | 90\% | 91\% | 94\% |
| MALES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Enrolled $=551$ | $\mathrm{n}=497$ | $\mathrm{n}=9649$ | $\mathrm{n}=223682$ | Enrolled = 551 | $\mathrm{n}=496$ | $\mathrm{n}=9551$ | $\mathrm{n}=222768$ |
| ELA | MVLA | County | State | Math | MVLA | County | State |
| Standard Exceeded | 54\% | 36\% | 24\% | Standard Exceeded | 54\% | 32\% | 15\% |
| Standard Met | 22\% | 26\% | 28\% | Standard Met | 13\% | 17\% | 17\% |
| Standard Nearly Met | 12\% | 17\% | 22\% | Standard Nearly Met | 12\% | 17\% | 21\% |
| Standard Not Met | 12\% | 21\% | 27\% | Standard Not Met | 21\% | 33\% | 48\% |
| \% Tested | 90\% | 92\% | 94\% | \% Tested | 90\% | 91\% | 94\% |

# Mountain View High School 

SBAC Results
2018 and 2019
2018
ALL STUDENTS

| ALL STUDENTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Enrolled $=457$ | $\mathrm{n}=408$ | $\mathrm{n}=18369$ | $\mathrm{n}=440132$ | Enrolled = 457 | $\mathrm{n}=410$ | $\mathrm{n}=18234$ | $\mathrm{n}=438591$ |
| ELA | MVHS | County | State | Math | MVHS | County | State |
| Standard Exceeded | 56\% | 37\% | 26\% | Standard Exceeded | 47\% | 28\% | 13\% |
| Standard Met | 23\% | 28\% | 30\% | Standard Met | 25\% | 20\% | 18\% |
| Standard Nearly Met | 10\% | 18\% | 22\% | Standard Nearly Met | 10\% | 20\% | 23\% |
| Standard Not Met | 10\% | 17\% | 22\% | Standard Not Met | 19\% | 33\% | 46\% |
| \% Tested | 89\% | 91\% | 94\% | \% Tested | 90\% | 90\% | 94\% |
| SPECIAL EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Enrolled $=47$ | $\mathrm{n}=44$ | $\mathrm{n}=1613$ | $\mathrm{n}=40092$ | Enrolled = 47 | $\mathrm{n}=44$ | $\mathrm{n}=1590$ | $\mathrm{n}=39681$ |
| ELA | MVHS | County | State | Math | MVHS | County | State |
| Standard Exceeded | 11\% | 7\% | 3\% | Standard Exceeded | 11\% | 5\% | 2\% |
| Standard Met | 32\% | 13\% | 11\% | Standard Met | 16\% | 5\% | 3\% |
| Standard Nearly Met | 16\% | 24\% | 23\% | Standard Nearly Met | 16\% | 11\% | 9\% |
| Standard Not Met | 41\% | 56\% | 62\% | Standard Not Met | 57\% | 79\% | 87\% |
| \% Tested | 94\% | 83\% | 88\% | \% Tested | 94\% | 82\% | 87\% |

ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED

| Enrolled $=99$ | $\mathrm{n}=85$ | $\mathrm{n}=6820$ | $\mathrm{n}=254223$ | Enrolled = 99 | $\mathrm{n}=89$ | $\mathrm{n}=6777$ | $\mathrm{n}=253452$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELA | MVHS | County | State | Math | MVHS | County | State |
| Standard Exceeded | 21\% | 17\% | 16\% | Standard Exceeded | 22\% | 9\% | 6\% |
| Standard Met | 27\% | 28\% | 30\% | Standard Met | 12\% | 15\% | 14\% |
| Standard Nearly Met | 19\% | 25\% | 26\% | Standard Nearly Met | 18\% | 22\% | 23\% |
| Standard Not Met | 33\% | 29\% | 28\% | Standard Not Met | 47\% | 54\% | 57\% |
| \% Tested | 86\% | 91\% | 94\% | \% Tested | 90\% | 91\% | 93\% |


|  |  |  | ENGLISH |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Enrolled $=38$ | $\mathrm{n}=30$ | $\mathrm{n}=1940$ | $\mathrm{n}=39893$ |  |
| ELA | MVHS | County | State |  |
| Standard Exceeded | $0 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ |  |
| Standard Met | $10 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $7 \%$ |  |
| Standard Nearly Met | $13 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $24 \%$ |  |
| Standard Not Met | $77 \%$ | $64 \%$ | $69 \%$ |  |
| \% Tested | $79 \%$ | $89 \%$ | $89 \%$ |  |
| CAUC |  |  |  |  |


| Enrolled $=38$ | $\mathrm{n}=34$ | $\mathrm{n}=1944$ | $\mathrm{n}=40377$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Math | MVHS | County | State |
| Standard Exceeded | $12 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Standard Met | $3 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| Standard Nearly Met | $9 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| Standard Not Met | $76 \%$ | $78 \%$ | $85 \%$ |
| $\%$ Tested | $89 \%$ | $89 \%$ | $90 \%$ |


| Enrolled $=192$ | $\mathrm{n}=164$ | $\mathrm{n}=3737$ | $\mathrm{n}=105038$ | Enrolled = 192 | $\mathrm{n}=164$ | $\mathrm{n}=3713$ | $\mathrm{n}=105487$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELA | MVHS | County | State | Math | MVHS | County | State |
| Standard Exceeded | 67\% | 48\% | 19\% | Standard Exceeded | 49\% | 32\% | 36\% |
| Standard Met | 23\% | 29\% | 25\% | Standard Met | 33\% | 26\% | 32\% |
| Standard Nearly Met | 5\% | 13\% | 24\% | Standard Nearly Met | 5\% | 21\% | 18\% |
| Standard Not Met | 5\% | 10\% | 32\% | Standard Not Met | 12\% | 21\% | 14\% |
| \% Tested | 85\% | 87\% | 93\% | \% Tested | 85\% | 86\% | 93\% |


| HISPANIC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Enrolled $=106$ | $\mathrm{n}=94$ | $\mathrm{n}=6997$ | $\mathrm{n}=233620$ | Enrolled = 106 | $\mathrm{n}=98$ | $\mathrm{n}=6923$ | $\mathrm{n}=232853$ |
| ELA | MVHS | County | State | Math | MVHS | County | State |
| Standard Exceeded | 17\% | 15\% | 16\% | Standard Exceeded | 15\% | 5\% | 5\% |
| Standard Met | 28\% | 29\% | 31\% | Standard Met | 18\% | 13\% | 14\% |
| Standard Nearly Met | 26\% | 27\% | 26\% | Standard Nearly Met | 17\% | 23\% | 24\% |
| Standard Not Met | 30\% | 30\% | 27\% | Standard Not Met | 49\% | 59\% | 57\% |
| \% Tested | 89\% | 91\% | 94\% | \% Tested | 92\% | 90\% | 94\% |


| ALL STUDENTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Enrolled $=488$ | $\mathrm{n}=430$ | $\mathrm{n}=18603$ | $\mathrm{n}=440797$ | Enrolled $=488$ | $\mathrm{n}=425$ | $\mathrm{n}=18398$ | $\mathrm{n}=438789$ |
| ELA | MVHS | County | State | Math | MVHS | County | State |
| Standard Exceeded | 61\% | 39\% | 27\% | Standard Exceeded | 51\% | 31\% | 14\% |
| Standard Met | 22\% | 27\% | 30\% | Standard Met | 20\% | 19\% | 18\% |
| Standard Nearly Met | 9\% | 17\% | 21\% | Standard Nearly Met | 14\% | 18\% | 22\% |
| Standard Not Met | 8\% | 17\% | 21\% | Standard Not Met | 15\% | 31\% | 45\% |
| \% Tested | 88\% | 92\% | 94\% | \% Tested | 87\% | 91\% | 94\% |
| SPECIAL EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Enrolled $=50$ | $\mathrm{n}=44$ | $\mathrm{n}=1610$ | $\mathrm{n}=41563$ | Enrolled $=50$ | $\mathrm{n}=43$ | $\mathrm{n}=1578$ | $\mathrm{n}=41053$ |
| ELA | MVHS | County | State | Math | MVHS | County | State |
| Standard Exceeded | 5\% | 7\% | 4\% | Standard Exceeded | 2\% | 4\% | 2\% |
| Standard Met | 27\% | 13\% | 12\% | Standard Met | 12\% | 6\% | 4\% |
| Standard Nearly Met | 27\% | 24\% | 24\% | Standard Nearly Met | 20\% | 11\% | 9\% |
| Standard Not Met | 41\% | 55\% | 60\% | Standard Not Met | 66\% | 78\% | 86\% |
| \% Tested | 88\% | 81\% | 88\% | \% Tested | 86\% | 80\% | 87\% |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Enrolled $=102$ | $\mathrm{n}=82$ | $\mathrm{n}=6418$ | $\mathrm{n}=253059$ | Enrolled = 102 | $\mathrm{n}=81$ | $\mathrm{n}=6343$ | $\mathrm{n}=251903$ |
| ELA | MVHS | County | State | Math | MVHS | County | State |
| Standard Exceeded | 25\% | 18\% | 18\% | Standard Exceeded | 19\% | 10\% | 7\% |
| Standard Met | 26\% | 28\% | 30\% | Standard Met | 14\% | 16\% | 14\% |
| Standard Nearly Met | 21\% | 25\% | 25\% | Standard Nearly Met | 25\% | 22\% | 22\% |
| Standard Not Met | 28\% | 29\% | 28\% | Standard Not Met | 43\% | 53\% | 57\% |
| \% Tested | 80\% | 91\% | 94\% | \% Tested | 79\% | 90\% | 94\% |
| ENGLISH LEARNERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Enrolled $=32$ | $\mathrm{n}=19$ | $\mathrm{n}=1811$ | $\mathrm{n}=38861$ | Enrolled = 32 | $\mathrm{n}=18$ | $\mathrm{n}=1792$ | $\mathrm{n}=39302$ |
| ELA | MVHS | County | State | Math | MVHS | County | State |
| Standard Exceeded | 0\% | 1\% | 1\% | Standard Exceeded | 0\% | 3\% | 1\% |
| Standard Met | 0\% | 8\% | 7\% | Standard Met | 0\% | 7\% | 4\% |
| Standard Nearly Met | 6\% | 27\% | 24\% | Standard Nearly Met | 6\% | 11\% | 9\% |
| Standard Not Met | 94\% | 64\% | 68\% | Standard Not Met | 94\% | 79\% | 86\% |
| \% Tested | 59\% | 88\% | 89\% | \% Tested | 56\% | 87\% | 90\% |
| CAUCASIAN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Enrolled $=183$ | $\mathrm{n}=159$ | $\mathrm{n}=3524$ | $\mathrm{n}=102665$ | Enrolled = 183 | $\mathrm{n}=160$ | $\mathrm{n}=3482$ | $n=102098$ |
| ELA | MVHS | County | State | Math | MVHS | County | State |
| Standard Exceeded | 73\% | 50\% | 38\% | Standard Exceeded | 58\% | 37\% | 20\% |
| Standard Met | 21\% | 28\% | 31\% | Standard Met | 24\% | 25\% | 25\% |
| Standard Nearly Met | 4\% | 13\% | 17\% | Standard Nearly Met | 12\% | 18\% | 24\% |
| Standard Not Met | 3\% | 9\% | 14\% | Standard Not Met | 6\% | 19\% | 32\% |
| \% Tested | 87\% | 89\% | 94\% | \% Tested | 87\% | 88\% | 93\% |
| HISPANIC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Enrolled $=122$ | $\mathrm{n}=100$ | $\mathrm{n}=6972$ | $\mathrm{n}=237522$ | Enrolled = 122 | $\mathrm{n}=98$ | $\mathrm{n}=6887$ | $\mathrm{n}=236596$ |
| ELA | MVHS | County | State | Math | MVHS | County | State |
| Standard Exceeded | 23\% | 15\% | 18\% | Standard Exceeded | 15\% | 6\% | 6\% |
| Standard Met | 33\% | 28\% | 31\% | Standard Met | 21\% | 14\% | 15\% |
| Standard Nearly Met | 15\% | 26\% | 25\% | Standard Nearly Met | 21\% | 23\% | 23\% |
| Standard Not Met | 29\% | 30\% | 26\% | Standard Not Met | 44\% | 58\% | 57\% |
| \% Tested | 82\% | 91\% | 95\% | \% Tested | 80\% | 90\% | 94\% |

[^9]
# Mountain View High School 

SBAC Results
2018 and 2019

| ASIAN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Enrolled $=110$ | $\mathrm{n}=105$ | $\mathrm{n}=5559$ | $\mathrm{n}=42554$ | Enrolled = 110 | $\mathrm{n}=105$ | $\mathrm{n}=5542$ | $\mathrm{n}=42607$ |
| ELA | MVHS | County | State | Math | MVHS | County | State |
| Standard Exceeded | 76\% | 61\% | 52\% | Standard Exceeded | 69\% | 56\% | 44\% |
| Standard Met | 16\% | 24\% | 27\% | Standard Met | 21\% | 23\% | 25\% |
| Standard Nearly Met | 3\% | 8\% | 12\% | Standard Nearly Met | 8\% | 12\% | 16\% |
| Standard Not Met | 5\% | 7\% | 9\% | Standard Not Met | 3\% | 9\% | 15\% |
| \% Tested | 95\% | 94\% | 96\% | \% Tested | 95\% | 94\% | 97\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Enrolled $=<10$ | $\mathrm{n}=<10$ | $\mathrm{n}=393$ | $\mathrm{n}=24310$ | Enrolled = < 10 | $\mathrm{n}=<10$ | $\mathrm{n}=384$ | $\mathrm{n}=24086$ |
| ELA | MVHS | County | State | Math | MVHS | County | State |
| Standard Exceeded | * | 15\% | 12\% | Standard Exceeded | * | 8\% | 3\% |
| Standard Met | * | 35\% | 24\% | Standard Met | * | 15\% | 10\% |
| Standard Nearly Met | * | 21\% | 26\% | Standard Nearly Met | * | 28\% | 19\% |
| Standard Not Met | * | 28\% | 37\% | Standard Not Met | * | 49\% | 67\% |
| \% Tested |  | 88\% | 91\% | \% Tested |  | 86\% | 90\% |
| FILIPINO |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Enrolled = 11 | $\mathrm{n}=<10$ | $\mathrm{n}=845$ | $\mathrm{n}=13088$ | Enrolled = 11 | $\mathrm{n}=11$ | $\mathrm{n}=836$ | $\mathrm{n}=13046$ |
| ELA | MVHS | County | State | Math | MVHS | County | State |
| Standard Exceeded | * | 34\% | 39\% | Standard Exceeded | 27\% | 17\% | 19\% |
| Standard Met | * | 35\% | 36\% | Standard Met | 36\% | 27\% | 30\% |
| Standard Nearly Met | * | 21\% | 17\% | Standard Nearly Met | 9\% | 27\% | 25\% |
| Standard Not Met | * | 10\% | 8\% | Standard Not Met | 27\% | 29\% | 25\% |
| \% Tested |  | 96\% | 98\% | \% Tested | 100\% | 95\% | 97\% |
| FEMALES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Enrolled $=236$ | $\mathrm{n}=205$ | $\mathrm{n}=8957$ | $\mathrm{n}=216458$ | Enrolled = 236 | $\mathrm{n}=206$ | $\mathrm{n}=8897$ | $\mathrm{n}=215696$ |
| ELA | MVHS | County | State | Math | MVHS | County | State |
| Standard Exceeded | 61\% | 41\% | 29\% | Standard Exceeded | 45\% | 27\% | 12\% |
| Standard Met | 21\% | 29\% | 33\% | Standard Met | 27\% | 21\% | 20\% |
| Standard Nearly Met | 8\% | 17\% | 22\% | Standard Nearly Met | 8\% | 21\% | 25\% |
| Standard Not Met | 9\% | 13\% | 16\% | Standard Not Met | 20\% | 31\% | 44\% |
| \% Tested | 87\% | 91\% | 94\% | \% Tested | 87\% | 90\% | 94\% |
| MALES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Enrolled $=221$ | $\mathrm{n}=203$ | $\mathrm{n}=9412$ | $\mathrm{n}=223674$ | Enrolled = 221 | $\mathrm{n}=204$ | $\mathrm{n}=9337$ | $\mathrm{n}=222895$ |
| ELA | MVHS | County | State | Math | MVHS | County | State |
| Standard Exceeded | 51\% | 34\% | 22\% | Standard Exceeded | 49\% | 29\% | 14\% |
| Standard Met | 25\% | 27\% | 28\% | Standard Met | 23\% | 18\% | 17\% |
| Standard Nearly Met | 12\% | 18\% | 23\% | Standard Nearly Met | 12\% | 18\% | 21\% |
| Standard Not Met | 11\% | 21\% | 27\% | Standard Not Met | 17\% | 35\% | 48\% |
| \% Tested | 92\% | 91\% | 94\% | \% Tested | 92\% | 91\% | 93\% |


| ASIAN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Enrolled $=96$ | $\mathrm{n}=88$ | $\mathrm{n}=5833$ | $\mathrm{n}=42710$ | Enrolled = 96 | $\mathrm{n}=85$ | $\mathrm{n}=5788$ | $n=42669$ |
| ELA | MVHS | County | State | Math | MVHS | County | State |
| Standard Exceeded | 76\% | 61\% | 54\% | Standard Exceeded | 74\% | 60\% | 46\% |
| Standard Met | 15\% | 24\% | 26\% | Standard Met | 16\% | 21\% | 24\% |
| Standard Nearly Met | 8\% | 9\% | 12\% | Standard Nearly Met | 4\% | 11\% | 15\% |
| Standard Not Met | 1\% | 6\% | 8\% | Standard Not Met | 6\% | 8\% | 15\% |
| \% Tested | 92\% | 95\% | 97\% | \% Tested | 89\% | 94\% | 97\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Enrolled $=10$ | $\mathrm{n}=10$ | $\mathrm{n}=365$ | $\mathrm{n}=23392$ | Enrolled = 10 | $\mathrm{n}=9$ | $\mathrm{n}=354$ | $\mathrm{n}=23165$ |
| ELA | MVHS | County | State | Math | MVHS | County | State |
| Standard Exceeded | * | 21\% | 14\% | Standard Exceeded | * | 7\% | 4\% |
| Standard Met | * | 27\% | 25\% | Standard Met | * | 16\% | 11\% |
| Standard Nearly Met | * | 23\% | 25\% | Standard Nearly Met | * | 25\% | 19\% |
| Standard Not Met | * | 29\% | 37\% | Standard Not Met | * | 52\% | 66\% |
| \% Tested | 100\% | 87\% | 90\% | \% Tested | 90\% | 85\% | 89\% |
| FILIPINO |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Enrolled $=15$ | $\mathrm{n}=14$ | $\mathrm{n}=844$ | $\mathrm{n}=12499$ | Enrolled = 15 | $\mathrm{n}=14$ | $\mathrm{n}=826$ | $\mathrm{n}=12446$ |
| ELA | MVHS | County | State | Math | MVHS | County | State |
| Standard Exceeded | 57\% | 33\% | 41\% | Standard Exceeded | 21\% | 17\% | 21\% |
| Standard Met | 29\% | 40\% | 35\% | Standard Met | 29\% | 26\% | 30\% |
| Standard Nearly Met | 14\% | 18\% | 16\% | Standard Nearly Met | 50\% | 29\% | 25\% |
| Standard Not Met | 0\% | 9\% | 9\% | Standard Not Met | 0\% | 28\% | 24\% |
| \% Tested | 93\% | 93\% | 97\% | \% Tested | 93\% | 91\% | 97\% |
| FEMALES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Enrolled $=237$ | $\mathrm{n}=208$ | $\mathrm{n}=8954$ | $\mathrm{n}=217115$ | Enrolled = 237 | $\mathrm{n}=205$ | $\mathrm{n}=8847$ | $\mathrm{n}=216021$ |
| ELA | MVHS | County | State | Math | MVHS | County | State |
| Standard Exceeded | 69\% | 43\% | 31\% | Standard Exceeded | 47\% | 30\% | 13\% |
| Standard Met | 20\% | 29\% | 33\% | Standard Met | 25\% | 22\% | 20\% |
| Standard Nearly Met | 7\% | 17\% | 21\% | Standard Nearly Met | 17\% | 20\% | 24\% |
| Standard Not Met | 4\% | 12\% | 16\% | Standard Not Met | 11\% | 29\% | 43\% |
| \% Tested | 88\% | 92\% | 95\% | \% Tested | 86\% | 91\% | 94\% |
| MALES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Enrolled = 251 | $\mathrm{n}=222$ | $\mathrm{n}=9649$ | $\mathrm{n}=223682$ | Enrolled = 251 | $\mathrm{n}=220$ | $\mathrm{n}=9551$ | $\mathrm{n}=222768$ |
| ELA | MVHS | County | State | Math | MVHS | County | State |
| Standard Exceeded | 55\% | 36\% | 24\% | Standard Exceeded | 55\% | 32\% | 15\% |
| Standard Met | 23\% | 26\% | 28\% | Standard Met | 16\% | 17\% | 17\% |
| Standard Nearly Met | 10\% | 17\% | 22\% | Standard Nearly Met | 11\% | 17\% | 21\% |
| Standard Not Met | 12\% | 21\% | 27\% | Standard Not Met | 18\% | 33\% | 48\% |
| \% Tested | 88\% | 92\% | 94\% | \% Tested | 88\% | 91\% | 94\% |

