

# **School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA)**

School Name	County-District-School	Schoolsite Council	Local Board Approval
	(CDS) Code	(SSC) Approval Date	Date
Los Altos High School	4369094334116	April 29, 2025	May 19, 2025

The School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) is a strategic plan that maximizes the resources available to the school while minimizing duplication of effort with the ultimate goal of increasing student achievement. SPSA development should be aligned with and inform the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) process.

This SPSA template consolidates all school-level planning efforts into one plan for programs funded through the Consolidated Application (ConApp), and for federal Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI), pursuant to California Education Code (EC) Section 64001 and the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) as amended by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA). This template is designed to meet schoolwide program planning requirements for both the SPSA and federal ATSI planning requirements.

California's ESSA State Plan supports the state's approach to improving student group performance through the utilization of federal resources. Schools use the SPSA to document their approach to maximizing the impact of federal investments in support of underserved students. The implementation of ESSA in California presents an opportunity for schools to innovate with their federally-funded programs and align them with the priority goals of the school and the local educational agency (LEA) that are being realized under the state's Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF).

The LCFF provides schools and LEAs flexibility to design programs and provide services that meet the needs of students in order to achieve readiness for college, career, and lifelong learning. The SPSA planning process supports continuous cycles of action, reflection, and improvement. Consistent with EC 64001(g)(1), the Schoolsite Council (SSC) is required to develop and annually review the SPSA, establish an annual budget, and make modifications to the plan that reflect changing needs and priorities, as applicable.

This plan is being used by Los Altos High School for meeting the following ESSA planning requirements in alignment with the LCAP and other federal, state, and local programs:

#### Schoolwide Program

This template is based on the December, 2023 CDE revision of the School Plan for Student Achievement. Some modifications have been made to inform the SPSA development process.

# **Table of Contents**

SPSA Title Page	1
Table of Contents	2
Plan Description	4
Educational Partner Involvement	4
Resource Inequities	4
Comprehensive Needs Assessment Components	4
California School Dashboard (Dashboard) Indicators	4
Other Needs	4
School and Student Performance Data	5
Student Enrollment	5
CAASPP Results	7
ELPAC Results	11
Student Population	14
Overall Performance	16
Academic Performance	18
Academic Engagement	25
Conditions & Climate	28
Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures	30
Goal 1	30
Goal 2	32
Goal 3	34
Goal 4	36
Goal 5	37
Budget Summary	38
Budget Summary	38
Other Federal, State, and Local Funds	38
Budgeted Funds and Expenditures in this Plan	39
Funds Budgeted to the School by Funding Source	39
Expenditures by Funding Source	39
Expenditures by Budget Reference	39
Expenditures by Budget Reference and Funding Source	39
Expenditures by Goal	39
School Site Council Membership	40
Recommendations and Assurances	41
Instructions	42
Appendix A: Plan Requirements	49

Appendix B: Plan Requirements for School to CSI/ATSI Planning Requirements	52
Appendix C: Select State and Federal Programs	55

# **Plan Description**

Briefly describe your school's plan for effectively meeting ESSA's planning requirements in alignment with the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) and other federal, state, and local programs.

This plan is being used by Los Altos High School for meeting the following ESSA planning requirements in alignment with the LCAP and other federal, state, and local programs:

Schoolwide Program

## **Educational Partner Involvement**

How, when, and with whom did Los Altos High School consult as part of the planning process for this SPSA/Annual Review and Update?

#### Involvement Process for the SPSA and Annual Review and Update

The LAHS Site Council's annual review of the SPSA began at its meeting in November 2023 and reviewed the proposed 2024-2025 document in April, 2024.

## **Resource Inequities**

Briefly identify and describe any resource inequities identified as a result of the required needs assessment, as applicable. This section is required for all schools eligible for ATSI and CSI.

## **Comprehensive Needs Assessment Components**

Identify and describe any areas that need significant improvement based on a review of Dashboard and local data, including any areas of low performance and significant performance gaps among student groups on Dashboard indicators, and any steps taken to address those areas.

### California School Dashboard (Dashboard) Indicators

Referring to the California School Dashboard (Dashboard), any state indicator for which overall performance was in the "Red" or "Orange" performance category.

English Learner Progress

Referring to the California School Dashboard (Dashboard), any state indicator for which performance for any student group was two or more performance levels below the "all student" performance.

CAASPP ELA : Hispanic students and English learners are two performance levels below the "all student" performance. CAASPP Math: English learners, Hispanic, Socioeconomically Disadvantaged, and Students with Disabilities are two or more performance levels below the "all student" performance.

### Other Needs

In addition to Dashboard data, other needs may be identified using locally collected data developed by the LEA to measure pupil outcomes.

### **Student Enrollment**

This report displays the annual K-12 public school enrollment by student ethnicity and grade level for Los Altos High School. Annual enrollment consists of the number of students enrolled on Census Day (the first Wednesday in October). This information was submitted to the CDE as part of the annual Fall 1 data submission in the California Longitudinal Pupil Achievement Data System (CALPADS).

	Stu	dent Enrollme	ent by Subgrou	р		
	Per	cent of Enroll	nent	Nu	mber of Stude	ents
Student Group	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24
American Indian	0.19%	0.23%	0.19%	4	5	4
African American	0.98%	0.79%	0.85%	21	17	18
Asian	27.67%	28.54%	29.33%	591	611	620
Filipino	1.31%	1.49%	1.61%	28	32	34
Hispanic/Latino	27.48%	27.18%	27.39%	587	582	579
Pacific Islander	0.33%	0.23%	0.24%	7	5	5
White	35.63%	33.54%	31.69%	761	718	670
Two or More Races	6.41%	7.99%	8.66%	137	171	183
Not Reported	%	0%	0.05%	0	0	1
		То	tal Enrollment	2,136	2141	2114

### **Enrollment By Student Group**

### **Enrollment By Grade Level**

	Student Enrollment by Grade Level											
Questa		Number of Students										
Grade	21-22	22-23	23-24									
Grade 9	518	543	510									
Grade 10	519	544	554									
Grade 11	545	505	545									
Grade 12	554	549	505									
Total Enrollment	2,136	2,141	2,114									

#### Conclusions based on this data:

1. Enrollment and the ethnic diversity of the student population has has been consistent over the last three years.

2. Enrollment numbers are consistent from year to year.

### English Learner (EL) Enrollment

This report displays the annual K-12 public school enrollment by English Language Acquisition Status (ELAS). This information was submitted to the CDE as part of the annual Fall 1 data submission in the California Longitudinal Pupil Achievement Data System (CALPADS).

English Learner (EL) Enrollment											
	Num	ber of Stud	lents	Percent of Students							
Student Group	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24					
English Learners	82	119	143	3.0%	3.8%	6.8%					
Fluent English Proficient (FEP)	925	908	898	44.9%	43.3%	42.5%					
Reclassified Fluent English Proficient (RFEP)				30.3%							

#### Conclusions based on this data:

**1.** The percentage of students who are English Learners has increased, indicating that there is a need for targeted support for more students.

### CAASPP Results English Language Arts/Literacy (All Students)

The Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for ELA and mathematics are an annual measure of what students know and can do using the Common Core State Standards for English language arts/literacy and mathematics.

The purpose of the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments is to assess student knowledge and skills for English language arts/literacy (ELA) and mathematics, as well as how much students have improved since the previous year. These measures help identify and address gaps in knowledge or skills early so students get the support they need for success in higher grades and for college and career readiness.

All students in grades three through eight and grade eleven take the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments unless a student's active individualized education program (IEP) designates the California Alternate Assessments.

Visit the California Department of Education's Smarter Balanced Assessment System web page for more information.

	Overall Participation for All Students												
Grade	# of Stu	udents E	nrolled	# of Students Tested			# of Students with Scores			% of Enrolled Students Tested			
Level	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	
Grade 11	534	512	547	476	416	485	475	415	485	89.1	81.3	88.7	
All Grades	534	512	547	476	416	485	475	415	485	89.1	81.3	88.7	

The "% of Enrolled Students Tested" showing in this table is not the same as "Participation Rate" for federal accountability purposes.

