

## School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) Template

Instructions and requirements for completing the SPSA template may be found in the SPSA Template Instructions.

| County-District-School <br> (CDS) Code | Schoolsite Council <br> (SSC) Approval Date | Local Board Approval <br> Date |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Los Altos High School | 4369094334116 | March 13, 2020 | March 30, 2020 |

## Stakeholder Involvement

How, when, and with whom did the school consult as part of the planning process for this SPSA/Annual Review and Update?

## Involvement Process for the SPSA and Annual Review and Update

The LAHS Site Council began the review process at its meeting in November 2019 and continued in January, February and March 2020. The Site Council reviewed the focus on the Item: Establish and uphold material resources, teaching practices, and institutional systems to ensure an EQUITABLE experience for all students. In the previous SPSA, the Site Council chose to focus on Goal \#1 "Continue to expand and improve the resources provided to address the achievement gap, such as co-teaching, peer tutors, AVID, the Academy, Skills courses, English Learner support and Special Education offerings" and Goal \#7 "Course teams make more intentional decisions about their assessment and grading policies, especially those that may disproportionately and negatively impact underrepresented students. This includes our understanding of gradebook math like the use of a zero score and weighted categories, and the effects of retake policies as its focus," given our collective interests, roles and capabilities. The Site Council developed a plan to gather and review data related to both of these goals.

The timeline for SPSA development has been modified to align to the LCAP Annual Review process.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Student Enrollment <br> Enrollment By Student Group

| Student Enrollment by Subgroup |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student Group | Percent of Enrollment |  |  | Number of Students |  |  |
|  | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 |
| American Indian | 0.1\% | 0.09\% | 0.13\% | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| African American | 1.2\% | 0.90\% | 0.72\% | 25 | 20 | 16 |
| Asian | 23.0\% | 24.31\% | 25.28\% | 481 | 543 | 563 |
| Filipino | 1.5\% | 1.57\% | 1.17\% | 32 | 35 | 26 |
| Hispanic/Latino | 28.1\% | 27.31\% | 26.49\% | 587 | 610 | 590 |
| Pacific Islander | 0.2\% | 0.27\% | 0.31\% | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| White | 40.9\% | 39.39\% | 38.44\% | 854 | 880 | 856 |
| Multiple/No Response | 0.1\% | \% | \% | 1 |  |  |
|  | Total Enrollment |  |  | 2,090 | 2234 | 2,227 |

## Student Enrollment

 Enrollment By Grade Level| Grade |  |  | Number of Students |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ |  |  |
|  | 553 | 604 | 572 |  |  |
| Grade 9 | 538 | 558 | 579 |  |  |
| Grade 10 | 554 | 539 | 551 |  |  |
| Grade 11 | 443 | 532 | 525 |  |  |
| Grade 12 | 2,090 | 2,234 | 2,227 |  |  |
| Total Enrollment |  |  |  |  |  |

Conclusions based on this data:

1. Enrollment has been increasing as predicted over the last three years, and we are at the highest level ever. The increase in enrollment by ethnicity is primarily in the number of students of Asian ancestry enrolled.

## School and Student Performance Data

Student Enrollment
English Learner (EL) Enrollment

| English Learner (EL) Enrollment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student Group |  | Number of Students |  |  | Percent of Students |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ |  |
| English Learners | 133 | 101 | 81 | $6.4 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ | $3.6 \%$ |  |
| Fluent English Proficient (FEP) | 833 | 956 | 976 | $39.9 \%$ | $42.8 \%$ | $43.8 \%$ |  |
| Reclassified Fluent English Proficient | 33 | 36 | 26 | $24.6 \%$ | $27.1 \%$ | $25.7 \%$ |  |

Conclusions based on this data:

1. The percentage of students who are English Learners has decreased slightly.
2. The percentage of students who are Fluent English Proficient has increased slightly.
3. The percentage of students reclassified as English Proficient has decreased slightly.

## School and Student Performance Data

## CAASPP Results <br> English Language Arts/Literacy (All Students)

| Overall Participation for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \# of Students Enrolled |  |  | \# of Students Tested |  |  | \# of Students with |  |  | \% of Enrolled Students |  |  |
|  | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 |
| Grade 11 | 539 | 524 | 539 | 502 | 473 | 510 | 499 | 473 | 510 | 93.1 | 90.3 | 94.6 |
| All Grades | 539 | 524 | 539 | 502 | 473 | 510 | 499 | 473 | 510 | 93.1 | 90.3 | 94.6 |