Los Altos High School
SBAC Results

## 2018 and 2019

2018

| ALL STUD |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Enrolled =524 | $\mathrm{n}=473$ | $\mathrm{n}=18369$ | $\mathrm{n}=440132$ |
| ELA | LAHS | County | State |
| Standard Exceeded | $58 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $26 \%$ |
| Standard Met | $24 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $30 \%$ |
| Standard Nearly Met | $10 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $22 \%$ |
| Standard Not Met | $8 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $22 \%$ |
| \% Tested | $90 \%$ | $91 \%$ | $94 \%$ |
| SPECIAL ED |  |  |  |


| Enrolled = 524 | $\mathrm{n}=471$ | $\mathrm{n}=18234$ | $\mathrm{n}=438591$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Math | LAHS | County | State |
| Standard Exceeded | $48 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| Standard Met | $22 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $18 \%$ |
| Standard Nearly Met | $14 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $23 \%$ |
| Standard Not Met | $15 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $46 \%$ |
| $\%$ Tested | $90 \%$ | $90 \%$ | $94 \%$ |


| Enrolled = 72 | $\mathrm{n}=56$ | $\mathrm{n}=1613$ | $\mathrm{n}=40092$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELA | LAHS | County | State |
| Standard Exceeded | $18 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Standard Met | $27 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Standard Nearly Met | $20 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $23 \%$ |
| Standard Not Met | $36 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $62 \%$ |
| $\%$ Tested | $78 \%$ | $83 \%$ | $88 \%$ |
| ECONOMICALLY |  |  |  |


| Enrolled = 72 | $\mathrm{n}=54$ | $\mathrm{n}=1590$ | $\mathrm{n}=39681$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Math | LAHS | County | State |
| Standard Exceeded | $15 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Standard Met | $13 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Standard Nearly Met | $15 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| Standard Not Met | $57 \%$ | $79 \%$ | $87 \%$ |
| $\%$ Tested | $75 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $87 \%$ |


| Enrolled = 114 | $\mathrm{n}=103$ | $\mathrm{n}=6820$ | $\mathrm{n}=254223$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELA | LAHS | County | State |
| Standard Exceeded | $19 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $16 \%$ |
| Standard Met | $36 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $30 \%$ |
| Standard Nearly Met | $24 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $26 \%$ |
| Standard Not Met | $20 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $28 \%$ |
| \% Tested | $90 \%$ | $91 \%$ | $94 \%$ |


| Enrolled $=29$ | $\mathrm{n}=21$ | $\mathrm{n}=1940$ | $\mathrm{n}=39893$ | Enrolled $=29$ | $\mathrm{n}=21$ | $\mathrm{n}=1944$ | $\mathrm{n}=40377$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELA | LAHS | County | State | Math | LAHS | County | State |
| Standard Exceeded | 0\% | 1\% | 1\% | Standard Exceeded | 0\% | 3\% | 2\% |
| Standard Met | 24\% | 9\% | 7\% | Standard Met | 10\% | 7\% | 4\% |
| Standard Nearly Met | 33\% | 27\% | 24\% | Standard Nearly Met | 24\% | 12\% | 9\% |
| Standard Not Met | 43\% | 64\% | 69\% | Standard Not Met | 67\% | 78\% | 85\% |
| \% Tested | 72\% | 89\% | 89\% | \% Tested | 72\% | 89\% | 90\% |


| CAUCASIAN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Enrolled $=228$ | $\mathrm{n}=196$ | $\mathrm{n}=3737$ | $\mathrm{n}=105038$ | Enrolled = 228 | $\mathrm{n}=196$ | $\mathrm{n}=3713$ | $n=105487$ |
| ELA | LAHS | County | State | Math | LAHS | County | State |
| Standard Exceeded | 68\% | 48\% | 19\% | Standard Exceeded | 52\% | 32\% | 36\% |
| Standard Met | 21\% | 29\% | 25\% | Standard Met | 28\% | 26\% | 32\% |
| Standard Nearly Met | 5\% | 13\% | 24\% | Standard Nearly Met | 13\% | 21\% | 18\% |
| Standard Not Met | 6\% | 10\% | 32\% | Standard Not Met | 8\% | 21\% | 14\% |
| \% Tested | 86\% | 87\% | 93\% | \% Tested | 86\% | 86\% | 93\% |
| HISPANIC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Enrolled = 133 | $\mathrm{n}=119$ | $\mathrm{n}=6997$ | $\mathrm{n}=233620$ | Enrolled = 133 | $\mathrm{n}=118$ | $\mathrm{n}=6923$ | $n=232853$ |
| ELA | LAHS | County | State | Math | LAHS | County | State |
| Standard Exceeded | 21\% | 15\% | 16\% | Standard Exceeded | 11\% | 5\% | 5\% |
| Standard Met | 35\% | 29\% | 31\% | Standard Met | 19\% | 13\% | 14\% |
| Standard Nearly Met | 25\% | 27\% | 26\% | Standard Nearly Met | 29\% | 23\% | 24\% |
| Standard Not Met | 18\% | 30\% | 27\% | Standard Not Met | 42\% | 59\% | 57\% |
| \% Tested | 89\% | 91\% | 94\% | \% Tested | 89\% | 90\% | 94\% |

2019

| ALL STUDENTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Enrolled $=539$ | $\mathrm{n}=510$ | $\mathrm{n}=18603$ | $n=440797$ | Enrolled = 539 | $\mathrm{n}=507$ | $\mathrm{n}=18398$ | $\mathrm{n}=438789$ |
| ELA | LAHS | County | State | Math | LAHS | County | State |
| Standard Exceeded | 61\% | 39\% | 27\% | Standard Exceeded | 51\% | 31\% | 14\% |
| Standard Met | 24\% | 27\% | 30\% | Standard Met | 19\% | 19\% | 18\% |
| Standard Nearly Met | 9\% | 17\% | 21\% | Standard Nearly Met | 14\% | 18\% | 22\% |
| Standard Not Met | 6\% | 17\% | 21\% | Standard Not Met | 15\% | 31\% | 45\% |
| \% Tested | 95\% | 92\% | 94\% | \% Tested | 94\% | 91\% | 94\% |
| SPECIAL EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Enrolled $=58$ | $\mathrm{n}=48$ | $\mathrm{n}=1610$ | $\mathrm{n}=41563$ | Enrolled $=58$ | $\mathrm{n}=46$ | $\mathrm{n}=1578$ | $n=41053$ |
| ELA | LAHS | County | State | Math | LAHS | County | State |
| Standard Exceeded | 13\% | 7\% | 4\% | Standard Exceeded | 9\% | 4\% | 2\% |
| Standard Met | 33\% | 13\% | 12\% | Standard Met | 7\% | 6\% | 4\% |
| Standard Nearly Met | 23\% | 24\% | 24\% | Standard Nearly Met | 22\% | 11\% | 9\% |
| Standard Not Met | 31\% | 55\% | 60\% | Standard Not Met | 63\% | 78\% | 86\% |
| \% Tested | 83\% | 81\% | 88\% | \% Tested | 79\% | 80\% | 87\% |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Enrolled $=103$ | $\mathrm{n}=98$ | $\mathrm{n}=6418$ | $\mathrm{n}=253059$ | Enrolled = 103 | $\mathrm{n}=96$ | $\mathrm{n}=6343$ | $\mathrm{n}=251903$ |
| ELA | LAHS | County | State | Math | LAHS | County | State |
| Standard Exceeded | 31\% | 18\% | 18\% | Standard Exceeded | 13\% | 10\% | 7\% |
| Standard Met | 27\% | 28\% | 30\% | Standard Met | 20\% | 16\% | 14\% |
| Standard Nearly Met | 30\% | 25\% | 25\% | Standard Nearly Met | 29\% | 22\% | 22\% |
| Standard Not Met | 13\% | 29\% | 28\% | Standard Not Met | 39\% | 53\% | 57\% |
| \% Tested | 95\% | 91\% | 94\% | \% Tested | 93\% | 90\% | 94\% |
| ENGLISH LEARNERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Enrolled = 11 | $\mathrm{n}=9$ | $\mathrm{n}=1811$ | $\mathrm{n}=38861$ | Enrolled = 11 | $\mathrm{n}=9$ | $\mathrm{n}=1792$ | $\mathrm{n}=39302$ |
| ELA | LAHS | County | State | Math | LAHS | County | State |
| Standard Exceeded | * | 1\% | 1\% | Standard Exceeded | * | 3\% | 1\% |
| Standard Met | * | 8\% | 7\% | Standard Met | * | 7\% | 4\% |
| Standard Nearly Met | * | 27\% | 24\% | Standard Nearly Met | * | 11\% | 9\% |
| Standard Not Met | * | 64\% | 68\% | Standard Not Met | * | 79\% | 86\% |
| \% Tested | 82\% | 88\% | 89\% | \% Tested | 82\% | 87\% | 90\% |
| CAUCASIAN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Enrolled $=194$ | $\mathrm{n}=177$ | $\mathrm{n}=3524$ | $\mathrm{n}=102665$ | Enrolled = 194 | $\mathrm{n}=176$ | $\mathrm{n}=3482$ | $\mathrm{n}=102098$ |
| ELA | LAHS | County | State | Math | LAHS | County | State |
| Standard Exceeded | 71\% | 50\% | 38\% | Standard Exceeded | 60\% | 37\% | 20\% |
| Standard Met | 23\% | 28\% | 31\% | Standard Met | 22\% | 25\% | 25\% |
| Standard Nearly Met | 3\% | 13\% | 17\% | Standard Nearly Met | 13\% | 18\% | 24\% |
| Standard Not Met | 2\% | 9\% | 14\% | Standard Not Met | 6\% | 19\% | 32\% |
| \% Tested | 91\% | 89\% | 94\% | \% Tested | 91\% | 88\% | 93\% |
| HISPANIC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Enrolled $=129$ | $\mathrm{n}=121$ | $\mathrm{n}=6972$ | $\mathrm{n}=237522$ | Enrolled = 129 | $\mathrm{n}=119$ | $\mathrm{n}=6887$ | $\mathrm{n}=236596$ |
| ELA | LAHS | County | State | Math | LAHS | County | State |
| Standard Exceeded | 27\% | 15\% | 18\% | Standard Exceeded | 15\% | 6\% | 6\% |
| Standard Met | 35\% | 28\% | 31\% | Standard Met | 18\% | 14\% | 15\% |
| Standard Nearly Met | 26\% | 26\% | 25\% | Standard Nearly Met | 31\% | 23\% | 23\% |
| Standard Not Met | 12\% | 30\% | 26\% | Standard Not Met | 36\% | 58\% | 57\% |
| \% Tested | 94\% | 91\% | 95\% | \% Tested | 92\% | 90\% | 94\% |


| ASIAN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Enrolled $=143$ | $\mathrm{n}=139$ | $\mathrm{n}=5559$ | $\mathrm{n}=42554$ | Enrolled = 143 | $\mathrm{n}=138$ | $\mathrm{n}=5542$ | $\mathrm{n}=42607$ |
| ELA | LAHS | County | State | Math | LAHS | County | State |
| Standard Exceeded | 74\% | 61\% | 52\% | Standard Exceeded | 75\% | 56\% | 44\% |
| Standard Met | 17\% | 24\% | 27\% | Standard Met | 16\% | 23\% | 25\% |
| Standard Nearly Met | 6\% | 8\% | 12\% | Standard Nearly Met | 5\% | 12\% | 16\% |
| Standard Not Met | 3\% | 7\% | 9\% | Standard Not Met | 4\% | 9\% | 15\% |
| \% Tested | 97\% | 94\% | 96\% | \% Tested | 97\% | 94\% | 97\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Enrolled $=<10$ | $\mathrm{n}=<10$ | $\mathrm{n}=393$ | $\mathrm{n}=24310$ | Enrolled = < 10 | $\mathrm{n}=<10$ | $\mathrm{n}=384$ | $\mathrm{n}=24086$ |
| ELA | LAHS | County | State | Math | LAHS | County | State |
| Standard Exceeded | * | 15\% | 12\% | Standard Exceeded | * | 8\% | 3\% |
| Standard Met | * | 35\% | 24\% | Standard Met | * | 15\% | 10\% |
| Standard Nearly Met | * | 21\% | 26\% | Standard Nearly Met | * | 28\% | 19\% |
| Standard Not Met | * | 28\% | 37\% | Standard Not Met | * | 49\% | 67\% |
| \% Tested |  | 88\% | 91\% | \% Tested |  | 86\% | 90\% |
| FILIPINO |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Enrolled $=<10$ | $\mathrm{n}=<10$ | $\mathrm{n}=845$ | $\mathrm{n}=13088$ | Enrolled = < 10 | $\mathrm{n}=<10$ | $\mathrm{n}=836$ | $\mathrm{n}=13046$ |
| ELA | LAHS | County | State | Math | LAHS | County | State |
| Standard Exceeded | * | 34\% | 39\% | Standard Exceeded | * | 17\% | 19\% |
| Standard Met | * | 35\% | 36\% | Standard Met | * | 27\% | 30\% |
| Standard Nearly Met | * | 21\% | 17\% | Standard Nearly Met | * | 27\% | 25\% |
| Standard Not Met | * | 10\% | 8\% | Standard Not Met | * | 29\% | 25\% |
| \% Tested |  | 96\% | 98\% | \% Tested |  | 95\% | 97\% |
| FEMALES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Enrolled $=258$ | $\mathrm{n}=237$ | $\mathrm{n}=8957$ | $\mathrm{n}=216458$ | Enrolled $=258$ | $\mathrm{n}=235$ | $\mathrm{n}=8897$ | $\mathrm{n}=215696$ |
| ELA | LAHS | County | State | Math | LAHS | County | State |
| Standard Exceeded | 64\% | 41\% | 29\% | Standard Exceeded | 48\% | 27\% | 12\% |
| Standard Met | 23\% | 29\% | 33\% | Standard Met | 23\% | 21\% | 20\% |
| Standard Nearly Met | 7\% | 17\% | 22\% | Standard Nearly Met | 13\% | 21\% | 25\% |
| Standard Not Met | 6\% | 13\% | 16\% | Standard Not Met | 16\% | 31\% | 44\% |
| \% Tested | 92\% | 91\% | 94\% | \% Tested | 91\% | 90\% | 94\% |
| MALES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Enrolled $=266$ | $\mathrm{n}=236$ | $\mathrm{n}=9412$ | $\mathrm{n}=223674$ | Enrolled $=266$ | $\mathrm{n}=236$ | $\mathrm{n}=9337$ | $\mathrm{n}=222895$ |
| ELA | LAHS | County | State | Math | LAHS | County | State |
| Standard Exceeded | 52\% | 34\% | 22\% | Standard Exceeded | 48\% | 29\% | 14\% |
| Standard Met | 25\% | 27\% | 28\% | Standard Met | 22\% | 18\% | 17\% |
| Standard Nearly Met | 13\% | 18\% | 23\% | Standard Nearly Met | 15\% | 18\% | 21\% |
| Standard Not Met | 10\% | 21\% | 27\% | Standard Not Met | 14\% | 35\% | 48\% |
| \% Tested | 89\% | 91\% | 94\% | \% Tested | 89\% | 91\% | 93\% |