	Overall Achievement for All Students														
Grade Level	Mean Scale Score				% Standard Exceeded		% Standard Met		% Standard Nearly Met			% Standard Not Met			
	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24
Grade 11	2708.	2698.	2671.	65.26	62.41	55.67	19.58	21.69	20.62	10.74	9.16	12.78	4.42	6.75	10.93
All Grades	N/A	N/A	N/A	65.26	62.41	55.67	19.58	21.69	20.62	10.74	9.16	12.78	4.42	6.75	10.93

Reading Demonstrating understanding of literary and non-fictional texts											
Orredo Laval	% At	% At o	r Near St	andard	% Ве	elow Stan	ndard				
Grade Level	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24		
Grade 11	59.28	57.11	51.13	35.44	37.11	39.18	5.27	5.78	9.69		
All Grades	59.28	57.11	51.13	35.44	37.11	39.18	5.27	5.78	9.69		

Writing Producing clear and purposeful writing										
	% Ak	ove Stan	ve Standard		% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
Grade Level	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	
Grade 11	64.98	61.11	53.40	29.75	30.92	32.99	5.27	7.97	13.61	
All Grades	64.98	61.11	53.40	29.75	30.92	32.99	5.27	7.97	13.61	

Listening Demonstrating effective communication skills											
% Above Standard % At or Near Standard % Below Stand											
Grade Level	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24		
Grade 11	33.33	34.94	29.69	63.08	60.48	63.30	3.59	4.58	7.01		
All Grades	33.33	34.94	29.69	63.08	60.48	63.30	3.59	4.58	7.01		

Research/Inquiry Investigating, analyzing, and presenting information											
% Above Standard % At or Near Standard % Below Standard											
Grade Level	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24		
Grade 11	52.84	49.64	45.36	42.95	45.30	46.80	4.21	5.06	7.84		
All Grades	52.84	49.64	45.36	42.95	45.30	46.80	4.21	5.06	7.84		

1. On the whole student achievement is high and consistent, as measured by these assessments and in the aggregate and compared to the state.

2. Overall, students seem to need more ELA support as percent of students below standard in every sub-test has increased.

### CAASPP Results Mathematics (All Students)

The Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for ELA and mathematics are an annual measure of what students know and can do using the Common Core State Standards for English language arts/literacy and mathematics.

The purpose of the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments is to assess student knowledge and skills for English language arts/literacy (ELA) and mathematics, as well as how much students have improved since the previous year. These measures help identify and address gaps in knowledge or skills early so students get the support they need for success in higher grades and for college and career readiness.

All students in grades three through eight and grade eleven take the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments unless a student's active individualized education program (IEP) designates the California Alternate Assessments.

Visit the California Department of Education's <u>Smarter Balanced Assessment System</u> web page for more information.

				Overall	Participa	ation for	All Stude	ents				
Grade	# of St	udents E	nrolled	# of S	tudents 1	Tested	# of \$	Students Scores	with	% of Er	rolled S <sup>-</sup> Tested	tudents
Level	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24
Grade 11	534	512	547	465	424	490	465	423	490	87.1	82.8	89.6
All Grades	534	512	547	465	424	490	465	423	490	87.1	82.8	89.6

\* The "% of Enrolled Students Tested" showing in this table is not the same as "Participation Rate" for federal accountability purposes.

				c	Overall	Achiev	ement	for All	Studer	Its					
Grade	Grade Mean Scale Sco Level 21-22 22-23 23-				Standa xceede		%	Standa Met	Ird		Standa early M			Standa Not Me	
Level	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24
Grade 11	2705.	2699.	2683.	52.69	51.30	48.57	16.99	18.68	17.96	13.76	10.87	11.43	16.56	19.15	22.04
All Grades	N/A	N/A	N/A	52.69	51.30	48.57	16.99	18.68	17.96	13.76	10.87	11.43	16.56	19.15	22.04

	Applying			ocedures cepts and		ures								
Applying mathematical concepts and procedures           % Above Standard         % At or Near Standard         % Below Standard														
Grade Level	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24					
Grade 11	56.56	55.32	52.24	26.45	25.06	26.73	16.99	19.62	21.02					
All Grades	56.56	55.32	52.24	26.45	25.06	26.73	16.99	19.62	21.02					

Using appropriate					a Analysis orld and m		cal probl	ems	
Que de Laval	% At	ove Stan	dard	% At o	r Near Sta	andard	% Ве	elow Stan	dard
Grade Level	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24
Grade 11	53.76	52.48	47.14	36.56	33.81	40.00	9.68	13.71	12.86
All Grades	53.76	52.48	47.14	36.56	33.81	40.00	9.68	13.71	12.86

Demo	onstrating		unicating			nclusions			
	% At	ove Stan	dard	% At o	r Near St	andard	% Ве	low Stan	dard
Grade Level	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24
Grade 11	50.11	49.88	43.88	42.58	37.83	44.29	7.31	12.29	11.84
All Grades	50.11	49.88	43.88	42.58	37.83	44.29	7.31	12.29	11.84

- 1. On the whole student achievement is high and stable, as measured by these assessments and compared to state averages.
- 2. All subtest results should be analyzed to see how instruction and curriculum can adjust to support student achievement.

The English Language Proficiency Assessments for California (ELPAC) system is used to determine and monitor the progress of the English language proficiency for students whose primary language is not English. The ELPAC is aligned with the 2012 California English Language Development Standards and assesses four domains: listening, speaking, reading, and writing.

Visit the California Department of Education's English Language Proficiency Assessments for California (ELPAC) web page or the ELPAC.org website for more information about the ELPAC.

		Nu	mber of	ELPAC Students		ive Asse an Scale			tudents			
Grade		Overall		Ora	al Langua	age	Writt	en Lang	uage	-	lumber o dents Te	-
Level	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24
9	1551.8	1501.3	1514.0	1534.1	1487.9	1499.0	1569.1	1514.3	1528.5	20	36	48
10	1570.0	1545.6	1506.7	1564.7	1549.2	1493.5	1574.8	1541.6	1519.3	21	34	35
11	1556.3	1486.6	1518.1	1544.2	1463.6	1504.4	1567.9	1509.1	1531.4	13	30	33
12	*	1527.6	1526.3	*	1505.6	1520.2	*	1549.0	1531.9	9	29	33
All Grades										63	129	149

## **ELPAC Results**

		Pe	rcentag	ge of St	tudents		all Lan ch Perf		ce Leve	el for A	ll Stud	ents			
Grade		Level 4	Ļ		Level 3	;		Level 2	2		Level 1			al Num Studer	
Level	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24
9	20.00	11.11	4.17	30.00	19.44	29.17	35.00	22.22	37.50	15.00	47.22	29.17	20	36	48
10	19.05	20.59	11.43	38.10	35.29	20.00	38.10	17.65	22.86	4.76	26.47	45.71	21	34	35
11	0.00	6.67	12.12	53.85	13.33	27.27	38.46	40.00	30.30	7.69	40.00	30.30	13	30	33
12	*	17.86	15.15	*	25.00	24.24	*	21.43	18.18	*	35.71	42.42	*	28	33
All Grades	17.46	14.06	10.07	38.10	23.44	25.50	33.33	25.00	28.19	11.11	37.50	36.24	63	128	149

		Pe	rcentag	ge of St	tudents		l Lang ch Perf		ce Leve	el for A	ll Stud	ents			
Grade		Level 4	Ļ		Level 3	}		Level 2	2		Level 1			al Num Studer	
Level	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24
9	15.00	19.44	12.50	50.00	25.00	37.50	25.00	8.33	25.00	10.00	47.22	25.00	20	36	48
10	33.33	38.24	17.14	61.90	29.41	25.71	4.76	5.88	22.86	0.00	26.47	34.29	21	34	35
11	15.38	10.00	21.21	69.23	26.67	27.27	7.69	26.67	24.24	7.69	36.67	27.27	13	30	33
12	*	28.57	30.30	*	25.00	18.18	*	14.29	12.12	*	32.14	39.39	*	28	33
All Grades	25.40	24.22	19.46	55.56	26.56	28.19	12.70	13.28	21.48	6.35	35.94	30.87	63	128	149

		Pe	rcenta	ge of S	tudents		en Lan ch Perf		ce Leve	el for A	II Stud	ents			
Grade		Level 4	ļ		Level 3	}		Level 2	2		Level 1			al Num Studer	
Level	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24
9	20.00	5.56	4.17	10.00	11.11	16.67	40.00	30.56	35.42	30.00	52.78	43.75	20	36	48
10	14.29	5.88	5.71	33.33	26.47	11.43	47.62	32.35	31.43	4.76	35.29	51.43	21	34	35
11	0.00	0.00	9.09	23.08	16.67	12.12	61.54	30.00	36.36	15.38	53.33	42.42	13	30	33
12	*	10.71	6.06	*	10.71	15.15	*	35.71	15.15	*	42.86	63.64	*	28	33
All Grades	14.29	5.47	6.04	22.22	16.41	14.09	46.03	32.03	30.20	17.46	46.09	49.66	63	128	149