*The "\% of Enrolled Students Tested" showing in this table is not the same as "Participation Rate" for federal accountability

| Overall Achievement for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | Mean Scale Score |  |  | \% Standard |  |  | \% Standard Met |  |  | \% Standard Nearly |  |  | \% Standard Not |  |  |
|  | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 |
| Grade 11 | 2688. | 2678. | 2687. | 61.12 | 57.93 | 60.78 | 20.64 | 23.89 | 24.12 | 12.42 | 10.15 | 8.82 | 5.81 | 8.03 | 6.27 |
| All Grades | N/A | N/A | N/A | 61.12 | 57.93 | 60.78 | 20.64 | 23.89 | 24.12 | 12.42 | 10.15 | 8.82 | 5.81 | 8.03 | 6.27 |


| Reading <br> Demonstrating understanding of literary and non-fictional texts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  |  | \% At or Near Standard |  |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |
|  | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 |
| Grade 11 | 68.48 | 58.77 | 62.55 | 23.84 | 31.08 | 27.25 | 7.68 | 10.15 | 10.20 |
| All Grades | 68.48 | 58.77 | 62.55 | 23.84 | 31.08 | 27.25 | 7.68 | 10.15 | 10.20 |


| Writing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Praducing clear and purposeful writing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Grade Level |  | \% Above Standard |  | \% At or Near Standard |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| Grade 11 | 67.27 | 67.44 | 68.04 | 25.70 | 24.31 | 24.90 | 7.03 | 8.25 | 7.06 |
| All Grades | 67.27 | 67.44 | 68.04 | 25.70 | 24.31 | 24.90 | 7.03 | 8.25 | 7.06 |


| Listening |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gemonstrating effective communication skills |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \% Above Standard |  | \% At or Near Standard |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| Grade 11 | 51.70 | 44.40 | 50.39 | 41.48 | 48.41 | 44.90 | 6.81 | 7.19 | 4.71 |
| All Grades | 51.70 | 44.40 | 50.39 | 41.48 | 48.41 | 44.90 | 6.81 | 7.19 | 4.71 |


| Research/Inquiry <br> Investigating, analyzing, and presenting information |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  |  | \% At or Near Standard |  |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |
|  | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 |
| Grade 11 | 62.42 | 59.62 | 58.82 | 30.71 | 31.50 | 34.71 | 6.87 | 8.88 | 6.47 |
| All Grades | 62.42 | 59.62 | 58.82 | 30.71 | 31.50 | 34.71 | 6.87 | 8.88 | 6.47 |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. The participation rate increased over the previous year.
2. The percentage of students performing at or above standard in ELA overall has increased.
3. The percentage of students performing below standard in ELA overall has decreased. The Resarch/Inquiry standard showed only slight improvement as compared to the other standards. There was a slight increase in the number of students not meeting the standard in Reading.

## School and Student Performance Data

## CAASPP Results <br> Mathematics (All Students)

| Overall Participation for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \# of Students Enrolled |  |  | \# of Students Tested |  |  | \# of Students with |  |  | \% of Enrolled Students |  |  |
|  | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 |
| Grade 11 | 539 | 524 | 539 | 500 | 471 | 507 | 500 | 471 | 507 | 92.8 | 89.9 | 94.1 |
| All Grades | 539 | 524 | 539 | 500 | 471 | 507 | 500 | 471 | 507 | 92.8 | 89.9 | 94.1 |

*The "\% of Enrolled Students Tested" showing in this table is not the same as "Participation Rate" for federal accountability purposes.

| Overall Achievement for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | Mean Scale Score |  |  | \% Standard |  |  | \% Standard Met |  |  | \% Standard Nearly |  |  | \% Standard Not |  |  |
|  | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 |
| Grade 11 | 2685. | 2689. | 2696. | 48.00 | 48.20 | 51.28 | 21.60 | 22.29 | 19.33 | 12.60 | 14.23 | 14.40 | 17.80 | 15.29 | 14.99 |
| All Grades | N/A | N/A | N/A | 48.00 | 48.20 | 51.28 | 21.60 | 22.29 | 19.33 | 12.60 | 14.23 | 14.40 | 17.80 | 15.29 | 14.99 |


| Concepts \& Procedures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Applying mathematical concepts and procedures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Grade Level |  | \% Above Standard |  | \% At or Near Standard |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| Grade 11 | 59.64 | 62.34 | 60.75 | 20.08 | 18.72 | 19.33 | 20.28 | 18.94 | 19.92 |
| All Grades | 59.64 | 62.34 | 60.75 | 20.08 | 18.72 | 19.33 | 20.28 | 18.94 | 19.92 |