| ASIAN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Enrolled = 133 | $\mathrm{n}=131$ | $\mathrm{n}=5833$ | $n=42710$ | Enrolled = 133 | $\mathrm{n}=131$ | $\mathrm{n}=5788$ | $\mathrm{n}=42669$ |
| ELA | LAHS | County | State | Math | LAHS | County | State |
| Standard Exceeded | 73\% | 61\% | 54\% | Standard Exceeded | 73\% | 60\% | 46\% |
| Standard Met | 17\% | 24\% | 26\% | Standard Met | 11\% | 21\% | 24\% |
| Standard Nearly Met | 3\% | 9\% | 12\% | Standard Nearly Met | 6\% | 11\% | 15\% |
| Standard Not Met | 7\% | 6\% | 8\% | Standard Not Met | 9\% | 8\% | 15\% |
| \% Tested | 98\% | 95\% | 97\% | \% Tested | 98\% | 94\% | 97\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Enrolled $=<10$ | $\mathrm{n}=<10$ | $\mathrm{n}=365$ | $\mathrm{n}=23392$ | Enrolled = < 10 | $\mathrm{n}=<10$ | $\mathrm{n}=354$ | $\mathrm{n}=23165$ |
| ELA | LAHS | County | State | Math | LAHS | County | State |
| Standard Exceeded | * | 21\% | 14\% | Standard Exceeded | * | 7\% | 4\% |
| Standard Met | * | 27\% | 25\% | Standard Met | * | 16\% | 11\% |
| Standard Nearly Met | * | 23\% | 25\% | Standard Nearly Met | * | 25\% | 19\% |
| Standard Not Met | * | 29\% | 37\% | Standard Not Met | * | 52\% | 66\% |
| \% Tested |  | 87\% | 90\% | \% Tested |  | 85\% | 89\% |
| FILIPINO |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Enrolled $=<10$ | $\mathrm{n}=<10$ | $\mathrm{n}=844$ | $\mathrm{n}=12499$ | Enrolled $=<10$ | $\mathrm{n}=<10$ | $\mathrm{n}=826$ | $n=12446$ |
| ELA | LAHS | County | State | Math | LAHS | County | State |
| Standard Exceeded | * | 33\% | 41\% | Standard Exceeded | * | 17\% | 21\% |
| Standard Met | * | 40\% | 35\% | Standard Met | * | 26\% | 30\% |
| Standard Nearly Met | * | 18\% | 16\% | Standard Nearly Met | * | 29\% | 25\% |
| Standard Not Met | * | 9\% | 9\% | Standard Not Met | * | 28\% | 24\% |
| \% Tested |  | 93\% | 97\% | \% Tested |  | 91\% | 97\% |
| FEMALES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Enrolled = 271 | $\mathrm{n}=255$ | $\mathrm{n}=8954$ | $\mathrm{n}=217115$ | Enrolled = 271 | $\mathrm{n}=253$ | $\mathrm{n}=8847$ | $\mathrm{n}=216021$ |
| ELA | LAHS | County | State | Math | LAHS | County | State |
| Standard Exceeded | 65\% | 43\% | 31\% | Standard Exceeded | 45\% | 30\% | 13\% |
| Standard Met | 27\% | 29\% | 33\% | Standard Met | 28\% | 22\% | 20\% |
| Standard Nearly Met | 6\% | 17\% | 21\% | Standard Nearly Met | 17\% | 20\% | 24\% |
| Standard Not Met | 3\% | 12\% | 16\% | Standard Not Met | 10\% | 29\% | 43\% |
| \% Tested | 94\% | 92\% | 95\% | \% Tested | 93\% | 91\% | 94\% |
| MALES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Enrolled $=268$ | $\mathrm{n}=255$ | $\mathrm{n}=9649$ | $\mathrm{n}=223682$ | Enrolled = 268 | $\mathrm{n}=254$ | $\mathrm{n}=9551$ | $\mathrm{n}=222768$ |
| ELA | LAHS | County | State | Math | LAHS | County | State |
| Standard Exceeded | 57\% | 36\% | 24\% | Standard Exceeded | 57\% | 32\% | 15\% |
| Standard Met | 22\% | 26\% | 28\% | Standard Met | 11\% | 17\% | 17\% |
| Standard Nearly Met | 12\% | 17\% | 22\% | Standard Nearly Met | 12\% | 17\% | 21\% |
| Standard Not Met | 10\% | 21\% | 27\% | Standard Not Met | 20\% | 33\% | 48\% |
| \% Tested | 95\% | 92\% | 94\% | \% Tested | 95\% | 91\% | 94\% |

# Alta Vista High School 

SBAC Results
2018 and 2019

2018
ALL STUDENTS

| ALL STUDENTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Enrolled = 37 | $\mathrm{n}=28$ | $\mathrm{n}=18369$ | $\mathrm{n}=440132$ | Enrolled = 37 | $\mathrm{n}=28$ | $\mathrm{n}=18234$ | $\mathrm{n}=438591$ |
| ELA | AVHS | County | State | Math | AVHS | County | State |
| Standard Exceeded | 11\% | 37\% | 26\% | Standard Exceeded | 0\% | 28\% | 13\% |
| Standard Met | 25\% | 28\% | 30\% | Standard Met | 4\% | 20\% | 18\% |
| Standard Nearly Met | 32\% | 18\% | 22\% | Standard Nearly Met | 7\% | 20\% | 23\% |
| Standard Not Met | 32\% | 17\% | 22\% | Standard Not Met | 89\% | 33\% | 46\% |
| \% Tested | 76\% | 91\% | 94\% | \% Tested | 76\% | 90\% | 94\% |
| SPECIAL EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Enrolled $=<10$ | $\mathrm{n}=<10$ | $\mathrm{n}=1613$ | $\mathrm{n}=40092$ | Enrolled $=<10$ | $\mathrm{n}=<10$ | $\mathrm{n}=1590$ | $\mathrm{n}=39681$ |
| ELA | AVHS | County | State | Math | AVHS | County | State |
| Standard Exceeded | * | 7\% | 3\% | Standard Exceeded | * | 5\% | 2\% |
| Standard Met | * | 13\% | 11\% | Standard Met | * | 5\% | 3\% |
| Standard Nearly Met | * | 24\% | 23\% | Standard Nearly Met | * | 11\% | 9\% |
| Standard Not Met | * | 56\% | 62\% | Standard Not Met | * | 79\% | 87\% |
| \% Tested |  | 83\% | 88\% | \% Tested |  | 82\% | 87\% |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Enrolled $=29$ | $\mathrm{n}=24$ | $\mathrm{n}=6820$ | $\mathrm{n}=254223$ | Enrolled = 29 | $\mathrm{n}=24$ | $\mathrm{n}=6777$ | $\mathrm{n}=253452$ |
| ELA | AVHS | County | State | Math | AVHS | County | State |
| Standard Exceeded | 4\% | 17\% | 16\% | Standard Exceeded | 0\% | 9\% | 6\% |
| Standard Met | 25\% | 28\% | 30\% | Standard Met | 0\% | 15\% | 14\% |
| Standard Nearly Met | 33\% | 25\% | 26\% | Standard Nearly Met | 8\% | 22\% | 23\% |
| Standard Not Met | 38\% | 29\% | 28\% | Standard Not Met | 92\% | 54\% | 57\% |
| \% Tested | 83\% | 91\% | 94\% | \% Tested | 83\% | 91\% | 93\% | ENGIISH LEARNERS


| Enrolled $=<10$ | $\mathrm{n}=<10$ | $\mathrm{n}=1940$ | $\mathrm{n}=39893$ | Enrolled = $<10$ | $\mathrm{n}=10$ | $\mathrm{n}=1944$ | $\mathrm{n}=40377$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELA | AVHS | County | State | Math | AVHS | County | Sta |
| Standard Exceeded | * | 1\% | 1\% | Standard Exceeded | * | 3\% | 2\% |
| Standard Met | * | 9\% | 7\% | Standard Met | * | 7\% | 4\% |
| Standard Nearly Met | * | 27\% | 24\% | Standard Nearly Met | * | 12\% | 9\% |
| Standard Not Met | * | 64\% | 69\% | Standard Not Met | * | 78\% | 85\% |
| \% Tested |  | 89\% | 89\% | \% Tested |  | 89\% | 90\% |

CAUCASIAN

| Enrolled $=<10$ | $\mathrm{n}=<10$ | $\mathrm{n}=3737$ | $\mathrm{n}=105038$ | Enrolled = <10 | $\mathrm{n}=<10$ | $\mathrm{n}=3713$ | $\mathrm{n}=105487$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELA | AVHS | County | State | Math | AVHS | County | State |
| Standard Exceeded | * | 48\% | 19\% | Standard Exceeded | * | 32\% | 36\% |
| Standard Met | * | 29\% | 25\% | Standard Met | * | 26\% | 32\% |
| Standard Nearly Met | * | 13\% | 24\% | Standard Nearly Met | * | 21\% | 18\% |
| Standard Not Met | * | 10\% | 32\% | Standard Not Met | * | 21\% | 14\% |
| \% Tested |  | 87\% | 93\% | \% Tested |  | 86\% | 93\% |
| HISPANIC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Enrolled $=26$ | $\mathrm{n}=21$ | $\mathrm{n}=6997$ | $\mathrm{n}=233620$ | Enrolled = 26 | $\mathrm{n}=21$ | $\mathrm{n}=6923$ | $\mathrm{n}=232853$ |
| ELA | AVHS | County | State | Math | AVHS | County | State |
| Standard Exceeded | 5\% | 15\% | 16\% | Standard Exceeded | 0\% | 5\% | 5\% |
| Standard Met | 29\% | 29\% | 31\% | Standard Met | 0\% | 13\% | 14\% |
| Standard Nearly Met | 33\% | 27\% | 26\% | Standard Nearly Met | 10\% | 23\% | 24\% |
| Standard Not Met | 33\% | 30\% | 27\% | Standard Not Met | 90\% | 59\% | 57\% |
| \% Tested | 81\% | 91\% | 94\% | \% Tested | 81\% | 90\% | 94\% |


| ALL STUDENTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Enrolled $=42$ | $\mathrm{n}=28$ | $\mathrm{n}=18603$ | $\mathrm{n}=440797$ | Enrolled $=42$ | $\mathrm{n}=31$ | $\mathrm{n}=18398$ | $n=438789$ |
| ELA | AVHS | County | State | Math | AVHS | County | State |
| Standard Exceeded | 14\% | 39\% | 27\% | Standard Exceeded | 6\% | 31\% | 14\% |
| Standard Met | 25\% | 27\% | 30\% | Standard Met | 0\% | 19\% | 18\% |
| Standard Nearly Met | 25\% | 17\% | 21\% | Standard Nearly Met | 19\% | 18 | 22\% |
| Standard Not Met | 36\% | 17\% | 21\% | Standard Not Met | 74\% | 31\% | 45\% |
| \% Tested | 67\% | 92\% | 94\% | \% Tested | 74\% | 91\% | 94\% |
| SPECIAL EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Enrolled $=<10$ | $\mathrm{n}=<10$ | $\mathrm{n}=1610$ | $\mathrm{n}=41563$ | Enrolled $=<10$ | =<10 | $\mathrm{n}=15$ | $\mathrm{n}=41053$ |
| ELA | AVHS | County | State | Math | AVHS | County | State |
| Standard Exceeded | * | 7\% | 4\% | Standard Exceeded | * | 4\% | 2\% |
| Standard Met | * | 13\% | 12\% | Standard Met | * | 6\% | 4\% |
| Standard Nearly Met | * | 24\% | 24\% | Standard Nearly Met | * | 11\% | 9\% |
| Standard Not Met | * | 55\% | 60\% | Standard Not Met | * | 78\% | $86 \%$ |
| \% Tested |  | 81\% | 88\% | \% Tested |  | 80\% | 87\% |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Enrolled $=27$ | $n=19$ | $\mathrm{n}=6418$ | $\mathrm{n}=253059$ | Enrolled = 27 | $\mathrm{n}=22$ | $\mathrm{n}=6343$ | $\mathrm{n}=251903$ |
| ELA | AVHS | County | State | Math | AVHS | County | State |
| Standard Exceeded | 5\% | 18\% | 18\% | Standard Exceeded | 0\% | 10\% | 7\% |
| Standard Met | 21\% | 28\% | 30\% | Standard Met | 0\% | 16\% | 14\% |
| Standard Nearly Met | 32\% | 25\% | 25\% | Standard Nearly Met | 23\% | 22\% | 22\% |
| Standard Not Met | 42\% | 29\% | 28\% | Standard Not Met | 77\% | 53\% | 57\% |
| \% Tested | 70\% | 91\% | 94\% | \% Tested | 81\% | 90\% | 94\% |
| ENGLISH LEARNERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Enrolled $=<10$ | $\mathrm{n}=<10$ | $\mathrm{n}=1811$ | $\mathrm{n}=38861$ | Enrolled $=<10$ | $\mathrm{n}=10$ | $\mathrm{n}=1792$ | $\mathrm{n}=39302$ |
| ELA | AVHS | County | State | Math | AVHS | County | State |
| Standard Exceeded | * | 1\% | 1\% | Standard Exceeded | * | 3\% | 1\% |
| Standard Met | * | 8\% | 7\% | Standard Met | * | 7\% | 4\% |
| Standard Nearly Met | * | 27\% | 24\% | Standard Nearly Met | * | 11\% | 9\% |
| Standard Not Met | * | 64\% | 68\% | Standard Not Met | * | 79\% | 86\% |
| \% Tested |  | 88\% | 89\% | \% Tested |  | 87\% | 90\% |
| CAUCASIAN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Enrolled $=<10$ | $\mathrm{n}=<10$ | $\mathrm{n}=3524$ | $\mathrm{n}=102665$ | Enrolled $=<10$ | $\mathrm{n}=<10$ | $\mathrm{n}=3482$ | $n=102098$ |
| ELA | AVHS | County | State | Math | AVHS | County | State |
| Standard Exceeded | * | 50\% | 38\% | Standard Exceeded | * | 37\% | 20\% |
| Standard Met | * | 28\% | 31\% | Standard Met | * | 25\% | 25\% |
| Standard Nearly Met | * | 13\% | 17\% | Standard Nearly Met | * | 18\% | 24\% |
| Standard Not Met | * | 9\% | 14\% | Standard Not Met | * | 19\% | 32\% |
| \% Tested |  | 89\% | 94\% | \% Tested |  | 88\% | 93\% |
| HISPANIC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Enrolled $=24$ | n=19 | $\mathrm{n}=6972$ | $\mathrm{n}=237522$ | Enrolled = 24 | $\mathrm{n}=20$ | $\mathrm{n}=6887$ | $n=236596$ |
| ELA | AVHS | County | State | Math | AVHS | County | Sta |
| Standard Exceeded | 0\% | 15\% | 18\% | Standard Exceeded | 0\% | 6\% | 6\% |
| Standard Met | 21\% | 28\% | 31\% | Standard Met | 0\% | 14\% | 15\% |
| Standard Nearly Met | 26\% | 26\% | 25\% | Standard Nearly Met | 15\% | 23\% | 23\% |
| Standard Not Met | 53\% | 30\% | 26\% | Standard Not Met | 5\% | 58 | 57\% |
| \% Tested | 76\% | 91\% | 95\% | \% Tested | 83\% | 90\% | 94\% |


| ASIAN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ASIAN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Enrolled $=<10$ | $\mathrm{n}=<10$ | $\mathrm{n}=5559$ | $\mathrm{n}=42554$ | Enrolled $=<10$ | $\mathrm{n}=<10$ | $n=5542$ | $\mathrm{n}=42607$ | Enrolled $=<10$ | $\mathrm{n}=<10$ | $\mathrm{n}=5833$ | $\mathrm{n}=42710$ | Enrolled $=<10$ | $\mathrm{n}=<10$ | $\mathrm{n}=5788$ | $\mathrm{n}=42669$ |
| ELA | AVHS | County | State | Math | AVHS | County | State | ELA | AVHS | County | State | Math | AVHS | County | State |
| Standard Exceeded | * | 61\% | 52\% | Standard Exceeded | * | 56\% | 44\% | Standard Exceeded | * | 61\% | 54\% | Standard Exceeded | * | 60\% | 46\% |
| Standard Met | * | 24\% | 27\% | Standard Met | * | 23\% | 25\% | Standard Met | * | 24\% | 26\% | Standard Met | * | 21\% | 24\% |
| Standard Nearly Met | * | 8\% | 12\% | Standard Nearly Met | * | 12\% | 16\% | Standard Nearly Met | * | 9\% | 12\% | Standard Nearly Met | * | 11\% | 15\% |
| Standard Not Met | * | 7\% | 9\% | Standard Not Met | * | 9\% | 15\% | Standard Not Met | * | 6\% | 8\% | Standard Not Met | * | 8\% | 15\% |
| \% Tested |  | 94\% | 96\% | \% Tested |  | 94\% | 97\% | \% Tested |  | 95\% | 97\% | \% Tested |  | 94\% | 97\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | AFRICAN AMERICAN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Enrolled $=<10$ | $\mathrm{n}=<10$ | $\mathrm{n}=393$ | $\mathrm{n}=24310$ | Enrolled $=<10$ | $\mathrm{n}=<10$ | $\mathrm{n}=384$ | $\mathrm{n}=24086$ | Enrolled $=<10$ | $\mathrm{n}=<10$ | $\mathrm{n}=365$ | $\mathrm{n}=23392$ | Enrolled $=<10$ | $\mathrm{n}=<10$ | $\mathrm{n}=354$ | $\mathrm{n}=23165$ |
| ELA | AVHS | County | State | Math | AVHS | County | State | ELA | AVHS | County | State | Math | AVHS | County | State |
| Standard Exceeded | * | 15\% | 12\% | Standard Exceeded | * | 8\% | 3\% | Standard Exceeded | * | 21\% | 14\% | Standard Exceeded | * | 7\% | 4\% |
| Standard Met | * | 35\% | 24\% | Standard Met | * | 15\% | 10\% | Standard Met | * | 27\% | 25\% | Standard Met | * | 16\% | 11\% |
| Standard Nearly Met | * | 21\% | 26\% | Standard Nearly Met | * | 28\% | 19\% | Standard Nearly Met | * | 23\% | 25\% | Standard Nearly Met | * | 25\% | 19\% |
| Standard Not Met | * | 28\% | 37\% | Standard Not Met | * | 49\% | 67\% | Standard Not Met | * | 29\% | 37\% | Standard Not Met | * | 52\% | 66\% |
| \% Tested |  | 88\% | 91\% | \% Tested |  | 86\% | 90\% | \% Tested |  | 87\% | 90\% | \% Tested |  | 85\% | 89\% |
| FILIPINO |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | FILIPINO |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Enrolled $=<10$ | $\mathrm{n}=<10$ | $\mathrm{n}=845$ | $\mathrm{n}=13088$ | Enrolled = < 10 | $\mathrm{n}=<10$ | $\mathrm{n}=836$ | $\mathrm{n}=13046$ | Enrolled $=<10$ | $\mathrm{n}=<10$ | $\mathrm{n}=844$ | $\mathrm{n}=12499$ | Enrolled = < 10 | $\mathrm{n}=<10$ | $\mathrm{n}=826$ | $\mathrm{n}=12446$ |
| ELA | AVHS | County | State | Math | AVHS | County | State | ELA | AVHS | County | State | Math | AVHS | County | State |
| Standard Exceeded | * | 34\% | 39\% | Standard Exceeded | * | 17\% | 19\% | Standard Exceeded | * | 33\% | 41\% | Standard Exceeded | * | 17\% | 21\% |
| Standard Met | * | 35\% | 36\% | Standard Met | * | 27\% | 30\% | Standard Met | * | 40\% | 35\% | Standard Met | * | 26\% | 30\% |
| Standard Nearly Met | * | 21\% | 17\% | Standard Nearly Met | * | 27\% | 25\% | Standard Nearly Met | * | 18\% | 16\% | Standard Nearly Met | * | 29\% | 25\% |
| Standard Not Met | * | 10\% | 8\% | Standard Not Met | * | 29\% | 25\% | Standard Not Met | * | 9\% | 9\% | Standard Not Met | * | 28\% | 24\% |
| \% Tested |  | 96\% | 98\% | \% Tested |  | 95\% | 97\% | \% Tested |  | 93\% | 97\% | \% Tested |  | 91\% | 97\% |
| FEMALES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | FEMALES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Enrolled $=15$ | $\mathrm{n}=12$ | $\mathrm{n}=8957$ | $\mathrm{n}=216458$ | Enrolled = 15 | $\mathrm{n}=12$ | $\mathrm{n}=8897$ | $\mathrm{n}=215696$ | Enrolled = 13 | $\mathrm{n}=9$ | $\mathrm{n}=8954$ | $\mathrm{n}=217115$ | Enrolled = 13 | $\mathrm{n}=10$ | $\mathrm{n}=8847$ | $\mathrm{n}=216021$ |
| ELA | AVHS | County | State | Math | AVHS | County | State | ELA | AVHS | County | State | Math | AVHS | County | State |
| Standard Exceeded | 8\% | 41\% | 29\% | Standard Exceeded | 0\% | 27\% | 12\% | Standard Exceeded | * | 43\% | 31\% | Standard Exceeded | * | 30\% | 13\% |
| Standard Met | 25\% | 29\% | 33\% | Standard Met | 0\% | 21\% | 20\% | Standard Met | * | 29\% | 33\% | Standard Met | * | 22\% | 20\% |
| Standard Nearly Met | 58\% | 17\% | 22\% | Standard Nearly Met | 8\% | 21\% | 25\% | Standard Nearly Met | * | 17\% | 21\% | Standard Nearly Met | * | 20\% | 24\% |
| Standard Not Met | 8\% | 13\% | 16\% | Standard Not Met | 92\% | 31\% | 44\% | Standard Not Met | * | 12\% | 16\% | Standard Not Met | * | 29\% | 43\% |
| \% Tested | 80\% | 91\% | 94\% | \% Tested | 80\% | 90\% | 94\% | \% Tested | 69\% | 92\% | 95\% | \% Tested | 77\% | 91\% | 94\% |
| MALES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | MALES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Enrolled $=22$ | $\mathrm{n}=16$ | $\mathrm{n}=9412$ | $\mathrm{n}=223674$ | Enrolled = 22 | $\mathrm{n}=16$ | $\mathrm{n}=9337$ | $\mathrm{n}=222895$ | Enrolled $=29$ | $\mathrm{n}=19$ | $\mathrm{n}=9649$ | $\mathrm{n}=223682$ | Enrolled = 29 | $\mathrm{n}=21$ | $\mathrm{n}=9551$ | $\mathrm{n}=222768$ |
| ELA | AVHS | County | State | Math | AVHS | County | State | ELA | AVHS | County | State | Math | AVHS | County | State |
| Standard Exceeded | 13\% | 34\% | 22\% | Standard Exceeded | 0\% | 29\% | 14\% | Standard Exceeded | 16\% | 36\% | 24\% | Standard Exceeded | 10\% | 32\% | 15\% |
| Standard Met | 25\% | 27\% | 28\% | Standard Met | 6\% | 18\% | 17\% | Standard Met | 16\% | 26\% | 28\% | Standard Met | 0\% | 17\% | 17\% |
| Standard Nearly Met | 13\% | 18\% | 23\% | Standard Nearly Met | 6\% | 18\% | 21\% | Standard Nearly Met | 26\% | 17\% | 22\% | Standard Nearly Met | 10\% | 17\% | 21\% |
| Standard Not Met | 50\% | 21\% | 27\% | Standard Not Met | 88\% | 35\% | 48\% | Standard Not Met | 42\% | 21\% | 27\% | Standard Not Met | 81\% | 33\% | 48\% |
| \% Tested | 73\% | 91\% | 94\% | \% Tested | 73\% | 91\% | 93\% | \% Tested | 66\% | 92\% | 94\% | \% Tested | 72\% | 91\% | 94\% |