		Percent	age of S	tudents I		ing Dom in Perfoi		_evel for	All Stud	ents		
Grade	Wel	ll Develo	ped	Somew	/hat/Mod	lerately	E	Beginnin	g		tal Numl f Studen	
Level	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24
9	5.00	8.33	2.08	85.00	44.44	70.83	10.00	47.22	27.08	20	36	48
10	4.76	8.82	8.57	95.24	67.65	42.86	0.00	23.53	48.57	21	34	35
11	0.00	3.33	3.03	84.62	43.33	54.55	15.38	53.33	42.42	13	30	33
12	*	10.71	9.09	*	50.00	42.42	*	39.29	48.48	*	28	33
All Grades	6.35	7.81	5.37	84.13	51.56	54.36	9.52	40.63	40.27	63	128	149

		Percent	age of S	tudents l		ing Dom in Perfoi		_evel for	All Stud	ents		
Grade	Wel	l Develo	ped	Somew	/hat/Mod	lerately	E	Beginnin	g		tal Numl f Studen	
Level	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24
9	50.00	52.78	44.68	45.00	2.78	25.53	5.00	44.44	29.79	20	36	47
10	80.95	73.53	45.45	19.05	0.00	27.27	0.00	26.47	27.27	21	34	33
11	66.67	37.93	54.55	33.33	24.14	18.18	0.00	37.93	27.27	12	29	33
12	*	57.14	45.45	*	7.14	21.21	*	35.71	33.33	*	28	33
All Grades	66.13	55.91	47.26	30.65	7.87	23.29	3.23	36.22	29.45	62	127	146

		Percent	age of Si	tudents I	Readi by Doma	ng Doma in Perfo		_evel for	All Stud	ents		
Grade	Wel	I Develo	ped	Somew	/hat/Mod	lerately	E	Beginnin	g		tal Numb f Studen	
Level	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24
9	25.00	13.89	8.51	35.00	30.56	38.30	40.00	55.56	53.19	20	36	47
10	28.57	11.76	8.57	33.33	41.18	31.43	38.10	47.06	60.00	21	34	35
11	7.69	3.33	9.09	69.23	33.33	45.45	23.08	63.33	45.45	13	30	33
12	*	14.29	6.06	*	32.14	36.36	*	53.57	57.58	*	28	33
All Grades	23.81	10.94	8.11	41.27	34.38	37.84	34.92	54.69	54.05	63	128	148

Writing Domain Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students												
Grade	Well Developed Somewhat/Moderately Beginning				tal Numb f Studen							
Level	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24
9	5.00	0.00	2.08	85.00	52.78	66.67	10.00	47.22	31.25	20	36	48
10	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	78.79	57.14	0.00	21.21	42.86	21	33	35
11	25.00	3.33	12.12	75.00	63.33	48.48	0.00	33.33	39.39	12	30	33
12	*	10.71	3.03	*	50.00	48.48	*	39.29	48.48	*	28	33
All Grades	11.29	3.15	4.03	82.26	61.42	56.38	6.45	35.43	39.60	62	127	149

- 1. English Language Development is an area of growth for LAHS.
- 2. Student performance in all areas of the ELPAC should be disaggregated by students to assess areas of student need and teacher professional development.

## **Student Population**

The 2024 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

This section provides information about the school's student population.

2023-24 Student Population					
Total Enrollment	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	English Learners	Foster Youth		
2,114	17.9%	6.8%	0%		
Total Number of Students enrolled in Los Altos High School.	Students who are eligible for free or reduced priced meals; or have parents/guardians who did not receive a high school diploma.	Students who are learning to communicate effectively in English, typically requiring instruction in both the English Language and in their academic	Students whose well being is the responsibility of a court.		

courses.

2023-24 Enrollment for All Students/Student Group					
Student Group	Total	Percentage			
English Learners	143	6.8%			
Foster Youth	1	0%			
Homeless	15	0.7%			
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	379	17.9%			
Students with Disabilities	204	9.6%			

Enrollment by Race/Ethnicity					
Student Group	Total	Percentage			
African American	18	0.9%			
American Indian	4	0.2%			
Asian	620	29.3%			
Filipino	34	1.6%			
Hispanic	579	27.4%			
Two or More Races	183	8.7%			
Pacific Islander	5	0.2%			
White	670	31.7%			

#### Conclusions based on this data:

1. White, Asian, and Hispanic students are the three largest populations by race/ethnicity.

- 2. Our largest subgroup of all student groups is students who are socioeconomically disadvantaged, followed by students with disabilities.
- **3.** Our smallest subgroups: English Learners, Foster Youth, and Homeless students should be paid special attention as they may easily be overlooked when compared to the larger populations of students.


### **Overall Performance**

The 2024 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words "No Performance Color."





- 1. English learner progress is low and should be addressed.
- 2. Suspension rate is low and worth noting.
- **3.** Graduation rate data should be disaggregated by subgroup to determine needs.

### Academic Performance English Language Arts

The 2024 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words "No Performance Color."





Lowest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each level.


2024 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Equity Report				
Red	Orange	Yellow	Green	Blue
2	1	1	0	2

This section provides a view of how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the English Language Arts assessment. This measure is based on student performance on either the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment or the California Alternate Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3–8 and grade 11.

2024 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Performance for All Students/Student Group					
All Students	English Learners	Long-Term English Learners			
Green	Red	No Performance Color			
70.6 points above standard	89.7 points below standard	101.3 points below standard			
Increased 10.0 points	Declined 16.2 points	Declined 5.3 points			
502 Students	41 Students	15 Students			
Foster Youth	Homeless	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged			
No Performance Color	No Performance Color	Yellow			
0 Students	Less than 11 Students	17.8 points below standard			
	5 Students	Increased 31.4 points			
		91 Students			

Blue

**Highest Performance** 



1. Hispanic, SED, students with disabilities, and English Learner performance as measured by the ELA CAASPP is lower than the total student population and needs attention/goals around improvement.

### Academic Performance Mathematics

The 2024 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words "No Performance Color."





Lowest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each level.


2024 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Equity Report				
Red	Orange	Yellow	Green	Blue
1	1	2	0	2

This section provides a view of how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the Mathematics assessment. This measure is based on student performance either on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment or the California Alternate Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3–8 and grade 11.

2024 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Performance for All Students/Student Group					
All Students	English Learners	Long-Term English Learners			
Blue	Orange	No Performance Color			
40.0 points above standard	120.5 points below standard	156.7 points below standard			
Increased 13.7 points	Increased 40.9 points	Increased 55.9 points			
505 Students	44 Students	15 Students			
Foster Youth	Homeless	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged			
Foster Youth No Performance Color	Homeless No Performance Color	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged			
$\square$	$\square$				
No Performance Color	No Performance Color	Yellow			

Blue

**Highest Performance** 



1. Hispanic, SED, students with disabilities, and English Learner performance as measured by the Math CAASPP is lower than the total student population and needs attention/goals around improvement.

### Academic Performance English Learner Progress

The 2024 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words "No Performance Color."

This section provides a view of the percentage of current EL students making progress towards English language proficiency or maintaining the highest level.

2024 Fall Dashboard English Learner Progress Indicator				
English Learner Progress Long-Term English Learner Progress				
$\bigwedge$	$\bigcap$			
Orange	Red			
35.7% making progress.	30.8% making progress.			
Number Students: 115 Students	Number Students: 52 Students			

This section provides a view of the percentage of current EL students who progressed at least one ELPI level, maintained ELPI level 4, maintained lower ELPI levels (i.e, levels 1, 2L, 2H, 3L, or 3H), or decreased at least one ELPI Level.

2024 Fall Dashboard Student English Language Acquisition Results						
Decreased One ELPI Level						
23.5%	40.9%	2.6%	33%			

#### Conclusions based on this data:

1. English Learner progress is an area for growth, analysis, and teacher professional development.

### Academic Performance **College/Career Report**

The 2024 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greved out color dial with the words "No Performance Color."

This section provided information on the percentage of high school graduates who are placed in the "Prepared" level on the College/Career Indicator.

Medium

Verv Low



Lowest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each level.