Problem Solving \& Modeling/Data Analysis Using appropriate tools and strategies to solve real world and mathematical problems

| Grade Level |  | \% Above Standard |  | \% At or Near Standard |  |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| Grade 11 | 52.02 | 51.91 | 53.45 | 31.05 | 31.91 | 32.15 | 16.94 | 16.17 | $\mathbf{1 4 . 4 0}$ |
| All Grades | 52.02 | 51.91 | 53.45 | 31.05 | 31.91 | 32.15 | 16.94 | 16.17 | 14.40 |


| Communicating Reasoning <br> Demonstrating ability to support mathematical conclusions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  |  | \% At or Near Standard |  |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |
|  | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 |
| Grade 11 | 50.30 | 50.74 | 54.44 | 36.87 | 38.85 | 35.11 | 12.83 | 10.40 | 10.45 |
| All Grades | 50.30 | 50.74 | 54.44 | 36.87 | 38.85 | 35.11 | 12.83 | 10.40 | 10.45 |

Conclusions based on this data:

1. The percentage of students participating in the Math assessments increased over the previous year.
2. The percentage of students scoring Above or At Standard overall in Math increased over the previous year.
3. The percentage of students scoring below standard in the category of Communicating Reasoning remained essentially the same and the percentage scoring below standard in the category Concepts and Procedures increased slightly.

## School and Student Performance Data

## ELPAC Results

| ELPAC Summative Assessment Data <br> Number of Students and Mean Scale Scores for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | Overall |  | Oral Language |  | Written Language |  | Number of Students Tested |  |
|  | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 |
| Grade 9 | 1619.6 | 1575.7 | 1632.7 | 1579.7 | 1606.1 | 1571.2 | 18 | 18 |
| Grade 10 | 1591.8 | * | 1588.3 | * | 1594.8 | * | 18 | 7 |
| Grade 11 | 1595.3 | 1579.1 | 1590.9 | 1598.9 | 1599.1 | 1558.5 | 26 | 11 |
| Grade 12 | 1592.6 | 1558.8 | 1599.3 | 1560.7 | 1585.4 | 1556.3 | 15 | 14 |
| All Grades |  |  |  |  |  |  | 77 | 50 |


| Overall Language <br> Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | Level 4 |  | Level 3 |  | Level 2 |  | Level 1 |  | Total Number of Students |  |
|  | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 |
| 9 | 77.78 | 27.78 | * | 38.89 | * | 22.22 |  | 11.11 | 18 | 18 |
| 10 | * | * | * | * | * | * |  | * | 18 | * |
| 11 | 53.85 | 18.18 | * | 54.55 | * | 9.09 | * | 18.18 | 26 | 11 |
| 12 | * | 21.43 | * | 21.43 | * | 28.57 |  | 28.57 | 15 | 14 |
| All Grades | 55.84 | 28.00 | 31.17 | 34.00 | * | 22.00 | * | 16.00 | 77 | 50 |


| Oral Language <br> Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Level 4 |  | Level 3 |  | Level 2 |  | Level 1 |  | Total Number of Students |  |
|  | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 |
| 9 | 88.89 | 44.44 | * | 27.78 |  | 27.78 |  | 0.00 | 18 | 18 |
| 10 | 66.67 | * | * | * | * | * |  | * | 18 | * |
| 11 | 73.08 | 27.27 | * | 45.45 | * | 27.27 |  | 0.00 | 26 | 11 |
| 12 | 80.00 | 28.57 | * | 35.71 | * | 35.71 |  | 0.00 | 15 | 14 |
| All Grades | 76.62 | 38.00 | 18.18 | 34.00 | * | 28.00 |  | 0.00 | 77 | 50 |


| Written Language <br> Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Level 4 |  | Level 3 |  | Level 2 |  | Level 1 |  | Total Number of Students |  |
|  | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 |
| 9 | * | 16.67 | * | 33.33 | * | 38.89 | * | 11.11 | 18 | 18 |
| 10 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 18 | * |
| 11 | * | 0.00 | 46.15 | 18.18 | * | 54.55 | * | 27.27 | 26 | 11 |
| 12 | * | 14.29 | * | 21.43 | * | 28.57 | * | 35.71 | 15 | 14 |
| All Grades | 22.08 | 14.00 | 50.65 | 26.00 | 14.29 | 38.00 | * | 22.00 | 77 | 50 |


| Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Speaking Domain
Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students

| Grade <br> Level | Well Developed |  | Somewhat/Moderately |  | Beginning |  | Total Number <br> of Students |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | 100.00 | 83.33 |  | 11.11 |  | 5.56 | 18 | 18 |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 94.44 | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |  | $*$ | 18 | $*$ |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | 96.15 | 81.82 | $*$ | 18.18 |  | 0.00 | 26 | 11 |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | 93.33 | 92.86 | $*$ | 7.14 |  | 0.00 | 15 | 14 |
| All Grades | 96.10 | 88.00 | $*$ | 10.00 |  | 2.00 | 77 | 50 |