## Section 2

## 2017-2018 vs 2018-2019 Official ORS Gain/Loss Report

Exceeding/Meeting Standards
Standard Not Met
Disaggregated by Special Populations

## Exceeded/Met Standards

|  | 2018 |  | 2019 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ELA | Math | ELA | ELA <br> Difference | Math | Math Difference |
| All | 80\% | 69\% | 83\% | 3\% | 69\% | 0\% |
| Caucasian | 89\% | 80\% | 94\% | 5\% | 81\% | 1\% |
| Latino | 50\% | 29\% | 56\% | 7\% | 31\% | 2\% |
| Asian | 92\% | 90\% | 91\% | -1\% | 86\% | -4\% |
| EL (LEP) | 16\% | 11\% | 3\% | -13\% | 0\% | -11\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 49\% | 27\% | 52\% | 3\% | 29\% | 2\% |
| Special Ed (R \& S) | 41\% | 26\% | 37\% | -4\% | 14\% | -12\% |

Standard Not Met

|  | 2018 |  | 2019 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ELA | Math | ELA | ELA <br> Difference | Math | Math Difference |
| All | 10\% | 19\% | 8\% | -2\% | 17\% | -2\% |
| Caucasian | 6\% | 11\% | 3\% | -3\% | 7\% | -4\% |
| Latino | 24\% | 49\% | 22\% | -2\% | 43\% | -5\% |
| Asian | 4\% | 4\% | 5\% | 1\% | 9\% | 5\% |
| EL (LEP) | 67\% | 76\% | 83\% | 16\% | 94\% | 18\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 27\% | 49\% | 22\% | -5\% | 45\% | -4\% |
| Special Ed (R \& S) | 19\% | 60\% | 38\% | 19\% | 67\% | 7\% |

* = Numbers too low to report percentages

Percentages are rounded to the nearest whole number

Source: CDE - DataQuest

## Exceeded/Met Standards

|  | 2018 |  | 2019 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ELA | Math | ELA | ELA <br> Difference | Math | Math Difference |
| All | 79\% | 72\% | 83\% | 4\% | 71\% | 0\% |
| Caucasian | 90\% | 82\% | 94\% | 4\% | 82\% | 0\% |
| Latino | 45\% | 33\% | 56\% | 11\% | 35\% | 2\% |
| Asian | 92\% | 90\% | 91\% | -1\% | 91\% | 1\% |
| EL (LEP) | 10\% | 15\% | 0\% | -10\% | 0\% | -15\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 48\% | 34\% | 51\% | 4\% | 32\% | -2\% |
| Special Ed (R \& S) | 43\% | 27\% | 32\% | -11\% | 15\% | -12\% |

Standard Not Met

|  | 2018 |  | 2019 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ELA | Math | ELA | ELA <br> Difference | Math | Math Difference |
| All | 10\% | 19\% | 8\% | -2\% | 15\% | -4\% |
| Caucasian | 5\% | 12\% | 3\% | -2\% | 6\% | -6\% |
| Latino | 30\% | 49\% | 29\% | -2\% | 44\% | -5\% |
| Asian | 5\% | 3\% | 1\% | -4\% | 6\% | 3\% |
| EL (LEP) | 77\% | 76\% | 94\% | 18\% | 94\% | 18\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 33\% | 47\% | 28\% | -6\% | 43\% | -4\% |
| Special Ed (R \& S) | 41\% | 57\% | 41\% | 0\% | 66\% | 9\% |

* = Numbers too low to report percentages

Percentages are rounded to the nearest whole number
Source: CDE - DataQuest

Exceeded/Met Standards

|  | 2018 |  | 2019 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ELA | Math | ELA | ELA <br> Difference | Math | Math Difference |
| All | 82\% | 70\% | 85\% | 3\% | 71\% | 1\% |
| Caucasian | 89\% | 80\% | 94\% | 5\% | 82\% | 2\% |
| Latino | 56\% | 30\% | 62\% | 6\% | 33\% | 3\% |
| Asian | 91\% | 91\% | 90\% | -1\% | 85\% | -6\% |
| EL (LEP) | 24\% | 10\% | * | * | * | * |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 55\% | 28\% | 57\% | 2\% | 32\% | 5\% |
| Special Ed (R \& S) | 45\% | 28\% | 46\% | 1\% | 15\% | -13\% |

Standard Not Met

|  | 2018 |  | 2019 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ELA | Math | ELA | ELA <br> Difference | Math | Math Difference |
| All | 8\% | 15\% | 6\% | -2\% | 15\% | 0\% |
| Caucasian | 6\% | 8\% | 2\% | -3\% | 6\% | -2\% |
| Latino | 19\% | 42\% | 12\% | -6\% | 36\% | -5\% |
| Asian | 3\% | 4\% | 7\% | 4\% | 9\% | 5\% |
| EL (LEP) | 50\% | 67\% | * | * | * | * |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 20\% | 40\% | 13\% | -7\% | 39\% | -1\% |
| Special Ed (R \& S) | 35\% | 57\% | 31\% | -4\% | 63\% | 6\% |

* = Numbers too low to report percentages

Percentages are rounded to the nearest whole number
Source: CDE - DataQuest

Exceeded/Met Standards

|  | 2018 |  | 2019 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ELA | Math | ELA | ELA <br> Difference | Math | Math Difference |
| All | 36\% | 4\% | 39\% | 4\% | 6\% | 3\% |
| Caucasian | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Latino | 33\% | 0\% | 21\% | -12\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Asian | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| EL (LEP) | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 29\% | 0\% | 26\% | -3\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Special Ed (R \& S) | * | * | * | * | * | * |

Standard Not Met

|  | 2018 |  | 2019 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ELA | Math | ELA | ELA <br> Difference | Math | Math Difference |
| All | 32\% | 89\% | 36\% | 4\% | 74\% | -15\% |
| Caucasian | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Latino | 33\% | 90\% | 53\% | 19\% | 85\% | -5\% |
| Asian | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| EL (LEP) | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 38\% | 92\% | 42\% | 5\% | 77\% | -14\% |
| Special Ed (R\&S) | * | * | * | * | * | * |

* = Numbers too low to report percentages

Percentages are rounded to the nearest whole number

Source: CDE - DataQuest

## Section 3

## Five-Year District-wide Results

## Comparing Performance of All Students with that of Special Populations

ELA \& Math
2019
2018
2017
2016
2015

SBAC 2019 District-wide Official Results



|  | Total Enrolled | Total Tested | \% Tested |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELA | 1075 | 970 | $90 \%$ |
| Math | 1075 | 965 | $90 \%$ |

SBAC 2018 District-wide Official Results


|  | Total Enrolled | Total Tested | \% Tested |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELA | 1020 | 909 | $89 \%$ |
| Math | 1020 | 909 | $89 \%$ |



|  | Total Enrolled | Total Tested | \% Tested |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELA | 1044 | 931 | $89 \%$ |
| Math | 1044 | 931 | $89 \%$ |



|  | Total Enrolled | Total Tested | \% Tested |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELA | 936 | 862 | $92 \%$ |
| Math | 936 | 868 | $93 \%$ |




|  | Total Enrolled | Total Tested | \% Tested* |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELA | 977 | 742 | $76 \%$ |
| Math | 977 | 727 | $74 \%$ |

## Section 4

## Five-Year District-wide Results Disaggregated for Special Populations

ELA
Math
Showing percent of students who Exceeded/Met standards vs. Nearly Met/Not Met 2015-2019

District
MVHS
LAHS

MVLA SBAC ELA
2015-2019


MVLA SBAC MATH
2015-2019





MVHS SBAC ELA
2015-2019


MVHS SBAC MATH
2015-2019










LAHS SBAC ELA






LAHS SBAC MATH
2015-2019


## Section 5

## Comparing Results

## All Students vs. All Latino Students

2019 District - Schools<br>2015-2019 ELA/Math—MVHS-LAHS

MVLA Comparing All Stu with all Latino Stu SBAC Results
Standards Met/Exceeded
2019


## Standards Met/Exceeded

2015-2019

MVHS



LAHS



MVHS



LAHS



## Section 6

## 2019 SBAC Latino Results

Disaggregated by Latino Subgroups
Capturing the percentage of students meeting/exceeding standards vs those not meeting/nearly meeting standards

MVHS
LAHS

Results based on Indicator $1 \mathrm{a} / \mathrm{b}$, extracted via AERIES Query

District Wide Comparison of SBAC ELA Summative Results
Latino Populations (Based on Indicators 1a/b)
2015-2019


District Wide Comparison of SBAC ELA Summative Results
Latino Populations (Based on Indicators 1a/b)



District Wide Comparison of SBAC ELA Summative Results
Latino Populations (Based on Indicators 1a/b)
2015-2019



District Wide Comparison of SBAC ELA Summative Results
Latino Populations (Based on Indicators 1a/b)
2015-2019
Latino Students (no SpEd, LEP, SED)

$\square$ Nearly Met/Not Met
$\square$ Exceeded/Met

Latino Students who are RFEP

$\square$ Nearly Met/Not Met
$\square$ Exceeded/Met

District Wide Comparison of SBAC Math Summative Results
Latino Populations (Based on Indicators 1a/b)
2015-2018



District Wide Comparison of SBAC Math Summative Results
Latino Populations (Based on Indicators 1a/b)
2015-2018



District Wide Comparison of SBAC Math Summative Results
Latino Populations (Based on Indicators 1a/b)
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## Section 7

# SBAC Score Distribution by Student Populations <br> Capturing Performance at each Proficiency Level 2019 

Ethnicity<br>Special Populations<br>Feeder Schools<br>English \& Math Courses

| Ethnicity | Total \# Tested | \% Tested | \% Exceeded | \% Met | \% Nearly Met | \% Not Met |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Latino | 96 | 79 | 24 | 33 | 15 | 28 |
| Asian | 131 | 92 | 79 | 12 | 8 | 2 |
| Caucasian | 165 | 86 | 73 | 21 | 4 | 2 |
| All | 425 | 87 | 62 | 22 | 8 | 8 |


| Special Population | Total \# Tested | \% Tested | \% Exceeded | \% Met | \% Nearly Met | \% Not Met |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SpEd (Resource \& SDC) | 45 | 78 | 2 | 29 | 29 | 40 |
| English Learners | 17 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| AVID | 32 | 94 | 28 | 41 | 19 |  |
| Econ. Disadv. | 83 | 77 | 30 | 27 | 18 |  |


| Feeder Schools | Total \# Tested | \% Tested | \% Exceeded | \% Met | \% Nearly Met | \% Not Met |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Blach | 157 | 91 | 80 | 15 | 3 | 2 |
| Crittenden | 43 | 93 | 28 | 37 | 21 | 14 |
| Graham | 123 | 88 | 55 | 23 | 12 | 10 |
| Other | 99 | 77 | 56 | 23 | 7 | 14 |


| English Courses | Total \# Tested | \% Tested | \% Exceeded | \% Met | \% Nearly Met | \% Not Met |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Am Lit Honors | 91 | 95 | 89 | 8 | 3 | 0 |
| Am Lit Survey | 285 | 93 | 59 | 26 | 9 | 6 |
| AP English Language | 1 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 |


| Ethnicity | Total \# Tested | \% Tested | \% Exceeded | \% Met | \% Nearly Met | \% Not Met |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Latino | 95 | 79 | 15 | 21 | 21 | 43 |
| Asian | 128 | 90 | 75 | 16 | 5 | 5 |
| Caucasian | 166 | 87 | 58 | 23 | 12 | 7 |
| All | 421 | 86 | 51 | 20 | 14 | 14 |


| Special Population | Total \# Tested | \% Tested | \% Exceeded | \% Met | \% Nearly Met | \% Not Met |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SpEd | 42 | 72 | 5 | 10 | 21 | 64 |
| English Learners | 19 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 95 |
| AVID | 32 | 94 | 25 | 22 | 31 | 22 |
| Econ. Disadv. | 85 | 79 | 24 | 15 | 20 | 41 |


| Feeder Schools | Total \# Tested | \% Tested | \% Exceeded | \% Met | \% Nearly Met | \% Not Met |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Blach | 156 | 90 | 71 | 17 | 9 | 4 |
| Crittenden | 42 | 91 | 24 | 19 | 31 | 26 |
| Graham | 122 | 87 | 42 | 24 | 15 | 20 |
| Other | 98 | 77 | 45 | 21 | 13 | 20 |


| Math Courses | Total \# Tested | \% Tested | \% Exceeded | \% Met | \% Nearly Met | \% Not Met |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Geometry | 5 | 71 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 60 |
| Algebra II | 60 | 94 | 2 | 35 | 47 |  |
| Trig/Math Ana | 107 | 91 | 45 | 43 | 10 |  |
| Trig/Math Ana H | 78 | 94 | 94 | 5 | 0 |  |
| Calculus | 3 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 0 |  |
| AP Calc AB | 28 | 97 | 93 | 7 | 0 | 1 |
| AP Calc BC | 49 | 92 | 100 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Other Math Course | 93 | 80 | 10 | 5 | 0 | 0 |


| Ethnicity | Total \# Tested | \% Tested | \% Exceeded | \% Met | \% Nearly Met | \% Not Met |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Latino | 120 | 94 | 28 | 35 | 25 |  |
| Asian | 173 | 99 | 75 | 17 | 3 |  |
| Caucasian | 184 | 92 | 73 | 22 | 3 |  |
| All | 504 | 95 | 61 | 24 | 2 |  |


| Special Population | Total \# Tested | \% Tested | \% Exceeded | \% Met | \% Nearly Met | \% Not Met |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SpEd | 31 | 91 | 3 | 29 | 29 | 39 |
| English Learners | 9 | 82 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 89 |
| AVID | 56 | 98 | 38 | 41 | 16 | 5 |
| Econ. Disadv. | 114 | 96 | 29 | 32 | 23 | 16 |


| Feeder Schools | Total \# Tested | \% Tested | \% Exceeded | \% Met | \% Nearly Met | \% Not Met |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Blach | 39 | 98 | 64 | 18 | 10 | 8 |
| Crittenden | 71 | 93 | 37 | 37 | 20 | 7 |
| Egan | 231 | 95 | 74 | 19 | 4 | 3 |
| Graham | 62 | 95 | 42 | 24 | 19 | 15 |
| Other | 101 | 95 | 61 | 28 | 5 | 6 |


| English Courses | Total \# Tested | \% Tested | \% Exceeded | \% Met | \% Nearly Met | \% Not Met |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AP English Language | 137 | 99 | 85 | 14 | 1 | 0 |
| Am Lit Survey | 304 | 97 | 57 | 28 | 10 |  |
| Soc \& Pol in Lit | 14 | 100 | 7 | 29 | 50 |  |

LAHS 2019
Math

| Ethnicity | Total \# Tested | \% Tested | \% Exceeded | \% Met | \% Nearly Met | \% Not Met |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Latino | 118 | 93 | 15 | 18 | 31 | 36 |
| Asian | 173 | 99 | 72 | 14 | 5 | 9 |
| Caucasian | 183 | 92 | 61 | 22 | 12 | 5 |
| All | 501 | 95 | 52 | 19 | 14 | 15 |


| Special Population | Total \# Tested | \% Tested | \% Exceeded | \% Met | \% Nearly Met | \% Not Met |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SpEd | 31 | 91 | 0 | 3 | 23 | 74 |
| English Learners | 9 | 82 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 89 |
| AVID | 55 | 96 | 7 | 31 | 42 | 20 |
| Econ. Disadv. | 112 | 94 | 13 | 21 | 31 | 36 |


| Feeder Schools | Total \# Tested | \% Tested | \% Exceeded | \% Met | \% Nearly Met | \% Not Met |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Blach | 38 | 95 | 66 | 11 | 5 | 18 |
| Crittenden | 71 | 93 | 18 | 21 | 32 | 28 |
| Egan | 229 | 94 | 66 | 19 | 8 | 7 |
| Graham | 62 | 95 | 26 | 15 | 27 | 32 |
| Other | 101 | 95 | 53 | 25 | 11 | 11 |


| Math Courses | Total \# Tested | \% Tested | \% Exceeded | \% Met | \% Nearly Met | \% Not Met |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Geometry | 14 | 88 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 93 |
| Algebra II | 64 | 98 | 3 | 16 | 41 | 4 |
| Trig/Math Ana | 174 | 97 | 44 | 36 | 14 |  |
| Trig/Math Ana H | 76 | 99 | 92 | 7 | 1 |  |
| Calculus | 11 | 100 | 64 | 36 | 0 |  |
| AP Calc AB | 39 | 98 | 87 | 10 | 0 |  |
| AP Calc BC | 47 | 100 | 98 | 2 | 3 | 0 |
| Other Math Course | 42 | 98 | 43 | 14 | 0 | 0 |