2024 Fall Dashboard College/Career Equity Report				
Red	Orange	Yellow	Green	Blue
0	0	3	1	2

Explore information on the percentage of high school graduates who are placed in the "Prepared" level on the College/Career Indicator.

2024 Fall Dashboard College/Career Performance for All Students/Student Group					
All Students	English Learners	Long-Term English Learners			
Blue	Yellow	No Performance Color			
74.7 Prepared	11.4 Prepared	15.8 Prepared			
Increased 2.5	Increased 2.1	Increased 2.7			
521 Students	44 Students	19 Students			
Foster Youth	Homeless	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged			
No Performance Color	No Performance Color	Yellow			
Less than 11 Students 0	Less than 11 Students 0	43.2 Prepared			
2 Students	8 Students	Maintained 1.9			
		125 Students			

Verv High

**Highest Performance** 



1. English Learners, Students with Disabilities, and Socioeconomically Disadvantaged students remain a concern in this indicator.--and it is consistent with all other data.

### Academic Engagement Chronic Absenteeism

The 2024 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words "No Performance Color."

Red	Orange	Yellow	Green	Blue
Lowest Performance				Highest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each level.

2024 Fall Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism Equity Report				
Red	Orange	Yellow	Green	Blue

This section provides information about the percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 8 who are absent 10 percent or more of the instructional days they were enrolled.

2024 Fall Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism Performance for All Students/Student Group			
All Students	English Learners Long-Term English Learners		
Foster Youth	Homeless Socioeconomically Disadvantaged		
Students with Disabilities	African American American Indian		
Asian	Filipino	Hispanic	
Two or More Races	Pacific Islander	White	

Conclusions based on this data:

1.

### Academic Engagement Graduation Rate

The 2024 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words "No Performance Color."







Green




Lowest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each level.

2024 Fall Dashboard Graduation Rate Equity Report				
Red	Orange	Yellow	Green	Blue
0	2	1	1	2

This section provides information about students completing high school, which includes students who receive a standard high school diploma.

2024 Fall Dashboard Graduation Rate for All Students/Student Group			
All Students	English Learners	Long-Term English Learners	
Yellow	Yellow	No Performance Color	
94.3% graduated	75.5% graduated	100% graduated	
Declined 1.4%	Increased 5.1%	Increased 8.7%	
526 Students	49 Students	20 Students	
Foster Youth	Homeless	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	
No Performance Color	No Performance Color	Orange	
Fewer than 11 students - data not	Fewer than 11 students - data not	88.5% graduated	
displayed for privacy	displayed for privacy	Declined 2.5%	
2 Students	9 Students	130 Students	



- 1. The graduation rate for all students remains high.
- 2. Students with disabilities, Hispanic students, and Socioeconomically disadvantaged students remain a primary concern in this category and are the focus of our equity work.

### Conditions & Climate Suspension Rate

The 2024 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words "No Performance Color."





Yellow






Lowest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each level.

2024 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate Equity Report				
Red	Orange	Yellow	Green	Blue
0	0	0	3	6

This section provides information about the percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 12 who have been suspended at least once in a given school year. Students who are suspended multiple times are only counted once.

2024 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate for All Students/Student Group			
All Students	English Learners	Long-Term English Learners	
Blue	Green	Green	
0.5% suspended at least one day	1.7% suspended at least one day	2.9% suspended at least one day	
Declined 1.6%	Declined 11.7%	Declined 13.6%	
2190 Students	179 Students	70 Students	
Foster Youth	Homeless	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	
No Performance Color	No Performance Color	Blue	
Fewer than 11 students - data not	0% suspended at least one day	1.1% suspended at least one day	
displayed for privacy 4 Students	Declined 4.2%	Declined 5%	
	33 Students	452 Students	



1. Rate of suspension for all students and subgroups is low and is a reason for celebration.

# Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

# Goal 1

### **Title and Description of School Goal**

Broad statement that describes the desired result to which all strategies/activities are directed.

Create school structures that support intentional collaboration about instructional needs for all students

### LCAP Goal to which this School Goal is Aligned

LCAP goal to which this school goal is aligned.

1. Cultivate classrooms that foster an environment of inclusivity, diversity, and equitable learning opportunities for all students.

2. Strengthen our Multi-Tier Systems of Support to Enhance Academic Success and Career Readiness for All Students

### **Identified Need**

A description of any areas that need significant improvement based on a review of Dashboard and local data, including any areas of low performance and significant performance gaps among student groups on Dashboard indicators, and any steps taken to address those areas.

Achievement gaps as measure on the school dashboard data, grade data, attendance data, and behavior data; current bell schedule that includes little time for teachers to meet inside the school day for collaboration

### Annual Measurable Outcomes

Identify the metric(s) and/or state indicator(s) that your school will use as a means of evaluating progress toward accomplishing the goal.

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
Dashboard data, disaggregated	CAASPP scores in ELA, 2023 (5x5 report) All students: High Students with disabilities: Very Low Latino/Hispanic: Low English Learners: Very Low	Decrease in achievement gap by subgroup Movement up in achievement at least one "status level" for each subgroup by 2024
School grade data, disaggregated	Grade data by course, May, 2024	Decrease in achievement gaps by subgroup
School behavior data, disaggregated	Student suspension and referral data, May 2024 All students: Very Low Students with disabilities: Low Latino/Hispanic: Low English Learners: Medium	Consistently low suspension data or decrease in student suspension
Student attendance data, disaggregated	Attendance data, May 2024	Increase in student attendance
Dashboard data, disaggregated	CAASPP scores in Math All students: Very High Students with disabilities: Very Low Latino/Hispanic: Low English Learners: Very Low	Decrease in achievement gap by subgroup Movement up in achievement at least one "status level" for each subgroup by 2024

Graduation rateGraduation Rates, 2023Increase in graduation rate94.3% for all students94.3% for all studentsstudents and subgroups,93.1% for students with disabilities89.6% for Latino/Hispanic studentsstudents and subgroups,75.5% for English learners75.5% for English learnersstudents	
--	--

### Strategies/Activities

Complete the Strategy/Activity Table with each of your school's strategies/activities. Add additional rows as necessary.

Strategy/ Activity #	Description	Students to be Served	Proposed Expenditures
1.1	Course Team collaboration with a focus on multilingual and special education student success	Hispanic, English learners, SED, SWD; all students	None Specified
1.2	Clearly articulate horizontal content and grade level vertical skills alignment between CP, Honors, and AP levels of courses to all of our students to create a clear roadmap from grades 9-12.	Hispanic, English learners, SED, SWD; all students	
1.3	Identify opportunity gaps and work with course teams by providing professional development and feedback	Analyze grade data by course and subgroup	
1.4	Build in common preparation periods for course teams as much as possible to provide time for teachers to meet	Hispanic, English learners, SED, SWD; all students	

# **Annual Review**

#### SPSA Year Reviewed: 2023-24

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required, and this section may be left blank and completed at the end of the year after the plan has been executed.

## Analysis

Describe the overall implementation and effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

The goals state above are based on the 2023-2024 WASC report and have not been implemented for a full school year.

Describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

No major differences between implementation and expenditures (no notable expenditures)

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

Based on the data gathered at the end of the 2024 school year, actions towards meeting the goals will be noted in the 2025-2026 SPSA and indicated.

# Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

# Goal 2

### **Title and Description of School Goal**

Broad statement that describes the desired result to which all strategies/activities are directed.

Empower staff as instructional leaders through collaboration, professional growth, and shared ownership of student success

### LCAP Goal to which this School Goal is Aligned

LCAP goal to which this school goal is aligned.

2. Strengthen our Multi-Tier Systems of Support to Enhance Academic Success and Career Readiness for All Students

### **Identified Need**

A description of any areas that need significant improvement based on a review of Dashboard and local data, including any areas of low performance and significant performance gaps among student groups on Dashboard indicators, and any steps taken to address those areas.

WASC self study included areas of growth with student CAASPP results, disaggregated by student subgroup, AP course enrollment and successful completion by subgroup and disproportional achievement data by ethnicity and language proficiency for the school

### Annual Measurable Outcomes

Identify the metric(s) and/or state indicator(s) that your school will use as a means of evaluating progress toward accomplishing the goal.