Reading Domain
Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students

| Grade <br> Level | Well Developed |  | Somewhat/Moderately |  | Beginning |  | Total Number <br> of Students |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | $*$ | 16.67 | $*$ | 55.56 | $*$ | 27.78 | 18 | 18 |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | 18 | $*$ |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $*$ | 0.00 | 53.85 | 63.64 | $*$ | 36.36 | 26 | 11 |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $*$ | 14.29 | $*$ | 42.86 | $*$ | 42.86 | 15 | 14 |
| All Grades | 27.27 | 14.00 | 49.35 | 52.00 | 23.38 | 34.00 | 77 | 50 |


| Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. The number of students assessed for ELPAC levels is small and decreased over the previous year. Because of the small number of tested students, it is not possible to draw conclusions based on annual data. The performance of ELL students remains a focus of our school improvement efforts, however, based on our WASC Action Plan.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Student Population

This section provides information about the school's student population.

| 2018-19 Student Population |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Enrollment | Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | English Learners | Foster Youth |
| 2227 | 19.4 | 3.6 | This is the percent of students whose well-being is the responsibility of a court. |
| This is the total number of students enrolled. | This is the percent of students who are eligible for free or reduced priced meals; or have parents/guardians who did not receive a high school diploma. | This is the percent of students who are learning to communicate effectively in English, typically requiring instruction in both the English Language and in their academic courses. |  |


| 2018-19 Enrollment for All Students/Student Group |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Student Group | Total | Percentage |
| English Learners | 81 | 3.6 |
| Homeless | 26 | 1.2 |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 433 | 19.4 |
| Students with Disabilities | 250 | 11.2 |


| Enrollment by Race/Ethnicity |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Student Group | Total |
| African American | 16 | Percentage |
| American Indian | 3 | 0.7 |
| Asian | 563 | 0.1 |
| Filipino | 26 | 25.3 |
| Hispanic | 590 | 1.2 |
| Two or More Races | 166 | 26.5 |
| Pacific Islander | 7 | 7.5 |
| White | 856 | 0.3 |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. The diversity of race/ethnicity at LAHS has remained relatively stable. The largest increase over the past few years has been in students of Asian ancestry. The proportion of African American and White students has decreased over this same period.
2. The proportion of SED students has remained stable over the same period.
3. The proportion of EL students is small and has been stable over the same period.

## School and Student Performance Data

Overall Performance

## 2019 Fall Dashboard Overall Performance for All Students



| Conditions \& Climate |
| :---: |
| Suspension Rate |
| Orange |


| College/Career |
| :---: |
| Green |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. Overall, students are meeting the target in most indicators.
2. The suspension rate has not dropped over the last four years and is an area of concern and study. We are examining practices based on principles of Restorative Justice that can more effectively address behavior/discipline issues and also inequities in discipline incidents and policies related to ethnicity and other student populations.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Academic Performance <br> English Language Arts

The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:
Lowest
Performance


Green

Blue

Highest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each color.
2019 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Equity Report

| Red | Orange | Yellow | Green | Blue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 |

This section provides a view of Student Assessment Results and other aspects of this school's performance, specifically how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the English Language Arts assessment. This measure is based on student performance on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3-8 and grade 11 .

2019 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Performance for All Students/Student Group


| Students with Disabilities |
| :---: |
| $\frac{\uparrow}{\text { Yellow }}$ |
| 20 points below standard |
| Increased ++12.4 points |
| 49 |



This section provides a view of Student Assessment Results and other aspects of this school's performance, specifically how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the English Language Arts assessment. This measure is based on student performance on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3-8 and grade 11.

## 2019 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Data Comparisons for English Learners

| Current English Learner |
| :---: |
| Less than 11 Students - Data Not |
| Displayed for Privacy |
| 9 |


| Reclassified English Learners |
| :---: |
| 9.2 points below standard |
| Increased ++3.9 points |
| 38 |


| English Only |
| :---: |
| 132.7 points above standard |
| Increased ++14.5 points |
| 282 |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. Students with disabilities and English Learners are the population of greatest concern, as indicated by these performance results. The programs, resources and supports provided students with IEPs is a focus of our school irmprovement efforts, based on our WASC Action Plan. English Learners, and particulary long-term English Learners, are also a student population of concern and were identified as such in our WASC Action Plan.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Academic Performance

Mathematics
The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:
Lowest
Performance


Green

Blue

Highest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each color.
2019 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Equity Report

| Red | Orange | Yellow | Green | Blue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 |

This section provides a view of Student Assessment Results and other aspects of this school's performance, specifically how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the Mathematics assessment. This measure is based on student performance on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3-8 and grade 11.