## Section 8

## 2019 SBAC Cohort Study

Class of 2020 ( $8^{\text {th }}$ grade scores in 2016 vs $\mathbf{1 1}^{\text {th }}$ grade scores in 2019)
Class of 2019 ( $8^{\text {th }}$ grade scores in 2015 vs $11^{\text {th }}$ grade scores in 2018)

Comparing Performance in $8^{\text {th }}$ grade with their Performance in $11^{\text {th }}$ grade MVHS

LAHS

## ELA

| ALL Performance Levels |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student <br> Population | Tested Both <br> Years | Performance <br> Improved |  | Performance <br> Remained the Same |  | Performance <br> Declined |  |  |
|  | $\#$ | $\#$ | $\mathbf{\%}$ | $\#$ | $\mathbf{\%}$ | $\#$ | $\mathbf{\%}$ |  |
| All | 353 | 105 | $\mathbf{3 0 \%}$ | 223 | $\mathbf{6 3 \%}$ | 25 | $\mathbf{7 \%}$ |  |
| Latino | 76 | 26 | $\mathbf{3 4 \%}$ | 39 | $\mathbf{5 1 \%}$ | 11 | $\mathbf{1 4 \%}$ |  |


| Performance Level 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student <br> Population | Tested Both Years | Performance Improved |  | Performance Remained the Same |  | Performance |  |
|  | \# | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% |
| All | 154 | N/A |  | 144 | 94\% | 10 | 6\% |
| Latino | 11 |  |  | 8 | 73\% | 3 | 27\% |


| Performance Level 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student <br> Population | Tested Both <br> Years | Performance <br> Improved |  | Performance <br> Remained the Same |  | Performance <br> Declined |  |
|  | $\#$ | $\#$ | $\%$ | $\#$ | $\%$ | $\#$ | $\%$ |
| All | 130 | 74 | $\mathbf{5 7 \%}$ | 49 | $\mathbf{3 8 \%}$ | 7 | $5 \%$ |
| Latino | 23 | 10 | $\mathbf{4 3 \%}$ | 12 | $\mathbf{5 2 \%}$ | 1 | $4 \%$ |


| Performance Level 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student <br> Population | Tested Both <br> Years | Performance <br> Improved |  | Performance <br> Remained the Same |  | Performance <br> Declined |  |  |
|  | $\#$ | $\#$ | $\%$ | $\#$ | $\%$ | $\#$ | $\%$ |  |
| All | 45 | 19 | $\mathbf{4 2 \%}$ | 18 | $\mathbf{4 0 \%}$ | 8 | $18 \%$ |  |
| Latino | 23 | 8 | $\mathbf{3 5 \%}$ | 8 | $\mathbf{3 5 \%}$ | 7 | $30 \%$ |  |


| Performance Level 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student <br> Population | Tested Both Years | Performance Improved |  | Performance Remained the Same |  | Performance Declined |  |
|  | \# | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% |
| All | 24 | 12 | 50\% | 12 | 50\% | N/A |  |
| Latino | 19 | 8 | 42\% | 11 | 58\% |  |  |

Math

| ALL Performance Levels |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student <br> Population | Tested Both <br> Years | Performance <br> Improved |  | Performance <br> Remained the Same |  | Performance <br> Declined |  |  |
|  | $\#$ | $\#$ | $\%$ | $\#$ | $\%$ | $\#$ | $\%$ |  |
| All | 350 | 31 | $\mathbf{9 \%}$ | 253 | $\mathbf{7 2 \%}$ | 66 | $\mathbf{1 9 \%}$ |  |
| Latino | 73 | 5 | $\mathbf{7 \%}$ | 51 | $\mathbf{7 0 \%}$ | 17 | $\mathbf{2 3 \%}$ |  |


| Performance Level 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student <br> Population | Tested Both <br> Years | Performance <br> Improved |  | Performance <br> Remained the Same |  | Performance <br> Declined |  |  |
|  | $\#$ | $\#$ |  | $\%$ | $\#$ | $\%$ |  |  |


| Performance Level 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student <br> Population | Tested Both <br> Years | Performance <br> Improved |  | Performance <br> Remained the Same |  | Performance <br> Declined |  |  |
|  | $\#$ | $\#$ | $\%$ | $\#$ | $\%$ | $\#$ | $\%$ |  |
| All | 58 | 14 | $\mathbf{2 4 \%}$ | 27 | $\mathbf{4 7 \%}$ | 17 | $29 \%$ |  |
| Latino | 17 | 1 | $\mathbf{6 \%}$ | 10 | $\mathbf{5 9 \%}$ | 6 | $35 \%$ |  |


| Performance Level 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student <br> Population | Tested Both <br> Years | Performance <br> Improved |  | Performance <br> Remained the Same |  | Performance <br> Declined |  |  |
|  | $\#$ | $\#$ | $\%$ | $\#$ | $\%$ | $\#$ | $\%$ |  |
| All | 45 | 11 | $\mathbf{2 4 \%}$ | 25 | $\mathbf{5 6 \%}$ | 9 | $\mathbf{2 0 \%}$ |  |
| Latino | 17 | 2 | $\mathbf{1 2 \%}$ | 8 | $\mathbf{4 7 \%}$ | 7 | $41 \%$ |  |


| Performance Level 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student <br> Population | Tested Both <br> Years | Performance <br> Improved |  | Performance <br> Remained the Same |  | Performance <br> Declined |  |
|  | $\#$ | $\#$ | $\%$ | $\#$ | $\%$ | $\#$ | $\%$ |
| All | 37 | 6 | $\mathbf{1 6 \%}$ | 31 | $84 \%$ | N/A |  |
| Latino | 24 | 2 | $8 \%$ | 22 | $\mathbf{9 2 \%}$ |  |  |

## ELA

ALL Performance Levels

| Student <br> Population |  | Tested Both <br> Years | Performance <br> Improved |  | Performance <br> Remained the Same |  | Performance <br> Declined |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\#$ | $\#$ | $\%$ | $\#$ | $\%$ | $\#$ | $\%$ |  |
| All | 426 | 124 | $\mathbf{2 9 \%}$ | 265 | $\mathbf{6 2 \%}$ | 37 | $9 \%$ |  |
| Latino | 107 | 28 | $\mathbf{2 6 \%}$ | 64 | $\mathbf{6 0 \%}$ | 15 | $\mathbf{1 4 \%}$ |  |


| Performance Level 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student <br> Population | Tested Both Years | Performance Improved |  | Performance <br> Remained the Same |  | Performance Declined |  |
|  | \# | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% |
| All | 187 | N/A |  | 177 | 95\% | 10 | 5\% |
| Latino | 20 |  |  | 18 | 90\% | 2 | 10\% |


| Performance Level 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student <br> Population | Tested Both <br> Years | Performance <br> Improved |  | Performance <br> Remained the Same |  | Performance <br> Declined |  |
|  | $\#$ | $\#$ | $\%$ | $\#$ | $\%$ | $\#$ | $\%$ |
| All | 151 | 80 | $\mathbf{5 3 \%}$ | 56 | $\mathbf{3 7 \%}$ | 15 | $\mathbf{1 0 \%}$ |
| Latino | 41 | 11 | $\mathbf{2 7 \%}$ | 22 | $\mathbf{5 4 \%}$ | 8 | $\mathbf{2 0 \%}$ |


| Performance Level 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student <br> Population | Tested Both Years | Performance Improved |  | Performance Remained the Same |  | Performance Declined |  |
|  | \# | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% |
| All | 65 | 33 | 51\% | 20 | 31\% | 12 | 18\% |
| Latino | 33 | 11 | 33\% | 17 | 52\% | 5 | 15\% |


| Performance Level 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student <br> Population | Tested Both <br> Years | Performance <br> Improved |  | Performance <br> Remained the Same |  | Performance <br> Declined |  |
|  | $\#$ | $\#$ | $\%$ | $\#$ | $\%$ | $\#$ | $\%$ |
| All | 23 | 11 | $48 \%$ | 12 | $52 \%$ | N/A |  |
| Latino | 13 | 6 | $46 \%$ | 7 | $54 \%$ |  |  |  |


| Math |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL Performance Levels |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Student <br> Population | Tested Both Years | Performance Improved |  | Performance Remained the Same |  | Performance Declined |  |
|  | \# | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% |
| All | 426 | 34 | 8\% | 307 | 72\% | 85 | 20\% |
| Latino | 106 | 9 | 8\% | 59 | 56\% | 38 | 36\% |


| Performance Level 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student <br> Population | Tested Both Years | Performance Improved |  | Performance <br> Remained the Same |  | Performance Declined |  |
|  | \# | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% |
| All | 250 | N/A |  | 211 | 84\% | 39 | 16\% |
| Latino | 21 |  |  | 11 | 52\% | 10 | 48\% |


| Performance Level 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student <br> Population | Tested Both <br> Years | Performance <br> Improved |  | Performance <br> Remained the Same |  | Performance <br> Declined |  |  |
|  | $\#$ | $\#$ | $\%$ | $\#$ | $\%$ | $\#$ | $\%$ |  |
| All | 70 | 12 | $\mathbf{1 7 \%}$ | 29 | $\mathbf{4 1 \%}$ | 29 | $41 \%$ |  |
| Latino | 25 | 2 | $\mathbf{8 \%}$ | 7 | $\mathbf{2 8 \%}$ | 16 | $64 \%$ |  |


| Performance Level 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student <br> Population | Tested Both <br> Years | Performance <br> Improved |  | Performance <br> Remained the Same |  | Performance <br> Declined |  |
|  | $\#$ | $\#$ | $\mathbf{\%}$ | $\#$ | $\%$ | $\#$ | $\%$ |
| All | 59 | 12 | $\mathbf{2 0 \%}$ | 30 | $\mathbf{5 1 \%}$ | 17 | $\mathbf{2 9 \%}$ |
| Latino | 33 | 3 | $\mathbf{9 \%}$ | 18 | $\mathbf{5 5 \%}$ | 12 | $36 \%$ |


| Performance Level 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student <br> Population | Tested Both <br> Years | Performance <br> Improved |  | Performance <br> Remained the Same |  | Performance <br> Declined |  |
|  | $\#$ | $\#$ | $\%$ | $\#$ | $\%$ | $\#$ | $\%$ |
| All | 47 | 10 | $\mathbf{2 1 \%}$ | 37 | $\mathbf{7 9 \%}$ | N/A |  |
| Latino | 27 | 4 | $15 \%$ | 23 | $85 \%$ |  |  |

## ELA

| ALL Performance Levels |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student <br> Population | Tested Both <br> Years | Performance <br> Improved |  | Performance <br> Remained the Same |  | Performance <br> Declined |  |  |
|  | $\#$ | $\#$ | $\%$ | $\#$ | $\%$ | $\#$ | $\boldsymbol{\%}$ |  |
| All | 315 | 93 | $\mathbf{3 0 \%}$ | 185 | $\mathbf{5 9 \%}$ | 37 | $\mathbf{1 2 \%}$ |  |
| Latino | 63 | 16 | $\mathbf{2 5 \%}$ | 34 | $\mathbf{5 4 \%}$ | 13 | $\mathbf{2 1 \%}$ |  |


| Performance Level 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student <br> Population | Tested Both Years | Performance Improved |  | Performance Remained the Same |  | Performance Declined |  |
|  | \# | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% |
| All | 132 | N/A |  | 119 | 90\% | 13 | 10\% |
| Latino | 4 |  |  | 3 | 75\% | 1 | 25\% |


| Performance Level 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student <br> Population | Tested Both <br> Years | Performance <br> Improved |  | Performance <br> Remained the Same |  | Performance <br> Declined |  |  |
|  | $\#$ | $\#$ | $\mathbf{\%}$ | $\#$ | $\%$ | $\#$ | $\%$ |  |
| All | 129 | 73 | $\mathbf{5 7 \%}$ | 39 | $\mathbf{3 0 \%}$ | 17 | $\mathbf{1 3 \%}$ |  |
| Latino | 28 | 10 | $\mathbf{3 6 \%}$ | 11 | $\mathbf{3 9 \%}$ | 7 | $25 \%$ |  |


| Performance Level 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student <br> Population | Tested Both <br> Years | Performance <br> Improved |  | Performance <br> Remained the Same |  | Performance <br> Declined |  |  |
|  | $\#$ | $\#$ | $\mathbf{\%}$ | $\#$ | $\mathbf{\%}$ | $\#$ | $\boldsymbol{\%}$ |  |
| All | 37 | 16 | $\mathbf{4 3 \%}$ | 14 | $\mathbf{3 8 \%}$ | 7 | $\mathbf{1 9 \%}$ |  |
| Latino | 19 | 4 | $\mathbf{2 1 \%}$ | 10 | $\mathbf{5 3 \%}$ | 5 | $\mathbf{2 6 \%}$ |  |


| Performance Level 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student <br> Population | Tested Both <br> Years | Performance <br> Improved |  | Performance <br> Remained the Same |  | Performance <br> Declined |  |
|  | $\#$ | $\#$ | $\%$ | $\#$ | $\%$ | $\#$ | $\%$ |
| All | 17 | 4 | $\mathbf{2 4 \%}$ | 13 | $\mathbf{7 6 \%}$ | N/A |  |
| Latino | 12 | 2 | $\mathbf{1 7 \%}$ | 10 | $\mathbf{8 3} \%$ |  |  |

Math

| ALL Performance Levels |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student <br> Population | Tested Both <br> Years | Performance <br> Improved |  | Performance <br> Remained the Same |  | Performance <br> Declined |  |  |
|  | $\#$ | $\#$ | $\mathbf{\%}$ | $\#$ | $\%$ | $\#$ | $\mathbf{\%}$ |  |
| All | 319 | 39 | $\mathbf{1 2 \%}$ | 223 | $\mathbf{7 0 \%}$ | 57 | $\mathbf{1 8 \%}$ |  |
| Latino | 65 | 6 | $\mathbf{9 \%}$ | 45 | $\mathbf{6 9 \%}$ | 14 | $\mathbf{2 2 \%}$ |  |


| Performance Level 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student Population | Tested Both Years | Performance Improved |  | Performance Remained the Same |  | Performance Declined |  |
|  | \# | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% |
| All | 167 | N/A |  | 137 | 82\% | 30 | 18\% |
| Latino | 12 |  |  | 9 | 75\% | 3 | 25\% |


| Performance Level 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student <br> Population | Tested Both <br> Years | Performance <br> Improved |  | Performance <br> Remained the Same |  | Performance <br> Declined |  |  |
|  | $\#$ | $\#$ | $\mathbf{\%}$ | $\#$ | $\mathbf{\%}$ | $\#$ | \% |  |
| All | 71 | 18 | $\mathbf{2 5 \%}$ | 36 | $\mathbf{5 1 \%}$ | 17 | $\mathbf{2 4 \%}$ |  |
| Latino | 18 | 4 | $\mathbf{2 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 \%}$ | 7 | $39 \%$ |  |


| Performance Level 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student <br> Population | Tested Both <br> Years | Performance <br> Improved |  | Performance <br> Remained the Same |  | Performance <br> Declined |  |  |
|  | $\#$ | $\#$ | $\mathbf{\%}$ | $\#$ | $\mathbf{\%}$ | $\#$ | $\mathbf{\%}$ |  |
| All | 46 | 20 | $\mathbf{4 3} \%$ | 16 | $\mathbf{3 5 \%}$ | 10 | $\mathbf{2 2 \%}$ |  |
| Latino | 12 | 2 | $\mathbf{1 7 \%} \%$ | 6 | $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | 4 | $\mathbf{3 3 \%}$ |  |


| Performance Level 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student Population | Tested Both Years | Performance Improved |  | Performance Remained the Same |  | Performance Declined |  |
|  | \# | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% |
| All | 35 | 1 | 3\% | 34 | 97\% | N/A |  |
| Latino | 23 | 0 | 0\% | 23 | 100\% |  |  |