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
CM Training completed by all Staff	49 teachers trained as of April 2025	20 more teachers trained by fall 2025
CM strategy implementation	Data currently being collected; Baseline 0	Increase from baseline
CA Dashboard Indicators: graduation rates, ELA/Math CAASPP	CAASPP scores in ELA, 2023 (5x5 report) All students: High Students with disabilities: Very Low Latino/Hispanic: Low English Learners: Very LowCAASPP scores in Math All students: Very High Students with disabilities: Very Low Latino/Hispanic: Low English Learners: Very LowGraduation Rates, 2023 94.3% for all students 93.1% for students with disabilities 89.6% for Latino/Hispanic students 75.5% for English learners	Decrease in achievement gap by subgroup Movement up in achievement at least one "status level" for each subgroup by 2024
Student behavior data	Student suspension and referral data, May 2024 All students: Very Low Students with disabilities: Low Latino/Hispanic: Low English Learners: Medium	Suspension data reduced

Student attendance	35+ unexcused absences 29% in May	Decrease in 35+ unexcused absences
	2024	

### Strategies/Activities

Complete the Strategy/Activity Table with each of your school's strategies/activities. Add additional rows as necessary.

Strategy/ Activity #	Description	Students to be Served	Proposed Expenditures
2.1	Develop and share an ongoing professional learning plan that focuses on strategies to aid in the success of our critical learners	English Learners (EL)	None Specified
2.2	Teachers trained on instructional strategies such as Constructing Meaning (CM) and accountable to incorporating CM instructional strategies to support students	All students, with a focus on Hispanic, SED, SWD, and English learners	
2.3	Develop a clear systems-driven course selection process that includes an elective fair and comprehensive student course selections that are individualized based on students' goals and interests	Hispanic, SWD, and English learners	
2.4	Develop Coordinators' capacity to work on Instructional Leadership in departments	All students	

# **Annual Review**

#### SPSA Year Reviewed: 2023-24

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required, and this section may be left blank and completed at the end of the year after the plan has been executed.

## Analysis

Describe the overall implementation and effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal. The goals state above are based on the 2023-2024 WASC report and have not been implemented for a full school year.

Describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

No major differences between implementation and expenditures (no notable expenditures)

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

Based on the data gathered at the end of the 2024 school year, actions towards meeting the goals will be noted in the 2025-2026 SPSA and indicated.

# Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

# Goal 3

### **Title and Description of School Goal**

Broad statement that describes the desired result to which all strategies/activities are directed.

Build a culturally responsive, inclusive, and spirited school culture that uplifts Latino and SpED students, builds relational capacity, and strengthens school pride and community engagement for all

### LCAP Goal to which this School Goal is Aligned

LCAP goal to which this school goal is aligned.

1. Cultivate classrooms that foster an environment of inclusivity, diversity, and equitable learning opportunities for all students.

2. Strengthen our Multi-Tier Systems of Support to Enhance Academic Success and Career Readiness for All Students

### **Identified Need**

A description of any areas that need significant improvement based on a review of Dashboard and local data, including any areas of low performance and significant performance gaps among student groups on Dashboard indicators, and any steps taken to address those areas.

### **Annual Measurable Outcomes**

Identify the metric(s) and/or state indicator(s) that your school will use as a means of evaluating progress toward accomplishing the goal.

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
CHKS results for students, staff, and parents	Meaningful participation & school connectedness results, disaggregated. Baseline: 36% and 72%	Increase in meaningful participation and school connectedness
Increased participation in school activities		
Sports activities participation data, disaggregated		
Local student, parent, and staff survey		
Elective and AP enrollment, disaggregated		

### Strategies/Activities

Complete the Strategy/Activity Table with each of your school's strategies/activities. Add additional rows as necessary.

Strategy/ Activity #	Description	Students to be Served	Proposed Expenditures
3.1	Provide time for staff to give input, share concerns, and ask questions	All students	
3.2	Student input in school policies and decisions, i.e., PAC, school mural, student suggestion form	All students	
3.3	Provide opportunities for parent feedback	All students	
3.4	Coordinators and Leadership teams and agendas, including an open forum	All students	

# **Annual Review**

#### SPSA Year Reviewed: 2023-24

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required, and this section may be left blank and completed at the end of the year after the plan has been executed.

## Analysis

Describe the overall implementation and effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal. The goals state above are based on the 2023-2024 WASC report and have not been implemented for a full school year.

Describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

No major differences between implementation and expenditures (no notable expenditures)

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

Based on the data gathered at the end of the 2024 school year, actions towards meeting the goals will be noted in the 2025-2026 SPSA and indicated.

# Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

## Goal 4

### **Title and Description of School Goal**

Broad statement that describes the desired result to which all strategies/activities are directed.

### LCAP Goal to which this School Goal is Aligned

LCAP goal to which this school goal is aligned.

### **Identified Need**

A description of any areas that need significant improvement based on a review of Dashboard and local data, including any areas of low performance and significant performance gaps among student groups on Dashboard indicators, and any steps taken to address those areas.

### **Annual Measurable Outcomes**

Identify the metric(s) and/or state indicator(s) that your school will use as a means of evaluating progress toward accomplishing the goal.

Metric/Indicator Baseline/Actual Outcome Expected Outcome	
---	--

### Strategies/Activities

Complete the Strategy/Activity Table with each of your school's strategies/activities. Add additional rows as necessary.

Strategy/ Activity #	Description	Students to be Served	Proposed Expenditures	

# **Annual Review**

#### SPSA Year Reviewed: 2023-24

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required, and this section may be left blank and completed at the end of the year after the plan has been executed.

## Analysis

Describe the overall implementation and effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

Describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

# Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

# Goal 5

# **Title and Description of School Goal**

Broad statement that describes the desired result to which all strategies/activities are directed.

# LCAP Goal to which this School Goal is Aligned

LCAP goal to which this school goal is aligned.

## **Identified Need**

A description of any areas that need significant improvement based on a review of Dashboard and local data, including any areas of low performance and significant performance gaps among student groups on Dashboard indicators, and any steps taken to address those areas.

## Annual Measurable Outcomes

Identify the metric(s) and/or state indicator(s) that your school will use as a means of evaluating progress toward accomplishing the goal.

Metric/Indicator Baseline/Actual Outcome Expected Outcome	
---	--

## Strategies/Activities

Complete the Strategy/Activity Table with each of your school's strategies/activities. Add additional rows as necessary.

Strategy/	Description	Students to be Served	Proposed Expenditures	
Activity #				

# **Annual Review**

#### SPSA Year Reviewed: 2023-24

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required, and this section may be left blank and completed at the end of the year after the plan has been executed.

# Analysis

Describe the overall implementation and effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

Describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

# **Budget Summary**

Complete the Budget Summary Table below. Schools may include additional information, and adjust the table as needed. The Budget Summary is required for schools funded through the Consolidated Application (ConApp).

# Budget Summary

DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
Total Funds Provided to the School Through the Consolidated Application	\$
Total Funds Budgeted for Strategies to Meet the Goals in the SPSA	\$
Total Federal Funds Provided to the School from the LEA for CSI	\$

# Other Federal, State, and Local Funds

List the additional Federal programs that the school includes in the schoolwide program. Adjust the table as needed.

# Note: If the school is not operating a Title I schoolwide program, this section is not applicable and may be deleted.

Federal Programs	Allocation (\$)

Subtotal of additional federal funds included for this school: \$

List the State and local programs that the school is including in the schoolwide program. Duplicate the table as needed.

State or Local Programs	Allocation (\$)

Subtotal of state or local funds included for this school: \$

Total of federal, state, and/or local funds for this school: \$

# **Budgeted Funds and Expenditures in this Plan**

The tables below are provided to help the school track expenditures as they relate to funds budgeted to the school.