2019 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Performance for All Students/Student Group

| All Students |
| :---: |
| 68 points above standard |
| Increased ++7.1 points |
| 506 |


| Homeless |
| :---: |
| No Performance Color |
| 98.4 points below standard |
| 14 |
|  |

Socioeconomically Disadvantaged

Green
44.1 points below standard
Increased ++13.7 points

| Students with Disabilities |
| :---: |
| Red |
| Declined Significantly -36.8 points |
| 47 |



This section provides a view of Student Assessment Results and other aspects of this school's performance, specifically how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the Mathematics assessment. This measure is based on student performance on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3-8 and grade 11.

## 2019 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Data Comparisons for English Learners

| Current English Learner | Reclassified English Learners | English Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than 11 Students - Data Not <br> Displayed for Privacy <br> 9 | 77.3 points below standard <br> Declined Significantly -15.9 points <br> 37 | 97.1 points above standard <br> Increased ++7.4 points <br> 281 |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. English learners and students with disabilities are the two subgroups of greatest concern in mathematics performance on these standards. Both of these student groups are the focus of our school improvement efforts, based on our WASC Action Plan.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Academic Performance <br> English Learner Progress

This section provides a view of the percentage of current EL students making progress towards English language proficiency or maintaining the highest level.

2019 Fall Dashboard English Learner Progress Indicator


This section provides a view of the percentage of current EL students who progressed at least one ELPI level, maintained ELPI level 4, maintained lower ELPI levels (i.e, levels 1, 2L, 2H, 3L, or 3H), or decreased at least one ELPI Level.

## 2019 Fall Dashboard Student English Language Acquisition Results

| Decreased <br> One ELPI Level | Maintained ELPI Level 1, <br> 2L, 2H, 3L, or 3H |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 | 15 | Maintained <br> ELPI Level 4 | Progressed At Least <br> One ELPI Level |
| 5 | 12 |  |  |

Conclusions based on this data:

1. EL students are expected to progress through the ELPI levels on an annual basis, until they reach level 4. The performance of 27 of these students does not meet expected standards. English Learners are a population of specific concern, based on our WASC Action Plan.

## School and Student Performance Data <br> Academic Performance <br> College/Career

The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:
Lowest
Performance


Green

Blue
Highest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each color.
2019 Fall Dashboard College/Career Equity Report

| Red | Orange | Yellow | Green | Blue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 |

This section provides information on the percentage of high school graduates who are placed in the "Prepared" level on the College/Career Indicator.

2019 Fall Dashboard College/Career for All Students/Student Group

| All Students |
| :---: |
| Green |
| 73.2 |
| Declined -2.8 |
| 522 |


| English Learners |
| :---: |
| Yellow |
| 27.3 |
| Increased +3.9 |
| 55 |



| Students with Disabilities |
| :---: |
| Orange <br> 20 <br> Maintained -0.3 <br> 80 |

2019 Fall Dashboard College/Career by Race/Ethnicity


| White |
| :---: |
| Green |
| 77.1 |
| Declined -5.6 |
| 223 |

This section provides a view of the percent of students per year that qualify as Not Prepared, Approaching Prepared, and Prepared.

## 2019 Fall Dashboard College/Career 3-Year Performance

| Class of 2017 |
| :---: |
| Prepared |
| Approaching Prepared |
| Not Prepared |


| Class of 2018 |
| :---: |
| 76 Prepared |
| 8.1 Approaching Prepared |
| 15.9 Not Prepared |


| Class of 2019 |
| :---: |
| 73.2 Prepared |
| 10.5 Approaching Prepared |
| 16.3 Not Prepared |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. English Learners and Socioeconomically Disadvantaged students remain our primary concern in this indicator. This metric includes multiple factors. Two specific areas of focus are performance on CAASPP testing and completion of A-G requirements with C or better grades for these populations.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Academic Engagement Graduation Rate

The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:
Lowest
Performance


Green

Blue

Highest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each color.

|  | 2019 Fall Dashboard Graduation Rate Equity Report |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Red | Orange | Yellow | Green |
| 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 |

This section provides information about students completing high school, which includes students who receive a standard high school diploma or complete their graduation requirements at an alternative school.