## ELA

| ALL Performance Levels |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student <br> Population | Tested Both <br> Years | Performance <br> Improved |  | Performance <br> Remained the Same |  | Performance <br> Declined |  |  |
|  | $\#$ | $\#$ | $\%$ | $\#$ | $\%$ | $\#$ | $\%$ |  |
| All | 383 | 111 | $\mathbf{2 9 \%}$ | 232 | $\mathbf{6 1 \%}$ | 40 | $10 \%$ |  |
| Latino | 102 | 38 | $\mathbf{3 7 \%}$ | 48 | $\mathbf{4 7 \%}$ | 16 | $16 \%$ |  |


| Performance Level 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student <br> Population | Tested Both Years | Performance Improved |  | Performance Remained the Same |  | Performance Declined |  |
|  | \# | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% |
| All | 157 | N/A |  | 147 | 94\% | 10 | 6\% |
| Latino | 7 |  |  | 5 | 71\% | 2 | 29\% |


| Performance Level 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student <br> Population | Tested Both <br> Years | Performance <br> Improved |  | Performance <br> Remained the Same |  | Performance <br> Declined |  |
|  | $\#$ | $\#$ | $\mathbf{\%}$ | $\#$ | $\%$ | $\#$ | $\%$ |
| All | 151 | 73 | $\mathbf{4 8 \%}$ | 60 | $\mathbf{4 0 \%}$ | 18 | $\mathbf{1 2 \%}$ |
| Latino | 45 | 16 | $\mathbf{3 6 \%}$ | 23 | $\mathbf{5 1 \%}$ | 6 | $\mathbf{1 3 \%}$ |


| Performance Level 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student <br> Population | Tested Both <br> Years | Performance <br> Improved |  | Performance <br> Remained the Same |  | Performance <br> Declined |  |  |
|  | $\#$ | $\#$ | $\mathbf{\%}$ | $\#$ | $\mathbf{\%}$ | $\#$ | $\mathbf{\%}$ |  |
| All | 54 | 26 | $\mathbf{4 8 \%}$ | 16 | $\mathbf{3 0 \%}$ | 12 | $\mathbf{2 2 \%}$ |  |
| Latino | 33 | 13 | $\mathbf{3 9 \%}$ | 12 | $\mathbf{3 6 \%}$ | 8 | $\mathbf{2 4 \%}$ |  |


| Performance Level 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student <br> Population | Tested Both Years | Performance Improved |  | Performance Remained the Same |  | Performance Declined |  |
|  | \# | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% |
| All | 21 | 12 | 57\% | 9 | 43\% | N/A |  |
| Latino | 17 | 9 | 53\% | 8 | 47\% |  |  |


| Math |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL Performance Levels |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Student <br> Population | Tested Both Years | Performance Improved |  | Performance Remained the Same |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Performance } \\ \text { Declined } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  | \# | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% |
| All | 381 | 50 | 13\% | 278 | 73\% | 53 | 14\% |
| Latino | 100 | 15 | 15\% | 68 | 68\% | 17 | 17\% |


| Performance Level 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student Population | Tested Both Years | Performance Improved |  | Performance Remained the Same |  | Performance Declined |  |
|  | \# | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% |
| All | 196 | N/A |  | 173 | 88\% | 23 | 12\% |
| Latino | 12 |  |  | 9 | 75\% | 3 | 25\% |


| Performance Level 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student <br> Population | Tested Both <br> Years | Performance <br> Improved |  | Performance <br> Remained the Same |  | Performance <br> Declined |  |  |
|  | $\#$ | $\#$ | $\mathbf{\%}$ | $\#$ | $\%$ | $\#$ | $\%$ |  |
| All | 69 | 15 | $\mathbf{2 2 \%}$ | 41 | $\mathbf{5 9 \%}$ | 13 | $\mathbf{1 9 \%}$ |  |
| Latino | 13 | 1 | $\mathbf{8 \%}$ | 11 | $\mathbf{8 5 \%}$ | 1 | $8 \%$ |  |


| Performance Level 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student <br> Population | Tested Both <br> Years | Performance <br> Improved |  | Performance <br> Remained the Same |  | Performance <br> Declined |  |  |
|  | $\#$ | $\#$ | $\mathbf{\%}$ | $\#$ | $\mathbf{\%}$ | $\#$ | $\%$ |  |
| All | 69 | 22 | $\mathbf{3 2 \%}$ | 30 | $\mathbf{4 3 \%}$ | 17 | $\mathbf{2 5 \%}$ |  |
| Latino | 39 | 6 | $\mathbf{1 5} \%$ | 20 | $\mathbf{5 1 \%}$ | 13 | $33 \%$ |  |


| Performance Level 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student Population | Tested Both Years | Performance Improved |  | Performance Remained the Same |  | Performance Declined |  |
|  | \# | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% |
| All | 47 | 13 | 28\% | 34 | 72\% | N/A |  |
| Latino | 36 | 8 | 22\% | 28 | 78\% |  |  |

## ADVANCED PLACEMENT REPORT

## AP REPORT PREPARED BY BRIGITTE SARRAF AND SUBMITTED TO THE BOARD VIA ED SERVICES WEEKLY

## 2019 Advanced Placement Results

The Advanced Placement program, sponsored by the College Board, comprises a total of 40 different examinations available to high school students taught in schools with strong college preparatory curricula. MVHS students tested in 32 different areas, and LAHS in 36. This includes 7 tests that students took without the benefit of enrollment in a comparable class at their high school. Scores of three, four and five on an exam typically qualify the student for college credit or accelerated placement upon entering college, however, this does vary from school to school. A score of four or five on an AP exam is said to be comparable to an "A" grade earned in an introductory college course at many institutions of higher learning. A score of three is said to be equivalent to a grade of " $B$ " earned at the college level.

The College Board states that grades of two and one are "not to be viewed as poor performance, either on the part of the student or the school". The Board advises to view scores of one and two as indicators that a school is making AP courses available to "an appropriate range of students." The College Board furthermore recommends that schools whose AP passing rates exceed the national average (usually around $60 \%$ ) by a significant margin, find ways to "involve other able students in the program." While MVLA consistently shows a passing rate of $83 \%$ or better, we are very proud of our high participation rates, which show incremental increases every year. Calculated over total 10-12 enrollment in the district, the percentage of students taking one or more AP tests has been between $50-60 \%$ for each one of the last five years.

The number of Latino and Socially Economically Disadvantaged (SED) students who enroll in AP courses and who take the exam, has been the focus of our attention for many years. While we are making progress in this area, much work still remains to be done. We believe--and our views are consistent with those of the College Board and university admissions counselors--that students benefit significantly from taking the most rigorous classes available to them, and that success in AP is considered a good predictor of success in college. Hence, we continue to strive to enroll more and more students in Honors and AP classes. We expect students who take AP classes to sit for the exam. The experience itself, to be in a challenging class, surrounded by bright, inquisitive and engaged students, enhances a student's educational experience and provides a stronger preparation for success in college. In addition, there are other benefits inherent in taking an academically rigorous exam, regardless of the score obtained.

Historically, our district has had a strong tradition of offering AP courses and examinations to students in multiple subjects. We are proud of
our 20 year long history of strong student performance on AP examinations and a commensurate growth in the scope of our program. While it is nice to be able to look back over 20 years to see how our programs, in this case AP, has evolved, it might be time to align our data reporting cycle with our WASC cycles. The College Board provides AP data for 5 years, which is not far off from our six year WASC cycle; hence we have adjusted our slides to report five years of worth of data, as opposed to twenty years as we did in the past.

In the last couple of years, the College Board has made significant changes in how they report the results to schools and districts. These changes make longitudinal comparisons almost impossible, as results are often calculated differently than they did the year before. A good example is the fact that the passing rates (a score of 3 or better) used to be calculated based on the number of tests taken at a school. This year, the CB reports the passing rates as the "\% of Total AP Students with Scores 3 or better". It is unclear as to what this percentage represents and how it is calculated, as one student often takes more than one exam, and the scores on the different exams could be very different and not always in the range of 3 or better. Another problem is that the reports are now only available online, and they are dynamic, which means the numbers of students and tests taken varies slightly over time, hence the percentages fluctuate as well. In the past, we reported data based on a hard copy of results that was mailed to us (or sent on a disc for us to then upload into our student database). This ensured that the data from year to year was consistent and verifiable as it was linked to a single point in time when the data was generated. Because of these and other changes, we recommend that the data in this year's report NOT be compared to prior reports as we cannot guarantee that the data was calculated in the same manner, or came from the same source.

## ANALYSIS of attached CHARTS and GRAPHS:

Table 1 -- At MVHS, 894 students took 1876 exams. 738 ( $83 \%$ ) of these students received a score of 3 or higher. At LAHS, 1009 students took 2039 exams. 854 ( $85 \%$ ) of these students received a score of 3 or better. Previously, the CB provided references to State and National averages, but that information is not available for this year. In the past the state and national passing rates were just below $60 \%$.

Table 2 represents the percent of the total number of tests that scored at each of the five levels. Considering the continuing increase in the number of tests taken, it is reaffirming to see that the percent of scores of 4 and 5 continue to be stable if not increasing. Disregarding slight fluctuations over the years, $60 \%$ of MVHS exams scored a 4 or 5 in 2014-15, and $62 \%$ this past year. The numbers at LAHS are $57 \%$ in 2014-15 and 65\% in 2018-19.

Table 3 attempts to put the growth of the number of students taking AP exams and the total number of exams in the context of our increasing enrollment. Again, looking at this over a five-year period, we can see that enrollment at MVHS has increased by $13 \%$, while the number of AP students has gone up by $14 \%$ and the number of exams taken by $34 \%$. At LAHS, enrollment has increased by $16 \%$, the number of AP students has gone up by $45 \%$, and the percent of exams by $28 \%$. This appears to be a direct result of our school's efforts of making AP accessible to as many students as possible, which is achieved by adding more accessible AP courses to our curriculum, e.g., Human Geography, Environmental Studies and others. We are pleased to report that these courses have indeed attracted a very diverse group of students. All the while, the scores of 3 or better, regardless whether calculated over the number of exams or the number of
students have remained very constant. The two little graphs on the bottom of the page show the increasing ratios of exams to total enrollment, from 81 to $91 \%$ at MVHS, and from 83 to $92 \%$ at LAHS over a five year period.

Table 4 supports the claim presented above. Our Latino representation in AP is growing slowly and participation of races other than those identified in this report, as well as students who claim two or more races, has increased significantly.

Table 5 (a) reports test results by course, comparing data from 2017-18 with 2018-19. Green represents an increase and red a decrease. It is interesting to look at the changes in Mean Score as compared to the changes in GPA. This is something we will explore further in discussions with teachers.

Table 5 (b) shows the number and percent of students scoring at each one of the five levels for each AP course offered at our two schools. The same color coding is applied to scores of 4 and 5.

Table 5 (c) shows the number of Latino Test Takers in each course and the corresponding mean score they achieved on the exam. Data is not currently available for last year, hence no comparisons can be made. The data does show that at both schools, the courses with the highest Latino enrollment are Environmental Science, English Language, Spanish Language and at LAHS, Human Geography, Psychology and Microeconomics. In addition, MVHS shows a fair enrollment in US History, and there is a surprising number in European History at LAHS. While the enrollment in English Language at MVHS is almost twice that of LAHS, the mean score is the lowest of all scores. This might be an area worthy of further exploration and intervention.

Table 6 represents relatively new information provided by the College Board in the last four years. It tracks the number of students who qualified for various levels of AP Scholar recognition. The criteria for the categories are on the bottom of the chart. Again, the growth over four years is quite remarkable for the most part.

Table 7 represents the College Board's way of assessing both, the equity and excellence of a school's AP program. They were supposed to be releasing comparative data at the state and national level, but that has not yet been posted. This is the second year that this Report has been made available by the College Board.

Table 8 represents the number of tests that scored at each proficiency level over a period of 4 years. At MVHS, scores at level two have gone up a little. Combining scores at level 1 and 2 , we find that $18 \%$ at MVHS score at these levels, and $14 \%$ at LAHS. This may be an area worthy of further exploration by looking at who were the students who scored at this level, as well as reviewing this course by course.

Please direct any questions about the data presented in this report directly to Brigitte Sarraf.

Table 1

AP Results
2015 to 219


Note: Reflects College Board updated data as of 7-23-19 for MVHS


Note: Reflects College Board updated data as of 7-20-19 for LAHS

Table 2
Mountain View-Los Altos
Longitudinal Chart of AP Scores by Score Level
2014-15 to 2018-19

| Mountain View High School |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | 2018-19 |
| Total School CBEDS Enrollment* | 1817 | 1858 | 1905 | 1955 | 2062 |
| Total \# of Tests | 1396 | 1529 | 1651 | 1655 | 1876 |
| \%1s | 6.3 | 4.8 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 |
| \%2s | 10.0 | 10.5 | 13.7 | 11.7 | 14.0 |
| \%3s | 23.8 | 23.3 | 24.3 | 21.5 | 21.0 |
| \%4s | 28.4 | 31.8 | 29.6 | 29.7 | 31.0 |
| \%5s | 31.4 | 29.6 | 28.5 | 34.2 | 30.0 |


| Los Altos High School |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |  |
| Total School CBEDS Enrollment* | 1903 | 2024 | $\mathbf{2 0 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 2 7}$ |  |
| Total \# of Tests | 1585 | 1648 | 1815 | 1932 |  |  |
| \%1s | 8.0 | 6.7 | 5.8 | 4.3 |  |  |
| \%2s | 11.1 | 11.2 | 10.8 | 9.0 |  |  |
| \%3s | 23.9 | 23.3 | 18.9 | 9.0 |  |  |
| \%4s | 29.0 | 26.8 | 30.0 | 18.8 | 30.2 |  |
| \%5s | 28.0 | 32.0 | 34.4 | 21.0 |  |  |

*CBEDS Enrollment does not include Moffett/Middle College/College Now! students

Table 3
Mountain View-Los Altos
5 Year Change in Enrollment vs AP Participation
2014-15 to 2018-19

|  | Mountain View High School |  | Los Altos High School |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\#$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ |
| Increase in Total School Enrollment | +245 | $13 \%$ | +308 | $16 \%$ |
| AP Students | 112 | $14 \%$ | +315 | $45 \%$ |
| AP Exams | +477 | $34 \%$ | +451 | $28 \%$ |
| *AP Students 3 or better | No Change | $83 \%$ | $+1 \% a g e ~ p t$ | 8 |

Tracking Enrollment Growth and Increase in Number of AP Exams



Ratio of AP Exams to total school Enrollment


*New College Board measure (previously reported as \% of exams 3 or better

| Mountain View High School |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Group | \# Test Takers |  |  | \# Exams |  |  | Mean Score |  |  | CBEDS Enrollment <br> Grades 10-12 Only |  |  |
|  | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019* |
| All | 785 | 807 | 895 | 1651 | 1655 | 1884 | 3.65 | 3.80 | N/A | 1434 | 1467 | 1577 |
| Latino | 84 | 97 | 112 | 141 | 150 | 194 | 2.97 | 3.15 | 3.05 | 317 | 341 | 376 |
| Asian | 235 | 240 | 263 | 557 | 596 | 620 | 3.76 | 3.97 | 3.84 | 390 | 407 | 438 |
| African American | 6 | 8 | 6 | 9 | 11 | 9 | 3.00 | 2.45 | 3.11 | 35 | 27 | 33 |
| Caucasian | 369 | 366 | 378 | 750 | 701 | 765 | 3.66 | 3.82 | 3.73 | 627 | 633 | 658 |
| Other (incl 2+ Races) | 91 | 96 | 136 | 194 | 195 | 294 | - | - | - | 65 | 59 | 72 |


| Los Altos High School |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Group | \# Test Takers |  |  | \# Exams |  |  | Mean Score |  |  | CBEDS Enrollment <br> Grades 10-12 Only |  |  |
|  | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019* |
| All | 812 | 940 | 1005 | 1815 | 1932 | 2040 | 3.76 | 3.88 | N/A | 1629 | 1647 | 1667 |
| Latino | 129 | 164 | 140 | 221 | 238 | 210 | 2.81 | 2.90 | 2.95 | 444 | 424 | 424 |
| Asian | 251 | 305 | 327 | 675 | 757 | 777 | 4.04 | 4.08 | 3.96 | 453 | 506 | 526 |
| African American | 8 | 4 | 9 | 14 | 7 | 16 | 3.14 | 2.57 | 3.25 | 23 | 19 | 23 |
| Caucasian | 336 | 355 | 381 | 720 | 704 | 708 | 3.78 | 3.93 | 3.81 | 664 | 666 | 647 |
| Other (incl 2+ Races) | 88 | 112 | 148 | 185 | 226 | 324 | - | - | - | 45 | 32 | 47 |

*2019 enrollment reflects enrollment as of 9-20-19, prior to 2019 CBEDS day

| Mountain View High School |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name of Test | \# Test Takers |  |  | \# 3 or Better |  |  | \% 3 or Better |  |  | Mean Score |  |  | Course Weighted GPA |  |  |
|  | 2017-18 | 2018-19 | Difference | 2017-18 | 2018-19 | Difference | 2017-18 | 2018-19 | Difference | 2017-18 | 2018-19 | Difference | 2017-18 | 2018-19 | Difference |
| Calculus AB | 76 | 89 | 13 | 53 | 54 | 1 | 70\% | 61\% | -9\% | 3.29 | 2.81 | -0.48 | 4.06 | 4.28 | 0.22 |
| Calculus BC | 93 | 96 | 3 | 90 | 89 | -1 | 97\% | 93\% | -4\% | 4.48 | 4.29 | -0.19 | 4.19 | 4.30 | 0.11 |
| Statistics | 74 | 76 | 2 | 74 | 74 | 0 | 100\% | 97\% | -3\% | 4.31 | 4.29 | -0.02 | 4.12 | 4.35 | 0.23 |
| Biology | 58 | 89 | 31 | 56 | 84 | 28 | 97\% | 94\% | -2\% | 4.19 | 4.01 | -0.18 | 4.44 | 4.63 | 0.19 |
| Chemistry | 33 | 33 | 0 | 32 | 32 | 0 | 97\% | 97\% | 0\% | 4.18 | 4.06 | -0.12 | 4.25 | 4.53 | 0.28 |
| Physics 1 | 92 | 109 | 17 | 73 | 97 | 24 | 79\% | 89\% | 10\% | 3.43 | 3.82 | 0.39 | 4.07 | 4.15 | 0.08 |
| Physics C: Mech | 53 | 33 | -20 | 52 | 31 | -21 | 98\% | 94\% | -4\% | 4.47 | 4.48 | 0.01 | 4.24 |  |  |
| Physics C: E\&M | 31 | 49 | 18 | 30 | 38 | 8 | 97\% | 78\% | -19\% | 4.29 | 3.69 | -0.60 | 4.28 | 4.17 | -0.11 |
| Comp Sci A | 89 | 88 | -1 | 79 | 80 | 1 | 89\% | 91\% | 2\% | 3.84 | 3.92 | 0.08 | 4.65 | 4.64 | -0.01 |
| Environ Sci | 104 | 159 | 55 | 77 | 95 | 18 | 74\% | 60\% | -14\% | 3.44 | 3.08 | -0.36 | 4.20 | 4.40 | 0.20 |
| English Lang | 207 | 276 | 69 | 142 | 166 | 24 | 69\% | 60\% | -8\% | 3.13 | 2.93 | -0.20 | 4.06 | 4.12 | 0.06 |
| English Lit | 43 | 61 | 18 | 35 | 51 | 16 | 81\% | 84\% | 2\% | 3.70 | 3.74 | 0.04 | 4.23 | 4.24 | 0.01 |
| European Hist | 90 | 65 | -25 | 76 | 58 | -18 | 84\% | 89\% | 5\% | 3.60 | 3.71 | 0.11 | 4.17 | 4.53 | 0.36 |
| World Hist | 46 | 67 | 21 | 39 | 59 | 20 | 85\% | 88\% | 3\% | 3.87 | 3.84 | -0.03 | 4.17 | 4.43 | 0.26 |
| US History | 113 | 111 | -2 | 101 | 107 | 6 | 89\% | 96\% | 7\% | 4.13 | 4.40 | 0.27 | 4.15 | 4.34 | 0.19 |
| Gov/Pol US | 102 | 82 | -20 | 90 | 68 | -22 | 88\% | 83\% | -5\% | 3.68 | 3.59 | -0.09 | 3.91 | 4.17 | 0.26 |
| Macroeconomics | 107 | 111 | 4 | 84 | 92 | 8 | 79\% | 83\% | 4\% | 3.73 | 3.51 | -0.22 | 4.28 |  |  |
| Psychology | 75 | 87 | 12 | 61 | 79 | 18 | 81\% | 91\% | 9\% | 3.73 | 4.02 | 0.29 | 4.31 | 4.45 | 0.14 |
| Chinese Lang | 25 | 27 | 2 | 25 | 27 | 2 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 4.76 | 4.52 | -0.24 | 4.83 | 4.73 | -0.10 |
| French Lang | 17 | 26 | 9 | 17 | 26 | 9 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 4.12 | 4.04 | -0.08 | 4.39 | 4.79 | 0.40 |
| Spanish Lang | 77 | 84 | 7 | 77 | 83 | 6 | 100\% | 99\% | -1\% | 4.23 | 4.33 | 0.10 | 4.25 | 4.32 | 0.07 |
| Spanish Lit | 11 | 23 | 12 | 11 | 22 | 11 | 100\% | 96\% | -4\% | 3.91 | 4.00 | 0.09 | 4.62 | 4.50 | -0.12 |
| Japanese Lang | 7 | 14 | 7 | 7 | 13 | 6 | 100\% | 93\% | -7\% | 4.14 | 4.14 | 0.00 | 4.90 | 4.64 | -0.26 |
| Studio Art: 2D | 14 | 6 | -8 | 14 | 6 | -8 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 3.93 | 3.67 | -0.26 |  | 4.71 |  |