# Funds Budgeted to the School by Funding Source

Funding Source	Amount	Balance	
Expenditures by Funding Source			
Funding Source	Amo	ount	
Expenditures by Budget Reference			
Budget Reference	Amo	ount	
Expenditures by Budget Reference and Funding Source			
Budget Reference F	unding Source	Amount	
Expenditures by Goal			
Goal Number	Total Expe	enditures	

# School Site Council Membership

California Education Code describes the required composition of the School Site Council (SSC). The SSC shall be composed of the principal and representatives of: teachers selected by teachers at the school; other school personnel selected by other school personnel at the school; parents of pupils attending the school selected by such parents; and, in secondary schools, pupils selected by pupils attending the school. The current make-up of the SSC is as follows:

- 1 School Principal
- 2 Classroom Teachers
- 2 Other School Staff
- 5 Parent or Community Members

4 Secondary Students

Name of Members	Role
Tracey Runeare	Principal
Darren Dressen	Classroom Teacher
Scott Murray	Classroom Teacher
Clara Roa	Parent or Community Member
Talia Frank	Secondary Student
Jackson Bortz	Secondary Student
Maria Hoerni	Other School Staff
Paula Perez	Parent or Community Member
Osvaldo Valenzuela	Secondary Student
Ann Waterman	Parent or Community Member
Too Too Thompson	Parent or Community Member
Anitha Rajesh	Parent or Community Member
Stefi Ganesan	Parent or Community Member
Chelsea Doiguchi	Classroom Teacher
Audrey Sanchez	Secondary Student

At elementary schools, the school site council must be constituted to ensure parity between (a) the principal, classroom teachers, and other school personnel, and (b) parents of students attending the school or other community members. Classroom teachers must comprise a majority of persons represented under section (a). At secondary schools there must be, in addition, equal numbers of parents or other community members selected by parents, and students. Members must be selected by their peer group.

# **Recommendations and Assurances**

The School Site Council (SSC) recommends this school plan and proposed expenditures to the district governing board for approval and assures the board of the following:

The SSC is correctly constituted and was formed in accordance with district governing board policy and state law.

The SSC reviewed its responsibilities under state law and district governing board policies, including those board policies relating to material changes in the School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) requiring board approval.

The SSC sought and considered all recommendations from the following groups or committees before adopting this plan:

#### Signature

#### Committee or Advisory Group Name

Other: Student Advisory Committee (ASB)

The SSC reviewed the content requirements for school plans of programs included in this SPSA and believes all such content requirements have been met, including those found in district governing board policies and in the local educational agency plan.

This SPSA is based on a thorough analysis of student academic performance. The actions proposed herein form a sound, comprehensive, coordinated plan to reach stated school goals to improve student academic performance.

This SPSA was adopted by the SSC at a public meeting on .

Attested:

Principal, Tracey Runeare on

SSC Chairperson, Stefi Ganesan on

# Instructions

The School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) is a strategic plan that maximizes the resources available to the school while minimizing duplication of effort with the ultimate goal of increasing student achievement. SPSA development should be aligned with and inform the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) process.

This SPSA template consolidates all school-level planning efforts into one plan for programs funded through the Consolidated Application (ConApp) pursuant to California *Education Code* (*EC*) Section 64001 and the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) as amended by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA). This template is designed to meet schoolwide program planning requirements.

California's ESSA State Plan supports the state's approach to improving student group performance through the utilization of federal resources. Schools use the SPSA to document their approach to maximizing the impact of federal investments in support of underserved students. The implementation of ESSA in California presents an opportunity for schools to innovate with their federally-funded programs and align them with the priority goals of the school and the local educational agency (LEA) that are being realized under the state's Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF).

The LCFF provides schools and LEAs flexibility to design programs and provide services that meet the needs of students in order to achieve readiness for college, career, and lifelong learning. The SPSA planning process supports continuous cycles of action, reflection, and improvement. Consistent with *EC* 64001(g)(1), the Schoolsite Council (SSC) is required to develop and annually review the SPSA, establish an annual budget, and make modifications to the plan that reflect changing needs and priorities, as applicable.

For questions related to specific sections of the template, please see instructions below.

## **Instructions: Table of Contents**

- Plan Description
- Educational Partner Involvement
- Comprehensive Needs Assessment
- Goals, Strategies/Activities, and Expenditures
- Annual Review
- Budget Summary
- Appendix A: Plan Requirements for Title I Schoolwide Programs
- Appendix B: Select State and Federal Programs

For additional questions or technical assistance related to LEA and school planning, please contact the CDE's Local Agency Systems Support Office, at <u>LCFF@cde.ca.gov</u>.

For programmatic or policy questions regarding Title I schoolwide planning, please contact the LEA, or the CDE's Title I Policy and Program Guidance Office at <u>TITLEI@cde.ca.gov</u>.

### **Plan Description**

Briefly describe the school's plan to effectively meet the ESSA requirements in alignment with the LCAP and other federal, state, and local programs.

#### Additional CSI Planning Requirements:

Schools eligible for CSI must briefly describe the purpose of this plan by stating that this plan will be used to meet federal CSI planning requirements.

#### Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:

Schools eligible for ATSI must briefly describe the purpose of this plan by stating that this plan will be used to meet federal ATSI planning requirements.

### **Educational Partner Involvement**

Meaningful involvement of parents, students, and other stakeholders is critical to the development of the SPSA and the budget process. Within California, these stakeholders are referred to as educational partners. Schools must share the SPSA with school site-level advisory groups, as applicable (e.g., English Learner Advisory committee, student advisory groups, tribes and tribal organizations present in the community, as appropriate, etc.) and seek input from these advisory groups in the development of the SPSA.

The Educational Partner Engagement process is an ongoing, annual process. Describe the process used to involve advisory committees, parents, students, school faculty and staff, and the community in the development of the SPSA and the annual review and update.

#### Additional CSI Planning Requirements:

When completing this section for CSI, the LEA must partner with the school and its educational partners in the development and implementation of this plan.

#### **Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:**

This section meets the requirements for ATSI.

## **Resource Inequities**

This section is required for all schools eligible for ATSI and CSI.

#### Additional CSI Planning Requirements:

- Schools eligible for CSI must identify resource inequities, which may include a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting as a part of the required school-level needs assessment.
- Identified resource inequities must be addressed through implementation of the CSI plan.
- Briefly identify and describe any resource inequities identified as a result of the required school-level needs assessment and summarize how the identified resource inequities are addressed in the SPSA.

#### Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:

- Schools eligible for ATSI must identify resource inequities, which may include a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting as a part of the required school-level needs assessment.
- Identified resource inequities must be addressed through implementation of the ATSI plan.
- Briefly identify and describe any resource inequities identified as a result of the required school-level needs assessment and summarize how the identified resource inequities are addressed in the SPSA.

## **Comprehensive Needs Assessment**

Referring to the California School Dashboard (Dashboard), identify: (a) any state indicator for which overall performance was in the "Red" or "Orange" performance category AND (b) any state indicator for which performance for any student group was two or more performance levels below the "all student" performance. In addition to Dashboard data, other needs may be identified using locally collected data developed by the LEA to measure pupil outcomes.

#### SWP Planning Requirements:

When completing this section for SWP, the school shall describe the steps it is planning to take to address these areas of low performance and performance gaps to improve student outcomes.

Completing this section fully addresses all SWP relevant federal planning requirements.

#### **CSI Planning Requirements:**

When completing this section for CSI, the LEA shall describe the steps the LEA will take to address the areas of low performance, low graduation rate, and/or performance gaps for the school to improve student outcomes.

Completing this section fully addresses all relevant federal planning requirements for CSI.

#### ATSI Planning Requirements:

Completing this section fully addresses all relevant federal planning requirements for ATSI.

### Goals, Strategies/Activities, and Expenditures

In this section, a school provides a description of the annual goals to be achieved by the school. This section also includes descriptions of the specific planned strategies/activities a school will take to meet the identified goals, and a description of the expenditures required to implement the specific strategies and activities.

#### Additional CSI Planning Requirements:

When completing this section to meet federal planning requirements for CSI, improvement goals must also align with the goals, actions, and services in the LEA's LCAP.

#### Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:

When completing this section to meet federal planning requirements for ATSI, improvement goals must also align with the goals, actions, and services in the LEA's LCAP.

## Goal

Well-developed goals will clearly communicate to educational partners what the school plans to accomplish, what the school plans to do in order to accomplish the goal, and how the school will know when it has accomplished the goal. A goal should be specific enough to be measurable in either quantitative or qualitative terms. Schools should assess the performance of their student groups when developing goals and the related strategies/activities to achieve such goals. SPSA goals should align to the goals and actions in the LEA's LCAP.

A goal is a broad statement that describes the desired result to which all strategies/activities are directed. A goal answers the question: What is the school seeking to achieve?

It can be helpful to use a framework for writing goals such the S.M.A.R.T. approach. A S.M.A.R.T. goal is:

- **S**pecific,
- Measurable,
- Achievable,
- Realistic, and
- **T**ime-bound.

A level of specificity is needed in order to measure performance relative to the goal as well as to assess whether it is reasonably achievable. Including time constraints, such as milestone dates, ensures a realistic approach that supports student success.