2019 Fall Dashboard Graduation Rate for All Students/Student Group

| All Students | English Learners | Foster Youth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\xrightarrow[\text { Blue }]{\infty}$ |  |  |
| 96.2 | 92.7 |  |
| Declined -1.7 | Declined -3 |  |
| 524 | 55 |  |
| Homeless | Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | Students with Disabilities |
| No Performance Color | $\frac{\sim}{\text { Yellow }}$ | Orange |
| 76.9 | 94.4 | 85.2 |
| Declined -15.9 | Declined -3.7 | Declined -8.5 |
| 13 | 143 | 81 |

## 2019 Fall Dashboard Graduation Rate by Race/Ethnicity



| Hispanic |
| :---: |
| Yellow |
| 94.2 |
| Declined -2.5 |
| 138 |


| Two or More Races |
| :---: |
| No Performance Color |
| 100 |
| Maintained 0 |
| 12 |



| White |
| :---: |
| Blue |
| 96.4 |
| Declined -1.2 |
| 224 |

This section provides a view of the percentage of students who received a high school diploma within four years of entering ninth grade or complete their graduation requirements at an alternative school.

## 2019 Fall Dashboard Graduation Rate by Year

| 2018 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 97.9 | 96.2 |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. The graduation rate for all students remains high and "declines" are not significant.
2. Hispanic and students with disabilities remain a primary concern in this category and are the focus of our WASC Action Plan. Latinx students who have IEPs and/or are ELLs are the students of primary concern in the measure of student achievement.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Conditions \& Climate

## Suspension Rate

The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:
Lowest
Performance


Green

Blue

Highest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each color.

|  | 2019 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate Equity Report |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Red | Orange | Yellow | Green |
| 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 |

This section provides information about the percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 12 who have been suspended at least once in a given school year. Students who are suspended multiple times are only counted once.

2019 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate for All Students/Student Group

| All Students |
| :---: |
| Orange |
| 2.3 |
| Increased +0.3 |
| 2279 |


| Homeless |
| :---: |
| Green |
| 2.4 |
| Declined -2.1 |
| 42 |


| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged |
| :---: |
| Green |
| 4.7 |
| Declined -0.4 |
| 507 |


| Students with Disabilities |
| :---: |
| Yellow |
| 7 |
| Declined -1.6 |
| 273 |


| African American |
| :---: |
| No Performance Color |
| 15.8 |
| Increased +15.8 |
| 19 |



| White |
| :---: |
| Yellow $^{1.1}$ |
| Increased +0.4 |
| 875 |

This section provides a view of the percentage of students who were suspended.

## 2019 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate by Year

| 2017 | 2018 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. The number of students suspended is small and last year was a small increase over the previous year. Efforts to apply other forms of discipline modeled in principles of Restorative Justice, when appropriate, are under District examination and there is specific concern about disproportionality as it relates to ethnic and disability populations.

## Goals, Strategies, \& Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

## LEA/LCAP Goal

Goal \#1: All students will receive high quality, 21st century instruction in Common Core and NGSS standards by highly qualified teachers. The instructional environment and overall school climate contribute to full attendance, positive behavior, and opportunities to meet individual academic, social, and emotional needs.

## Goal 1

From WASC Action Plan Item Equity: "Continue to expand and improve the resources provided to address the achievement gap, such as co-teaching, peer tutors, AVID, the Academy, Skills courses, English Learner support and Special Education offerings." For the purposes of the Single Plan, The Site Council will focus specifically on meeting the needs of students who would benefit from better access to and higher quality tutorial support from peers, teachers and/or other adult tutors.

## Identified Need

WASC Action Plan Goals focused on EQUITY are core Items for growth we have identified in our Action Plan for the next six years. The Site Council believes it can be most effective in focusing on Goal 1 because of our resources and roles as a diverse group representative of students, parents, teachers, administrators and other staff. We believe that access to and better structures for Tutorial Support would benefit a large cross section of students, especially those who are underachieving and/or come from underrepresented groups.

## Annual Measurable Outcomes

| Metric/Indicator | Baseline/Actual Outcome | Expected Outcome |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| The number of unique <br> individual students using <br> Tutorial support systems meets <br> the learning needs of all <br> students requiring the tutorial <br> services | Data from Tutorial attendance | Use of Tutorial Services will be <br> proportionate as measured by <br> ethnicity. |  |
| Number of students who are <br> designated by teachers as <br> needing Tutorial support and <br> number who actually use it. | Progress report - use Tutorial <br> Center referrals | Students referred for Tutorial <br> Services use those services <br> 100\% of the time. |  |
| Measure of classroom Tutors: <br> Skills, AVID, Writing Center | Focus on effectiveness | Satisfaction survey levels to be <br> determined. |  |
| Use of Tutorial Period | Focus on effective use | Satisfaction survey levels to be <br> determined. |  |
| Survey of students including <br> demographic factors of their <br> use of organized study groups. | Initial results create baseline. | 20\% increase in the use of <br> organized study groups by all <br> measured demographic groups <br> by the end of one year. |  |

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

## Strategy/Activity 1

## Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)
We expect to improve services for all students. Because of the history of unequal educational opportunity in our community, we want to take specific responsibility for the opportunities available to underrepresented students and students earning grades of "C" or below in College Preparatory and AP/Honors courses.