Table 5a

| Los Altos High School |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# Test Takers |  |  | \# 3 or Better |  |  | \% 3 or Better |  |  | Mean Score |  |  | Course Weighted GPA |  |  |
|  | 2017-18 | 2018-19 | Difference | 2017-18 | 2018-19 | Difference | 2017-18 | 2018-19 | Difference | 2017-18 | 2018-19 | Difference | 2017-18 | 2018-19 | Difference |
| Calculus AB | 89 | 101 | 12 | 66 | 85 | 19 | 74\% | 84\% | 10\% | 3.54 | 3.87 | 0.33 | 4.23 | 4.34 | 0.11 |
| Calculus BC | 98 | 86 | -12 | 96 | 84 | -12 | 98\% | 98\% | 0\% | 4.57 | 4.60 | 0.03 | 4.30 | 4.72 | 0.42 |
| Statistics | 82 | 95 | 13 | 78 | 90 | 12 | 95\% | 95\% | 0\% | 1.32 | 4.05 | 2.73 | 4.34 | 4.62 | 0.28 |
| Biology | 102 | 123 | 21 | 89 | 113 | 24 | 87\% | 92\% | 5\% | 3.70 | 3.69 | -0.01 | 4.46 | 4.45 | -0.01 |
| Chemistry | 61 | 48 | -13 | 51 | 39 | -12 | 84\% | 81\% | -2\% | 3.56 | 3.69 | 0.13 | 4.78 | 4.77 | -0.01 |
| Physics 1 | 129 | 112 | -17 | 110 | 106 | -4 | 85\% | 95\% | 9\% | 3.67 | 4.09 | 0.42 | 4.15 | 4.38 | 0.23 |
| Physics C: Mech | 47 | 47 | 0 | 46 | 46 | 0 | 98\% | 98\% | 0\% | 4.57 | 4.77 | 0.20 | 4.27 | N/A |  |
| Physics C: E\&M | 49 | 46 | -3 | 43 | 41 | -2 | 88\% | 89\% | 1\% | 4.35 | 4.07 | -0.28 | N/A | 4.12 |  |
| Comp Sci A | 95 | 81 | -14 | 92 | 77 | -15 | 97\% | 95\% | -2\% | 4.35 | 4.23 | -0.12 | 4.47 | 4.35 | -0.12 |
| Environ Sci | 85 | 95 | 10 | 57 | 63 | 6 | 67\% | 66\% | -1\% | 3.28 | 3.16 | -0.12 | 4.30 | 4.20 | -0.10 |
| English Lang | 129 | 141 | 12 | 106 | 125 | 19 | 82\% | 89\% | 6\% | 3.84 | 4.04 | 0.20 | 4.39 | 4.49 | 0.10 |
| English Lit | 48 | 49 | 1 | 47 | 41 | -6 | 98\% | 84\% | -14\% | 3.92 | 3.76 | -0.16 | 4.51 | 4.46 | -0.05 |
| European Hist | 158 | 135 | -23 | 140 | 122 | -18 | 89\% | 90\% | 2\% | 3.85 | 3.94 | 0.09 | 4.34 | 4.38 | 0.04 |
| Human Geography | 140 | 226 | 86 | 108 | 159 | 51 | 77\% | 70\% | -7\% | 3.60 | 3.16 | -0.44 | 4.18 | 4.35 | 0.17 |
| US History | 99 | 112 | 13 | 89 | 102 | 13 | 90\% | 91\% | 1\% | 3.92 | 4.01 | 0.09 | 4.47 | 4.60 | 0.13 |
| Gov/Pol US | 103 | 119 | 16 | 89 | 108 | 19 | 86\% | 91\% | 4\% | 3.78 | 3.66 | -0.12 | 4.63 | 4.43 | -0.20 |
| Microeconomics | 106 | 142 | 36 | 80 | 102 | 22 | 75\% | 72\% | -4\% | 3.55 | 3.20 | -0.35 | 4.48 | 4.41 | -0.07 |
| Psychology | 117 | 104 | -13 | 95 | 82 | -13 | 81\% | 79\% | -2\% | 3.72 | 3.69 | -0.03 | 4.62 | 4.66 | 0.04 |
| Chinese Lang | 27 | 36 | 9 | 27 | 36 | 9 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 4.85 | 4.64 | -0.21 | 4.76 | 4.83 | 0.07 |
| French Lang | 25 | 38 | 13 | 22 | 37 | 15 | 88\% | 97\% | 9\% | 3.28 | 3.74 | 0.46 | 4.67 | 4.79 | 0.12 |
| Spanish Lang | 104 | 64 | -40 | 102 | 61 | -41 | 98\% | 95\% | -3\% | 4.05 | 4.06 | 0.01 | 4.32 | 4.43 | 0.11 |
| Latin Ver | 6 | 10 | 4 | 6 | 10 | 4 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 4.00 | 4.10 | 0.10 | 4.75 | 4.47 | -0.28 |
| Studio Art: 2D | 23 | 16 | -7 | 23 | 16 | -7 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 4.22 | 4.69 | 0.47 | 3.89 | 4.38 | 0.49 |


| Mountain View High School |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name of Test | Score |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |
|  | 2017-18 |  | 2018-19 |  | 2017-18 |  | 2018-19 |  | 2017-18 |  | 2018-19 |  | 2017-18 |  | 2018-19 |  | 2017-18 |  | 2018-19 |  |
|  | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% |
| Calculus AB | 3 | 4\% | 6 | 7\% | 20 | 26\% | 29 | 33\% | 20 | 26\% | 34 | 38\% | 18 | 24\% | 16 | 18\% | 15 | 20\% | 4 | 4\% |
| Calculus BC | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 3 | 3\% | 7 | 7\% | 14 | 15\% | 16 | 17\% | 11 | 12\% | 15 | 16\% | 65 | 70\% | 58 | 60\% |
| Statistics | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 3\% | 11 | 15\% | 10 | 13\% | 29 | 39\% | 28 | 37\% | 34 | 46\% | 36 | 47\% |
| Biology | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 3\% | 5 | 6\% | 7 | 12\% | 14 | 16\% | 27 | 47\% | 45 | 51\% | 22 | 38\% | 25 | 28\% |
| Chemistry | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 3\% | 1 | 3\% | 9 | 27\% | 8 | 24\% | 6 | 18\% | 12 | 36\% | 17 | 52\% | 12 | 36\% |
| Physics 1 | 1 | 1\% | 0 | 0\% | 17 | 19\% | 12 | 11\% | 27 | 30\% | 24 | 22\% | 31 | 34\% | 45 | 41\% | 15 | 16\% | 28 | 26\% |
| Physics C: Mech | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 2\% | 2 | 6\% | 6 | 11\% | 2 | 6\% | 13 | 25\% | 7 | 21\% | 33 | 62\% | 22 | 67\% |
| Physics C: E\&M | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 4\% | 1 | 3\% | 9 | 18\% | 3 | 10\% | 9 | 18\% | 13 | 42\% | 11 | 22\% | 14 | 45\% | 18 | 37\% |
| Comp Sci A | 1 | 1\% | 1 | 1\% | 9 | 10\% | 7 | 8\% | 23 | 26\% | 20 | 23\% | 26 | 29\% | 30 | 34\% | 30 | 34\% | 30 | 34\% |
| Environ Sci | 9 | 9\% | 21 | 13\% | 18 | 17\% | 43 | 27\% | 16 | 15\% | 15 | 9\% | 40 | 38\% | 62 | 39\% | 21 | 20\% | 18 | 11\% |
| English Lang | 15 | 7\% | 33 | 12\% | 50 | 24\% | 77 | 28\% | 65 | 31\% | 72 | 26\% | 47 | 23\% | 64 | 23\% | 30 | 14\% | 30 | 11\% |
| English Lit | 3 | 7\% | 0 | 0\% | 5 | 12\% | 10 | 16\% | 8 | 19\% | 16 | 26\% | 13 | 30\% | 15 | 25\% | 14 | 33\% | 20 | 33\% |
| European Hist | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 2\% | 14 | 16\% | 6 | 9\% | 27 | 30\% | 18 | 28\% | 30 | 33\% | 26 | 40\% | 19 | 21\% | 14 | 22\% |
| World Hist | 2 | 4\% | 1 | 1\% | 5 | 11\% | 7 | 10\% | 5 | 11\% | 16 | 24\% | 19 | 41\% | 21 | 31\% | 15 | 33\% | 22 | 33\% |
| US History | 3 | 3\% | 0 | 0\% | 9 | 8\% | 4 | 4\% | 16 | 14\% | 13 | 12\% | 27 | 24\% | 29 | 26\% | 58 | 51\% | 65 | 59\% |
| Gov/Pol US | 2 | 2\% | 0 | 0\% | 10 | 10\% | 14 | 17\% | 40 | 39\% | 26 | 32\% | 17 | 17\% | 22 | 27\% | 33 | 32\% | 20 | 24\% |
| Macroeconomics | 4 | 4\% | 7 | 6\% | 19 | 18\% | 12 | 11\% | 13 | 12\% | 32 | 29\% | 37 | 35\% | 37 | 33\% | 34 | 32\% | 23 | 21\% |
| Psychology | 6 | 8\% | 3 | 3\% | 8 | 11\% | 5 | 6\% | 11 | 15\% | 13 | 15\% | 25 | 33\% | 32 | 37\% | 25 | 33\% | 34 | 39\% |
| Chinese Lang | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 4\% | 3 | 11\% | 4 | 16\% | 7 | 26\% | 20 | 80\% | 17 | 63\% |
| French Lang | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 3 | 18\% | 6 | 23\% | 9 | 53\% | 13 | 50\% | 5 | 29\% | 7 | 27\% |
| Spanish Lang | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 1\% | 15 | 19\% | 13 | 15\% | 29 | 38\% | 27 | 32\% | 33 | 43\% | 43 | 51\% |
| Spanish Lit | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 4\% | 3 | 27\% | 5 | 22\% | 6 | 55\% | 10 | 43\% | 2 | 18\% | 7 | 30\% |
| Japanese Lang | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 7\% | 3 | 43\% | 3 | 21\% | 0 | 0\% | 3 | 21\% | 4 | 57\% | 7 | 50\% |
| Studio Art: 2D | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 4 | 29\% | 3 | 50\% | 7 | 50\% | 2 | 33\% | 3 | 21\% | 1 | 17\% |


| Los Altos High School |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name of Test | Score |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |
|  | 2017-18 |  | 2018-19 |  | 2017-18 |  | 2018-19 |  | 2017-18 |  | 2018-19 |  | 2017-18 |  | 2018-19 |  | 2017-18 |  | 2018-19 |  |
|  | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% |
| Calculus AB | 5 | 6\% | 2 | 2\% | 17 | 19\% | 14 | 14\% | 18 | 20\% | 18 | 18\% | 23 | 26\% | 28 | 28\% | 26 | 29\% | 39 | 39\% |
| Calculus BC | 1 | 1\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 1\% | 2 | 2\% | 9 | 9\% | 6 | 7\% | 17 | 17\% | 16 | 19\% | 70 | 71\% | 62 | 72\% |
| Statistics | 1 | 1\% | 3 | 3\% | 3 | 4\% | 2 | 2\% | 9 | 11\% | 18 | 19\% | 25 | 30\% | 36 | 38\% | 44 | 54\% | 36 | 38\% |
| Biology | 2 | 2\% | 1 | 1\% | 11 | 11\% | 9 | 7\% | 29 | 28\% | 45 | 37\% | 34 | 33\% | 40 | 33\% | 26 | 25\% | 28 | 23\% |
| Chemistry | 4 | 7\% | 2 | 4\% | 6 | 10\% | 7 | 15\% | 19 | 31\% | 10 | 21\% | 16 | 26\% | 14 | 29\% | 16 | 26\% | 15 | 31\% |
| Physics 1 | 7 | 5\% | 1 | 1\% | 12 | 9\% | 5 | 4\% | 27 | 21\% | 15 | 13\% | 53 | 41\% | 53 | 47\% | 30 | 23\% | 38 | 34\% |
| Physics C: Mech | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 2\% | 1 | 2\% | 3 | 6\% | 0 | 0\% | 11 | 23\% | 8 | 17\% | 32 | 68\% | 38 | 81\% |
| Physics C: E\&M | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 2\% | 6 | 12\% | 4 | 9\% | 2 | 4\% | 6 | 13\% | 10 | 20\% | 15 | 33\% | 31 | 63\% | 20 | 43\% |
| Comp Sci A | 3 | 3\% | 1 | 1\% | 0 | 0\% | 3 | 4\% | 12 | 13\% | 10 | 12\% | 26 | 27\% | 29 | 36\% | 54 | 57\% | 38 | 47\% |
| Environ Sci | 11 | 13\% | 17 | 18\% | 17 | 20\% | 15 | 16\% | 13 | 15\% | 13 | 14\% | 25 | 29\% | 36 | 38\% | 19 | 22\% | 14 | 15\% |
| English Lang | 3 | 2\% | 3 | 2\% | 20 | 16\% | 13 | 9\% | 23 | 18\% | 22 | 16\% | 31 | 24\% | 40 | 28\% | 52 | 40\% | 63 | 45\% |
| English Lit | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 2\% | 1 | 2\% | 7 | 14\% | 13 | 27\% | 11 | 22\% | 23 | 48\% | 14 | 29\% | 11 | 23\% | 16 | 33\% |
| European Hist | 1 | 1\% | 2 | 1\% | 17 | 11\% | 11 | 8\% | 37 | 23\% | 29 | 21\% | 53 | 34\% | 44 | 33\% | 50 | 32\% | 49 | 36\% |
| Human Geography | 18 | 13\% | 34 | 15\% | 14 | 10\% | 33 | 15\% | 19 | 14\% | 62 | 27\% | 44 | 31\% | 56 | 25\% | 45 | 32\% | 41 | 18\% |
| US History | 4 | 4\% | 3 | 3\% | 6 | 6\% | 7 | 6\% | 19 | 19\% | 22 | 20\% | 35 | 35\% | 34 | 30\% | 35 | 35\% | 46 | 41\% |
| Gov/Pol US | 3 | 3\% | 2 | 2\% | 11 | 11\% | 9 | 8\% | 31 | 30\% | 49 | 41\% | 19 | 18\% | 27 | 23\% | 39 | 38\% | 32 | 27\% |
| Microeconomics | 11 | 10\% | 24 | 17\% | 15 | 14\% | 16 | 11\% | 15 | 14\% | 34 | 24\% | 35 | 33\% | 43 | 30\% | 30 | 28\% | 25 | 18\% |
| Psychology | 10 | 9\% | 11 | 11\% | 11 | 9\% | 11 | 11\% | 18 | 15\% | 20 | 19\% | 41 | 35\% | 19 | 18\% | 37 | 32\% | 43 | 41\% |
| Chinese Lang | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 6\% | 4 | 15\% | 9 | 25\% | 23 | 85\% | 25 | 69\% |
| French Lang | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 3 | 12\% | 1 | 3\% | 15 | 60\% | 18 | 47\% | 4 | 16\% | 9 | 24\% | 3 | 12\% | 10 | 26\% |
| Spanish Lang | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 2\% | 3 | 5\% | 26 | 25\% | 11 | 17\% | 41 | 39\% | 29 | 45\% | 35 | 34\% | 21 | 33\% |
| Latin Ver | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 10\% | 6 | 100\% | 7 | 70\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 20\% |
| Studio Art: 2D | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 6 | 26\% | 0 | 0\% | 6 | 26\% | 5 | 31\% | 11 | 48\% | 11 | 69\% |


| Mountain View High School |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name of Test | 2018-19 |  |
|  | \# Test Takers | Mean Score |
| Calculus AB | 9 | 2.67 |
| Calculus BC | 5 | 4.20 |
| Statisitcs | 5 | 3.20 |
| Biology | 6 | 3.67 |
| Chemistry | 2 | 3.50 |
| Physics 1 | 9 | 3.78 |
| Physics C: Mech |  |  |
| Physics C: E\&M |  |  |
| Comp Sci A | 2 | 4.50 |
| Enviorn Sci | 27 | 2.00 |
| English Lang | 40 | 1.88 |
| English Lit | 7 | 2.86 |
| European Hist | 6 | 3.17 |
| World Hist | 5 | 3.00 |
| US History | 15 | 3.80 |
| Gov/Pol US | 7 | 3.43 |
| Macroeconomics | 9 | 3.22 |
| Psychology | 3 | 4.67 |
| Chinese Lang |  |  |
| French Lang | 2 | 4.00 |
| Spanish Lang | 25 | 4.44 |
| Spanish Lit | 8 | 3.25 |
| Japanese Lang | 1 | 4.00 |
| Studio Art: 2D |  |  |

Note: Data relfects only Latino students

| Los Altos High School |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name of Test | 2018-19 |  |
|  | \# Test Takers | Mean Score |
| Calculus AB | 7 | 3.57 |
| Calculus BC | 5 | 3.80 |
| Statisitcs | 2 | 4.00 |
| Biology | 11 | 2.91 |
| Chemistry | 1 | 5.00 |
| Physics 1 | 9 | 3.67 |
| Physics C: Mech | 1 | 5.00 |
| Physics C: E\&M | 1 | 4.00 |
| Comp Sci A | 3 | 3.33 |
| Enviorn Sci | 21 | 2.14 |
| English Lang | 22 | 2.77 |
| English Lit | 7 | 2.29 |
| European Hist | 12 | 3.50 |
| Human Geography | 33 | 2.30 |
| US History | 9 | 2.78 |
| Gov/Pol US | 7 | 3.29 |
| Microeconomics | 16 | 2.25 |
| Psychology | 18 | 2.89 |
| Chinese Lang |  |  |
| French Lang | 3 | 3.67 |
| Spanish Lang | 19 | 4.11 |
| Latin Ver |  |  |
| Studio Art: 2D | 1 | 4.00 |
| Studio Art: Drawing | 1 | 5.00 |