A school may number the goals using the "Goal #" for ease of reference.

#### Additional CSI Planning Requirements:

Completing this section as described above fully addresses all relevant federal CSI planning requirements.

#### Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:

Completing this section as described above fully addresses all relevant federal ATSI planning requirements.

### **Identified Need**

Describe the basis for establishing the goal. The goal should be based upon an analysis of verifiable state data, including local and state indicator data from the Dashboard and data from the School Accountability Report Card, including local data voluntarily collected by districts to measure pupil achievement.

#### Additional CSI Planning Requirements:

Completing this section as described above fully addresses all relevant federal CSI planning requirements.

#### **Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:**

Completing this section as described above fully addresses all relevant federal ATSI planning requirements.

## Annual Measurable Outcomes

Identify the metric(s) and/or state indicator(s) that the school will use as a means of evaluating progress toward accomplishing the goal. A school may identify metrics for specific student groups. Include in the

baseline column the most recent data associated with the metric or indicator available at the time of adoption of the SPSA. The most recent data associated with a metric or indicator includes data reported in the annual update of the SPSA. In the subsequent Expected Outcome column, identify the progress the school intends to make in the coming year.

#### Additional CSI Planning Requirements:

When completing this section for CSI, the school must include school-level metrics related to the metrics that led to the school's eligibility for CSI.

#### Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:

Completing this section as described above fully addresses all relevant federal ATSI planning requirements.

#### **Strategies/Activities Table**

Describe the strategies and activities being provided to meet the goal. Complete the table as follows:

- Strategy/Activity #: Number the strategy/activity using the "Strategy/Activity #" for ease of reference.
- Description: Describe the strategy/activity.
- Students to be Served: Identify in the Strategy/Activity Table either All Students or one
  or more specific student groups that will benefit from the strategies and activities. ESSA
  Section 1111(c)(2) requires the schoolwide plan to identify either "All Students" or one or
  more specific student groups, including socioeconomically disadvantaged students,
  students from major racial and ethnic groups, students with disabilities, and English
  learners.
- Proposed Expenditures: List the amount(s) for the proposed expenditures. Proposed expenditures that are included more than once in a SPSA should be indicated as a duplicated expenditure and include a reference to the goal and strategy/activity where the expenditure first appears in the SPSA. Pursuant to *EC* Section 64001(g)(3)(C), proposed expenditures, based on the projected resource allocation from the governing board or governing body of the LEA, to address the findings of the needs assessment consistent with the state priorities including identifying resource inequities which may include a review of the LEA's budgeting, its LCAP, and school-level budgeting, if applicable.
- Funding Sources: List the funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal, identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Planned strategies/activities address the findings of the comprehensive needs assessment consistent with state priorities and resource inequities, which may have been identified through a review of the LEA's budgeting, its LCAP, and school-level budgeting, if applicable.

#### Additional CSI Planning Requirements:

- When completing this section for CSI, this plan must include evidence-based interventions and align to the goals, actions, and services in the LEA's LCAP.
- When completing this section for CSI, this plan must address through implementation, identified resource inequities, which may have been identified through a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting.

Note: Federal school improvement funds for CSI shall not be used in schools identified for TSI or ATSI. In addition, funds for CSI shall not be used to hire additional permanent staff.

#### Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:

• When completing this section for ATSI, this plan must include evidence-based interventions and align with the goals, actions, and services in the LEA's LCAP.

- When completing this section for ATSI, this plan must address through implementation, identified resource inequities, which may have been identified through a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting.
- When completing this section for ATSI, at a minimum, the student groups to be served shall
  include the student groups that are consistently underperforming, for which the school received
  the ATSI designation.

**Note:** Federal school improvement funds for CSI shall not be used in schools identified for ATSI. Schools eligible for ATSI do not receive funding but are required to include evidence-based interventions and align with the goals, actions, and services in the LEA's LCAP.

## **Annual Review**

In the following Goal Analysis prompts, identify any material differences between what was planned and what actually occurred as well as significant changes in strategies/activities and/or expenditures from the prior year. This annual review and analysis should be the basis for decision-making and updates to the plan.

# **Goal Analysis**

Using actual outcome data, including state indicator data from the Dashboard, analyze whether the planned strategies/activities were effective in achieving the goal. Respond to the prompts as instructed. Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal.

- Describe the overall implementation and effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.
- Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or material difference between the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.
- Describe any changes that will be made to the goal, expected annual measurable outcomes, metrics/indicators, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis and analysis of the data provided in the Dashboard, as applicable. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

**Note:** If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, the Annual Review section is not required and this section may be left blank and completed at the end of the year after the plan has been executed.

#### Additional CSI Planning Requirements:

- When completing this section for CSI, any changes made to the goals, annual measurable outcomes, metrics/indicators, or strategies/activities, shall meet the federal CSI planning requirements.
- CSI planning requirements are listed under each section of the Instructions. For example, as a result of the Annual Review and Update, if changes are made to a goal(s), see the Goal section for CSI planning requirements.

#### Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:

- When completing this section for ATSI, any changes made to the goals, annual measurable outcomes, metrics/indicators, or strategies/activities, shall meet the federal ATSI planning requirements.
- ATSI planning requirements are listed under each section of the Instructions. For example, as a result of the Annual Review and Update, if changes are made to a goal(s), see the Goal section for ATSI planning requirements.

# Budget Summary

In this section, a school provides a brief summary of the funding allocated to the school through the ConApp and/or other funding sources as well as the total amount of funds for proposed expenditures described in the SPSA. The Budget Summary is required for schools funded through the ConApp.

**Note:** If the school is not operating a Title I schoolwide program, this section is not applicable and may be deleted.

#### Additional CSI Planning Requirements:

 From its total allocation for CSI, the LEA may distribute funds across its schools that are eligible for CSI to support implementation of this plan. In addition, the LEA may retain a portion of its total allocation to support LEA-level expenditures that are directly related to serving schools eligible for CSI.

Note: CSI funds may not be expended at or on behalf of schools not eligible for CSI.

#### Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:

Note: Federal funds for CSI shall not be used in schools eligible for ATSI.

#### **Budget Summary Table**

A school receiving funds allocated through the ConApp should complete the Budget Summary Table as follows:

- **Total Funds Provided to the School Through the ConApp:** This amount is the total amount of funding provided to the school through the ConApp for the school year. The school year means the fiscal year for which a SPSA is adopted or updated.
- Total Funds Budgeted for Strategies to Meet the Goals in the SPSA: This amount is the total of the proposed expenditures from all sources of funds associated with the strategies/activities reflected in the SPSA. To the extent strategies/activities and/or proposed expenditures are listed in the SPSA under more than one goal, the expenditures should be counted only once.

A school receiving funds from its LEA for CSI should complete the Budget Summary Table as follows:

• Total Federal Funds Provided to the School from the LEA for CSI: This amount is the total amount of funding provided to the school from the LEA for the purpose of developing and implementing the CSI plan for the school year set forth in the CSI LEA Application for which funds were received.

# **Appendix A: Plan Requirements**

# Schoolwide Program Requirements

This School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) template meets the requirements of a schoolwide program plan. The requirements below are for planning reference.

A school that operates a schoolwide program and receives funds allocated through the ConApp is required to develop a SPSA. The SPSA, including proposed expenditures of funds allocated to the school through the ConApp, must be reviewed annually and updated by the Schoolsite Council (SSC). The content of a SPSA must be aligned with school goals for improving student achievement.

# **Requirements for Development of the Plan**

- I. The development of the SPSA shall include both of the following actions:
  - A. Administration of a comprehensive needs assessment that forms the basis of the school's goals contained in the SPSA.
    - 1. The comprehensive needs assessment of the entire school shall:
      - a. Include an analysis of verifiable state data, consistent with all state priorities as noted in Sections 52060 and 52066, and informed by all indicators described in Section 1111(c)(4)(B) of the federal Every Student Succeeds Act, including pupil performance against state-determined long-term goals. The school may include data voluntarily developed by districts to measure pupil outcomes (described in the Identified Need).
      - b. Be based on academic achievement information about all students in the school, including all groups under §200.13(b)(7) and migratory children as defined in section 1309(2) of the ESEA, relative to the State's academic standards under §200.1 to:
        - i. Help the school understand the subjects and skills for which teaching and learning need to be improved.
        - ii. Identify the specific academic needs of students and groups of students who are not yet achieving the State's academic standards.
        - iii. Assess the needs of the school relative to each of the components of the schoolwide program under §200.28.
        - iv. Develop the comprehensive needs assessment with the participation of individuals who will carry out the schoolwide program plan.
        - v. Document how it conducted the needs assessment, the results it obtained, and the conclusions it drew from those results.
  - B. Identification of the process for evaluating and monitoring the implementation of the SPSA and progress towards accomplishing the goals set forth in the SPSA (described in the Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes and Annual Review and Update).