## Strategy/Activity

Open additional supervised spaces (such as the cafeteria) with longer hours available for use by students after school. When the new student services building is constructed, include spaces for this kind of use.

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)
5,000

Source(s)
General Fund

## Strategy/Activity 2

## Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)
We expect to improve services for all students. Because of the history of unequal educational opportunity in our community, we want to take specific responsibility for the opportunities available to underrepresented students and students earning grades of " $C$ " or below in College Preparatory and AP/Honors courses.

Strategy/Activity
More clearly differentiate and maintain expectations for the use of tutorial spaces: test taking; quiet individual study; group collaboration; social interaction.

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)
Source(s)

## Strategy/Activity 3

## Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)
We expect to improve services for all students. Because of the history of unequal educational opportunity in our community, we want to take specific responsibility for the opportunities available to underrepresented students and students earning grades of "C" or below in College Preparatory and AP/Honors courses.

Develop and communicate systems to foster effective collaborative study groups for all students to access outside of class hours.

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)
Source(s)

## Annual Review

## SPSA Year Reviewed: 2018-19

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required and this section may be deleted.

## ANALYSIS

Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.
Given that the WASC process was recently completed, the identified strategies will be implemented in the 2019/20 school year for review in the Spring of 2020 . We completed a survey of 250 student on the Tutorial services and are using that feedback data to plan for upgrades to the facilities and space in the Tutorial Center. We plan to develop a new layout and set of expectations for the Tutorial Center going forward that include staff and students in the next phase. Given the small number of responses on the survey on Tutorial Services, we need better data from students, especially our identified critical learners, and also staff, on how to understand the current benefits and challenges of our Tutorial programs and how to improve them. There are clear areas for growth in who accesses tutorial services (especially our identified critical learners) the academic benefits to all students using them, and their perception of the quality of the services they are receiving. There are also steps we need to take to address student and staff use of the weekly Tutorial periods, especially with the planned changes in the bell schedule in the future, that focuses on the learning needs of our identified critical learners.

Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.
This strategy has not yet been implemented with enough significant change to assess the progress we intend to make.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.
No changes. The strategy has not yet been implemented.

## Goals, Strategies, \& Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

## LEA/LCAP Goal

Goal \#1: All students will receive high quality, 21st century instruction in Common Core and NGSS standards by highly qualified teachers. The instructional environment and overall school climate contribute to full attendance, positive behavior, and opportunities to meet individual academic, social, and emotional needs.

## Goal 2

Ensure assessment standards and policies are equitable
From WASC Action Plan Item Equity: "Course teams make more intentional decisions about their assessment and grading policies, especially those that may disproportionately and negatively impact underrepresented students. This includes our understanding of gradebook math like the use of a zero score and weighted categories, and the effects of retake policies." For the Purposes of this Single Plan, the Site Council will focus on providing input to teaching staff and course teams on the student and family perspective on inequitable effects of grading policies from their points of view, using data shared with the Site Council as the basis of this feedback.

## Identified Need

WASC Action Plan Goals focused on EQUITY are core Items for growth we have identified in our Action Plan for the next six years. The Site Council believes it can be most effective in focusing on Goal 7 because of our resources and roles as a diverse group representative of students, parents, teachers, administrators and other staff. Providing feedback to staff about the effects of assessment standards and policies will support staff in meeting this important goal. Data on course team and department grading policies has been gathered and reviewed by the Site Council, and guiding questions have been developed by the Site Council for review by the Leadership Team, Departments and Course Teams. The purpose to review is to analyze potential negative equity effects of current grading policies.

## Annual Measurable Outcomes

Metric/Indicator
Research data and survey information to share with teachers.
Consistency of retake policies at the department and course team level.

Students understand these policies and are able to make good decisions to make use of them.

Baseline/Actual Outcome
Gather data on current policies

Status based on current data

Status based on current data

## Expected Outcome

Share with teachers

Course Teams/Departments move toward more aligned and consistent use of retake policies between course teams in a department.
All courses at the same level (college prep/Honors/AP) within a department have aligned and consistent retake policies by the start of the 2020-2021 school year.