## Table 6

## 4 Year Summary of AP Scholar Report

2015-16 to 2018-19

| MVLA District |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ |
| Total Scholars | 653 | 676 | $\mathbf{7 3 6}$ | 808 |


| Mountain View High School |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2016 |  | 2017 |  | 2018 |  | 2019 |  |
|  | Number of Scholars | Average | Number of Scholars | Average | Number of Scholars | Average | Number of Scholars | Average |
| All Awards | 333 | 4.11 | 368 | 4.11 | 397 | 4.19 | 428 | 4.15 |
| AP Scholar | 117 | 3.46 | 91 | 3.49 | 105 | 3.53 | 119 | 3.39 |
| AP Scholar with Honors | 71 | 3.87 | 82 | 3.84 | 67 | 4.06 | 76 | 3.88 |
| AP Scholars with Distinction | 145 | 4.3 | 158 | 4.25 | 183 | 4.29 | 183 | 4.34 |
| National AP Scholar | 29 | 4.65 | 36 | 4.58 | 41 | 4.61 | 48 | 4.61 |


| Los Altos High School |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2016 |  | 2017 |  | 2018 |  | 2019 |  |
|  | Number of Scholars | Average | Number of Scholars | Average | Number of Scholars | Average | Number of Scholars | Average |
| All Awards | 320 | 4.07 | 383 | 4.17 | 435 | 4.26 | 484 | 4.26 |
| AP Scholar | 110 | 3.31 | 98 | 3.48 | 111 | 3.48 | 127 | 3.58 |
| AP Scholar with Honors | 50 | 3.84 | 77 | 3.89 | 75 | 3.92 | 99 | 4.05 |
| AP Scholars with Distinction | 160 | 4.24 | 170 | 4.32 | 193 | 4.43 | 203 | 4.41 |
| National AP Scholar | 37 | 4.6 | 38 | 4.63 | 56 | 4.67 | 54 | 4.59 |


| Award |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| AP Scholar Criteria |  |
| AP Scholar with Honor | Granted to students who receive scores of 3 or higher on three or more AP Exams. |
| AP Scholar with Distinction | Granted to students who receive an average score of at least 3.25 on all AP Exams taken, and scores of 3 or higher on four <br> or more of these exams. |
| State AP Scholar | Granted to students who receive an average score of at least 3.5 on all AP Exams taken, and scores of 3 or higher on five or <br> more of these exams. |
| National AP Scholar | Granted to the one male and one female student in each U.S. state and the District of Columbia with scores of 3 or higher <br> on the greatest number of AP Exams, and then the highest average score (at least 3.5) on all AP Exams taken. | | Granted to students in the United States who receive an average score of at least 4 on all AP Exams taken, and scores of 4 |
| :--- |
| or higher on eight or more of these exams. |

# Table 7 <br> AP Equity and Excellence <br> 2019 

This report provides you with a means to asses both the equity and excellence of your school's AP program. Specifically, it shows the proportion of your school's entire senior class who scored a 3 or higher on an AP Exam at any point during high school. Additionally, you can see what percentage of your school's entire tenth, eleventh, and twelfth grade classes took and scored a 3 or higher on at least one AP Exam in May 2019.
In calculation, students who score a 3 or higher on an AP Exam are counted only once, regardless of how many AP Exams they took and were successful in. There is no way to inflate this percentage by restricting access to AP; students who earn 1s or 2 s on AP Exams neither increase nor reduce the percentage. In addition, by showing the proportion of the overall population - not just the AP classroom - educators are better able to determine the extent to which their overall population is receiving access to advanced academics in high school.
Note: Your districts enrollment count is the sum of the district's school enrollment counts submitted by each school's AP Coordinator when placing their school's order for AP Exams.

| Data Uploaded: Report Ran: | August 10, 2019 <br> August 12, 2019 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mountain View High School |  |  |
| Group | Percentage | How is this calculated? |
| Graduating Class Summary | 62.6\% | Number of your school's seniors who scored 3 or higher on at least one AP Exam at any point during high school divided by the total number of your district's seniors. |
| 12th Grade | 47.2\% | Number of AP students per grade level who scored 3 or higher on at least one AP Exam this year divided by the total number of students in each grade. |
| 11th Grade | 50.6\% |  |
| $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Data Uploaded: } & \text { August 09, } 2019 \\ \text { Report Ran: } & \text { August 12, } 2019\end{array}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Los Altos High School |  |  |
| Group | Percentage | How is this calculated? |
| Graduating Class Summary | 60.8\% | Number of your school's seniors who scored 3 or higher on at least one AP Exam at any point during high school divided by the total number of your district's seniors. |
| 12th Grade | 42.4\% | Number of AP students per grade level who scored 3 or higher on at least one AP Exam this year divided by the total number of students in each grade. |
| 11th Grade | 59.1\% |  |



Note: Percentages are rounded

## Table 8

4 Year Comparison of \% Students Scoring at Each Proficiency Leve 2015-16 to 2018-19


Note: Percentages are rounded

## SAT REPORT

## SAT Test Results - Class of 2019

The "New" SAT test, first administered in March of 2016, reflects what students are learning in class, making it easier for them to show their best work. The new test aims at mirroring SBAC-like assessments and is based on the principles underlying Common Core-aligned instruction.

The new SAT is easier than ever to prepare for with free, personalized, practice on Khan Academy ${ }^{\circledR}$, and it clears the path to college admission with college application fee waivers and scholarships. Like the previous version, the new SAT is accepted by all U.S. colleges.

Students prefer the new SAT because they consider the test easier, more straightforward, and "way more applicable" to what they are learning in school. Here are some of the reasons why:

- A focus on the areas of math that matter most.
- A move away from obscure vocabulary words to the use of relevant words in context.
- No science section. Science concepts are tested in the context of the reading passages.
- Students now have $43 \%$ more time per question on the SAT than on the ACT ${ }^{\circledR}$.
- No penalty for guessing.
- A focus on command of evidence.
- Scoring scale was adjusted from 2400 to 1600 , and the essay is now optional.

Six hundred thirty-three (633) MVLA graduates in the Class of 2019 took the SAT. The number did not change much from the 631 graduates in the Class of 2018 who took the test.

## Positive Student Response to the New SAT

Following the fall 2016 SAT administration, the College Board surveyed over 100,000 students who completed the test. The majority of students reported positive reactions to the new SAT. Both, nationwide, and anecdotal reports from MVLA counselors indicate that students, in general, find the new SAT easier and more closely resembling what they learn in school. They also comment on the fact that there are greater similarities in the way SAT questions are structured to exam questions they encounter in class as well as on other standardized tests. The College Board also claims that the new SAT helps colleges to form a much clearer picture of a student's academic preparation and readiness for college.

Score ranges for this relatively new test are as follows:

- Total Score 400-1600, the sum of two section scores (ERW-Evidence-based Reading and Writing, and Math)
- Section Scores 200-800, Evidence-Based Reading and Writing, and Math
- Test Scores 10-40, Reading, Writing and Language, and Math
- SAT Essay Scores 2-8, Reading, Analysis, and Writing (The SAT Essay is OPTIONAL)
- Cross-test scores 10-40, Analysis in History/Social Studies and Analysis in Science are based on selected questions in the Reading, Writing and Language, and Math Tests. These scores are intended to reflect how well a student uses his or her skills to analyze texts and solve problems in these subject areas.

The College Board advises against comparing scores from the new SAT with the old one. Hence, we are reporting overall data starting with the Class of 2017, as a new baseline against which future growth will be measured. The rest of the attached graphs and tables provide more specific data, comparing the performance of MVLA students from the Class of 2018 and 2019 to state average scores for Evidence-based Reading and Writing (ERW), Math; combined test results, and data relative to the percent of students meeting Benchmarks. As we would expect, MVLA students are doing well!

## A Note on Benchmarks:

Students are considered college-and career-ready when their SAT section scores meet both the Math and the Evidence-Based Reading and Writing benchmarks. Students with an SAT Math section score that meets or exceeds the benchmark have a $75 \%$ chance of earning at least a C in first-semester, credit bearing college courses in algebra, statistics, pre-calculus, or calculus. For Evidence-Based Reading and Writing (ERW), the prediction applies to credit-bearing college courses in history, literature, social sciences, or writing classes.

## TAKE AWAYS

- Math scores are trending up at MVHS; a much smaller gain is observed at LAHS.
- ERW scores show a 2-point increase at MV and a 5-point decrease at LA.
- State-wide, combined scores show a modest decline. The same is true for both of our schools.
- District-wide, there is a 5\%age point increase in the number of Latino students taking the SAT; however, the percent of Latino students meeting both Benchmarks declined from 61\% in the Class of 2018 to 40\% for the Class of 2019.
- There are fewer Caucasian test takers as well, but the performance for this group declined only by $1 \% a g e$ point.
- The MVLA percent of students meeting Benchmarks is significantly higher as compared to statewide averages. However, district math scores bear watching!
- Essay scores are similar to last year with the exception of Reading where there is a decline, putting MVLA performance at the same level as the state.
- As mentioned before, Latino scores show a fairly significant decline, especially at MVHS. This holds true also for the percent of Latino students meeting Benchmarks.
- Average subject area scores range from a low of 661 in Literature at MVHS to a high of 736 in Biology; At LAHS, the lowest score of 623 in Math IC, and the highest score of 735 in Math IIC. Math IIC has the largest number of students participating, 135 students at MVHS and 158 at LAHS.
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## SAT 3 year Score Averages

class of 2017, 2018 and 2019
Table 1

Mountain View High School




Los Altos High School




Data Source: Indicator \#5

SAT Score Averages - ERW + Math Combined


Data Source: CB Report

SAT Test Takers + \% Meeting Benchmarks


Data Source: CB Report

## SAT \% Meeting Benchmarks

Class of 2018 and 2019
Table 4



ERW + Math Combined


## SAT Essay Scores

## Class of 2018 and 2019

Table 5

$\square$ State $\square$ District $\square$ MVHS $\square$ LAHS


■State $\square$ District $\square$ MVHS $\quad$ LAHS


Note: Taking the essay portion of the test is optional
Data Source: CB Report

## Mountain View High School

SAT Mean Scores by Ethnicity

## Class of 2018 and 2019

Table 6a


ERW Mean Score

Math Mean Score


Combined Mean Score


Note: ERW and Math score range is 200-800, Combined score range is 400-1600
Data Source: CB Report

SAT Mean Scores by Ethnicity
Class of 2018 and 2019
Table 6b



Combined Mean Score


Note: ERW and Math score range is 200-800, Combined score range is 400-1600
Data Source: CB Report

## Mountain View High School

## SAT \% Meeting Benchmarks

class of 2018 and 2019
Table 7a

$\square$ All $\square$ Caucasian $\square$ Asian ■Latino


SAT \% Meeting Benchmarks
Class of 2018 and 2019
Table 7b


SAT Mean Scores by Ethnicity
Class of 2018 and 2019
Table 8a


ERW

Math


ERW + Math Combined


Note: ERW and Math score range is 200-800, Combined score range is 400-1600
Data Source: CB Report


ERW

Math


ERW + Math Combined


Note: ERW and Math score range is 200-800, Combined score range is 400-1600
Data Source: CB Report

MVLA District and State Results
SAT \% Met Both Benchmarks by Ethnicity
Class of 2018 and 2019
Table 9





Note: ERW and Math score range is 200-800, Combined score range is 400-1600
Data Source: CB Reports

Mountain View High School Results

## SAT \# of Exams + Score by Subject

Class of 2018 and 2019
Table 10a


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { U } \\ & \text { © } \\ & \text { ஸ } \\ & \text { ત } \end{aligned}$ |  | \# of Exams | Average Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Biology - E | 15 | 693 |
|  | Biology - M | 26 | 736 |
|  | Chemistry | 38 | 688 |
|  | Physics | 40 | 712 |
|  | Literature | 28 | 661 |
|  | Math Lvi I/Math IC | 4 | 670 |
|  | Math Lvi IIIMath IIC | 135 | 717 |
|  | World History | 16 | 654 |
|  | American/US Hist | 45 | 676 |

Data Source: 2018 - Custom CB Report; 2019 - Aeries Query

Los Altos High School Results SAT \# of Exams + Score by Subject

Class of 2018 and 2019
Table 10b


|  |  | \# of Exams | Average Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Biology - E | 30 | 695 |
|  | Biology - M | 35 | 708 |
|  | Chemistry | 53 | 696 |
|  | Physics | 43 | 724 |
|  | Literature | 44 | 638 |
|  | Math Lvi I/Math IC | 13 | 623 |
|  | Math Lvi IIIMath IIC | 158 | 735 |
|  | World History | 2 | 760 |
|  | American/US Hist | 43 | 669 |

Data Source: 2018 - Custom CB Report; 2019 - Aeries Query

## ACT REPORT

## ACT Test Results - Graduating Class 2019

The ACT is a curriculum-based measure of college readiness. Test components include:

- Test of academic achievement in English, math, reading, science, STEM, and an optional writing test
- High school grade and course information
- Student Profile Section
- Career Interest Inventory

The ACT claims to be the only college readiness test designed to reflect the results from a survey that is conducted every few years to ensure that its curriculum-based assessment tools accurately measure the skills high school teachers teach and instructors of entrylevel college courses expect. As such, the ACT is regarded to closely mirror the Common Core curriculum and current instructional emphases.
In addition to reporting annual composite scores, the ACT also reports College Readiness Benchmark Scores-a minimum score needed on an ACT subject-area test to indicate a $50 \%$ chance of obtaining a $B$ or higher or a $75 \%$ chance of obtaining a $C$ or higher in corresponding credit-bearing college courses, which include English Composition, Algebra, Social Science, Biology and STEM.

ACT encourages educators to measure student performance in the context of college readiness. The focus should be on the number and percentage of students who met or exceeded ACT's College Readiness Benchmark Scores, a measure deemed much more meaningful and understandable than an average composite score for a group of students.

## TAKE AWAYS

- The number of students taking the ACT is a little lower than last year at MVHS (-3); and significantly lower at LAHS (-34)
- Average ACT test scores in all content areas are down at MVHS, and show a small increase at LAHS. State scores are basically flat
- The percent of students meeting 3 or 4 benchmarks district-wide, is the same as last year ( $82 \%$ ), while showing a 1\%age point decline statewide. At MVHS, this score has dropped by 4\%age points, but increased by 4\%age points at LAHS
- The percent of students "ready for college-level work", e.g., students who met all four benchmarks, is down by
- 1\%age point in the district, and flat for the state
- Last year, males outperformed females in both, the district and the state. This year we see a $4 \%$ age point drop for males and a 1\%age point drop for females at MVHS with regard to meeting the College Readiness Benchmarks. Males at LAHS dropped by 2\%age points, and females gained 3\%age points. State scores are flat.
- Composite scores for Latino students show a decline at MVHS, and a small increase at LAHS
- The Writing score for Caucasian students at MVHS shows a small gain, but a loss of .7\%age point for Latinos and . $2 \%$ age point for Asians. At LAHS, there is a . $3 \%$ age point drop for Caucasians, and a $.5 \%$ for Latinos. Asians gained $.4 \%$ age point.
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Note: Core Curriculum results correspond to students taking four or more years of English AND three or more years each of math, social studies, and natural science.

MVLA District + State Results
Average ACT Scores Sixteen Year Trend
Class of 2004 to 2019
Table 1


Data Source: ACT Profile Report, Page 7

Mountain View High School + State Results

## ACT Executive Summary

Class of 2015 to 2019
Table 2a

Average Composite Scores

\% Meeting STEM Benchmark

\% Meeting 3 or 4 Benchmarks

\% Taking a Core Curriculum


Data Source: ACT Profile Report, Page 6

## Los Altos High School + State Results ACT Executive Summary

Average Composite Scores

\% Meeting STEM Benchmark

\% Meeting 3 or 4 Benchmarks

\% Taking a Core Curriculum


Data Source: ACT Profile Report, Page 6

Mountain View High School + State Results
\% of ACT Tested Students Ready for College-Level Coursework
Class of 2018 and 2019
Table 3a


Data Source: ACT Profile Report, Page 7

Los Altos High School + State Results

## \% of ACT Tested Students Ready for College-Level Coursework

Class of 2018 and 2019
Table 3b
\% Ready for College-Level Coursework


Data Source: ACT Profile Report, Page 7

MVLA District Schools + State Results

## \% of ACT Tested Students Met All Four College Readiness Benchmarks

Class of 2015 to 2019
Table 4


Data Source: ACT Profile Report, Page 7

MVLA District Schools + State Results

## ACT \% College Readiness by Subject <br> Class of 2015 to 2019

Table 5

English
(College English Comp)


Math
(College Algebra)


Science
(College Biology)


Reading (College Social Science)


Data Source: ACT Profile Report, Page 7
\% ACT Students Who Met College Readiness Benchmarks by Gender

## Class of 2019

Table 6


Data Source: ACT Profile Report, Page 19

Mountain View High School Results
Average ACT Composite Scores by Race and Core Curriculum Status
Class of 2019
Table 7a

Average Score by Core Curriculum


Data Source: ACT Profile Report, Page 12

Los Altos High School Results
Average ACT Composite Scores by Race and Core Curriculum Status
Class of 2019
Table 7b

Average Score by Core Curriculum


Data Source: ACT Profile Report, Page 12

Mountain View High School + State Results

## Average ACT Writing Scores by Race and Core Curriculum Status

Class of 2019
Table 8a


Data Source: ACT Profile Report, Page 32

## Average ACT Writing Scores by Race and Core Curriculum Status <br> Class of 2019

Table 8b


Data Source: ACT Profile Report, Page 32


[^0]:    Data Source: Indicators (Aeries Query)

[^1]:    Note: CB changed calucation of $3+$ for the class of 2019 from computing the \%age based on \# test (2018 and before) to \# students (2019 and after) other = Non-Hisp Native Ame., Hawaiian/PI, + Filipino
    LTEL $=$ EL in US school $5+$ years
    Newcomer $=E L$ in US school less than 5 years
    Latino Reg Ed = Latino non SpEd, SED, EL

[^2]:    Note: CB changed calucation of $3+$ for the class of 2019 from computing the \%age based on \# test (2018 and before) to \# students (2019 and after) ther = Non-Hisp Native Ame., Hawaiian/PI, + Filipino
    LTEL = EL in US school $5+$ years
    Newcomer = EL in US school less than 5 years
    Latino Reg Ed = Latino non SpEd, SED, EL

[^3]:    Note: CB changed calucation of $3+$ for the class of 2019 from computing the \%age based on \# test (2018 and before) to \# students (2019 and after) Other = Non-Hisp Native Ame., Hawaiian/PI, + Filipino
    LTEL $=$ EL in US school $5+$ years
    Newcomer =EL in US school less than 5 years
    Latino Reg Ed = Latino non SpEd, SED, EL

[^4]:    Note: CB changed calucation of $3+$ for the class of 2019 from computing the \%age based on \# test (2018 and before) to \# students (2019 and after) Other = Non-Hisp Native Ame., Hawaiian/PI, + Fillipino
    Other $=$ Non-Hisp Native Ame.,
    LTEL $=E L$ in US school 5 + years
    Newcomer $=$ EL in US school less than 5 years
    Latino Reg Ed = Latino non SpEd, SED, EL

[^5]:    Note: CB changed calucation of $3+$ for the class of 2019 from computing the \%age based on \# test (2018 and before) to \# students (2019 and after)
    Other = Non-Hisp Native Ame., Hawaiian/PI, + Fillipino
    Other $=$ Non-Hisp Native Ame.,
    LTEL $=E L$ in US school 5 + years
    Newcomer = EL in US school less than 5 years
    Latino Reg Ed = Latino non SpEd, SED, EL

[^6]:    Data Source: Indicators (Aeries Query)

[^7]:    Data Source: Post High School Plans Report

[^8]:    Data Source: Post High School Plans Report

[^9]:    $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{\#}$ tested