## **Requirements for the Plan**

- II. The SPSA shall include the following:
  - A. Goals set to improve pupil outcomes, including addressing the needs of student groups as identified through the needs assessment.
  - B. Evidence-based strategies, actions, or services (described in Strategies and Activities)

- 1. A description of the strategies that the school will be implementing to address school needs, including a description of how such strategies will:
  - a. Provide opportunities for all children including each of the subgroups of students to meet the challenging state academic standards
  - b. Use methods and instructional strategies that:
    - i. Strengthen the academic program in the school,
    - ii. Increase the amount and quality of learning time, and
    - iii. Provide an enriched and accelerated curriculum, which may include programs, activities, and courses necessary to provide a well-rounded education.
  - c. Address the needs of all children in the school, but particularly the needs of those at risk of not meeting the challenging State academic standards, so that all students demonstrate at least proficiency on the State's academic standards through activities which may include:
    - i. Strategies to improve students' skills outside the academic subject areas;
    - ii. Preparation for and awareness of opportunities for postsecondary education and the workforce;
    - iii. Implementation of a schoolwide tiered model to prevent and address problem behavior;
    - iv. Professional development and other activities for teachers, paraprofessionals, and other school personnel to improve instruction and use of data; and
    - v. Strategies for assisting preschool children in the transition from early childhood education programs to local elementary school programs.
- C. Proposed expenditures, based on the projected resource allocation from the governing board or body of the LEA (may include funds allocated via the ConApp, federal funds, and any other state or local funds allocated to the school), to address the findings of the needs assessment consistent with the state priorities, including identifying resource inequities, which may include a review of the LEAs budgeting, it's LCAP, and school-level budgeting, if applicable (described in Proposed Expenditures and Budget Summary). Employees of the schoolwide program may be deemed funded by a single cost objective.
- D. A description of how the school will determine if school needs have been met (described in the Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes and the Annual Review and Update).
  - 1. Annually evaluate the implementation of, and results achieved by, the schoolwide program, using data from the State's annual assessments and other indicators of academic achievement;
  - 2. Determine whether the schoolwide program has been effective in increasing the achievement of students in meeting the State's academic standards, particularly for those students who had been furthest from achieving the standards; and
  - 3. Revise the plan, as necessary, based on the results of the evaluation, to ensure continuous improvement of students in the schoolwide program.

- E. A description of how the school will ensure parental involvement in the planning, review, and improvement of the schoolwide program plan (described in Educational Partner Involvement and/or Strategies/Activities).
- F. A description of the activities the school will include to ensure that students who experience difficulty attaining proficient or advanced levels of academic achievement standards will be provided with effective, timely additional support, including measures to:
  - 1. Ensure that those students' difficulties are identified on a timely basis; and
  - 2. Provide sufficient information on which to base effective assistance to those students.
- G. For an elementary school, a description of how the school will assist preschool students in the successful transition from early childhood programs to the school.
- H. A description of how the school will use resources to carry out these components (described in the Proposed Expenditures for Strategies/Activities).
- I. A description of any other activities and objectives as established by the SSC (described in the Strategies/Activities).

Authority Cited: Title 34 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (34 *CFR*), sections 200.25-26, and 200.29, and sections-1114(b)(7)(A)(i)-(iii) and 1118(b) of the ESEA. *EC* sections 64001 et. seq.

# Appendix B: Plan Requirements for School to CSI/ATSI Planning Requirements

For questions or technical assistance related to meeting federal school improvement planning requirements, please contact the CDE's School Improvement and Support Office at <u>SISO@cde.ca.gov</u>.

# **Comprehensive Support and Improvement**

The LEA shall partner with educational partners (including principals and other school leaders, teachers, and parents) to locally develop and implement the CSI plan for the school to improve student outcomes, and specifically address the metrics that led to eligibility for CSI (Educational Partner Involvement).

The CSI plan shall:

- 1. Be informed by all state indicators, including student performance against statedetermined long-term goals (Sections: Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Annual Review and Update, as applicable);
- Include evidence-based interventions (Sections: Strategies/Activities, Annual Review and Update, as applicable) (For resources related to evidence-based interventions, see the U.S. Department of Education's "Using Evidence to Strengthen Education Investments" at <u>https://www2.ed.gov/fund/grant/about/discretionary/2023-non-regulatoryguidance-evidence.pdf</u>);

Non-Regulatory Guidance: Using Evidence to Strengthen Education Investments

- 3. Be based on a school-level needs assessment (Sections: Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Annual Review and Update, as applicable); and
- 4. Identify resource inequities, which may include a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting, to be addressed through implementation of the CSI plan (Sections: Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Planned Strategies/Activities; and Annual Review and Update, as applicable).

Authority Cited: Sections 1003(e)(1)(A), 1003(i), 1111(c)(4)(B), and 1111(d)(1) of the ESSA.

# Single School Districts and Charter Schools Eligible for ESSA School Improvement

Single school districts (SSDs) or charter schools that are eligible for CSI, TSI, or ATSI, shall develop a SPSA that addresses the applicable requirements above as a condition of receiving funds (*EC* Section 64001[a] as amended by Assembly Bill 716, effective January 1, 2019).

However, a SSD or a charter school may streamline the process by combining state and federal requirements into one document which may include the LCAP and all federal planning requirements, provided that the combined plan is able to demonstrate that the legal requirements for each of the plans is met (*EC* Section 52062[a] as amended by AB 716, effective January 1, 2019).

Planning requirements for single school districts and charter schools choosing to exercise this option are available in the LCAP Instructions.

Authority Cited: *EC* sections 52062(a) and 64001(a), both as amended by AB 716, effective January 1, 2019.

# **CSI Resources**

For additional CSI resources, please see the following links:

- **CSI Planning Requirements** (see Planning Requirements tab): <u>https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/sw/t1/csi.asp</u>
- CSI Webinars: <u>https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/sw/t1/csiwebinars.asp</u>
- CSI Planning Summary for Charters and Single-school Districts: <u>https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/sw/t1/csiplansummary.asp</u>

# Additional Targeted Support and Improvement

A school eligible for ATSI shall:

1. Identify resource inequities, which may include a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting, which will be addressed through implementation of its TSI plan (*Sections: Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Planned Strategies/Activities, and Annual Review and Update, as applicable*).

Authority Cited: Sections 1003(e)(1)(B), 1003(i), 1111(c)(4)(B), and 1111(d)(2)(c) of the ESSA.

# Single School Districts and Charter Schools Eligible for ESSA School Improvement

Single school districts (SSDs) or charter schools that are eligible for CSI, TSI, or ATSI, shall develop a SPSA that addresses the applicable requirements above as a condition of receiving funds (*EC* Section 64001[a] as amended by Assembly Bill [AB] 716, effective January 1, 2019).

However, a SSD or a charter school may streamline the process by combining state and federal requirements into one document which may include the local control and accountability plan (LCAP) and all federal planning requirements, provided that the combined plan is able to demonstrate that the legal requirements for each of the plans is met (*EC* Section 52062[a] as amended by AB 716, effective January 1, 2019).

Planning requirements for single school districts and charter schools choosing to exercise this option are available in the LCAP Instructions.

Authority Cited: *EC* sections 52062(a) and 64001(a), both as amended by AB 716, effective January 1, 2019.

## **ATSI Resources:**

For additional ATSI resources, please see the following CDE links:

- ATSI Planning Requirements (see Planning Requirements tab): <u>https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/sw/t1/tsi.asp</u>
- ATSI Planning and Support Webinar: <u>https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/sw/t1/documents/atsiplanningwebinar22.pdf</u>
- ATSI Planning Summary for Charters and Single-school Districts: <u>https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/sw/t1/atsiplansummary.asp</u>

# **Appendix C: Select State and Federal Programs**

For a list of active programs, please see the following links:

- Programs included on the ConApp: <u>https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/co/</u>
- ESSA Title I, Part A: School Improvement: https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/sw/t1/schoolsupport.asp
- Available Funding: <u>https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/fo/af/</u>

Updated by the California Department of Education, October 2023