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

## Strategy/Activity 1 <br> Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity <br> (Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

We expect to improve/create greater equity in learning opportunities and grading. Because of the history of unequal educational opportunity in our community, we want to take specific responsibility for the opportunities available to underrepresented students and students earning grades of "C" or below in College Preparatory and AP/Honors courses.

Strategy/Activity
Provide input to Course Teams and Departments, using surveys and other research-based data, on the student perspective on grading policies and their effects on student learning, wellness and equity. Support collaboration time for Course Teams

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)
5,000

Source(s)
Title II Part A: Improving Teacher Quality

## Annual Review

SPSA Year Reviewed: 2018-19

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required and this section may be deleted.

## ANALYSIS

Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.
Given that the WASC process was recently completed, the identified strategies will be implemented in the 2019/20 school year for review in the Spring of 2020.

Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.
Data on all course team and department policies on homework, as well as student perception of the purpose and effectiveness of homework, as well as homework policies and their affect on student stress was gathered in March and will be organized and shared with course teams and departments. Course teams and departments will be given the opportunity for a structured discussion of this survey feedback as they plan for their policies next year, particularly given the likely change in the bell schedule to four block periods per week.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.
No changes.

## Budget Summary

Complete the table below. Schools may include additional information. Adjust the table as needed. The Budget Summary is required for schools funded through the ConApp, and/or that receive funds from the LEA for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI).

## Budget Summary

Description
Total Funds Provided to the School Through the Consolidated Application
Total Federal Funds Provided to the School from the LEA for CSI
Total Funds Budgeted for Strategies to Meet the Goals in the SPSA
Other Federal, State, and Local Funds
List the additional Federal programs that the school is including in the schoolwide program. Adjust the table as needed. If
the school is not operating a Title I schoolwide program this section is not applicable and may be deleted.

## Federal Programs

Title II Part A: Improving Teacher Quality

## Allocation (\$)

\$5,000.00

Subtotal of additional federal funds included for this school: \$5,000.00
List the State and local programs that the school is including in the schoolwide program. Duplicate the table as needed.

State or Local Programs
General Fund

Allocation (\$)
$\$ 5,000.00$

Subtotal of state or local funds included for this school: \$5,000.00
Total of federal, state, and/or local funds for this school: \$10,000.00

## School Site Council Membership

California Education Code describes the required composition of the School Site Council (SSC). The SSC shall be composed of the principal and representatives of: teachers selected by teachers at the school; other school personnel selected by other school personnel at the school; parents of pupils attending the school selected by such parents; and, in secondary schools, pupils selected by pupils attending the school. The current make-up of the SSC is as follows:

## 1 School Principal

1 Classroom Teachers
2 Other School Staff
6 Parent or Community Members
10 Secondary Students

| Name of Members | Principal |
| :--- | :--- |
| Wynne Satterwhite | Other School Staff |
| Galen Rosenberg | Other School Staff |
| Elena Baquero | Secondary Student |
| Isabel Van Campen | Parent or Community Member |
| Tzipor Ulman | Classroom Teacher |
| Darren Dressen | Secondary Student |
| Isidro Zuniga Vazquez | Secondary Student |
| Mahita Bobba | Secondary Student |
| Maribel Barajas Community Member |  |
| Michelle Mejia | Secondary Student |
| Pedro Ruiz | Secondary Student |
| Ricardo Lopez | Secondary Student |
| Robert Crissman | Parent or Community Member |
| Chris Mesel | Secondary Student |
| Mika Ng | Parent or Community Member |
| Paula Perez | Secondary Student |
| Rebecca Pan | Parent or Community Member |
| Blanca Rocha-Limon | Secondary Student |
| Serena Gaylord | Parer |
| Amanda Boschken |  |

At elementary schools, the school site council must be constituted to ensure parity between (a) the principal, classroom teachers, and other school personnel, and (b) parents of students attending the school or other community members. Classroom teachers must comprise a majority of persons represented under section (a). At secondary schools there must be, in addition, equal numbers of parents or other community members selected by parents, and students. Members must be selected by their peer group.

## Recommendations and Assurances

The School Site Council (SSC) recommends this school plan and proposed expenditures to the district governing board for approval and assures the board of the following:

The SSC is correctly constituted and was formed in accordance with district governing board policy and state law.
The SSC reviewed its responsibilities under state law and district governing board policies, including those board policies relating to material changes in the School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) requiring board approval.

The SSC sought and considered all recommendations from the following groups or committees before adopting this plan:
Signature
Committee or Advisory Group Name
Gifted and Talented Education Program Advisory Committee
Departmental Advisory Committee
Other: Student Advisory Committee (ASB)
The SSC reviewed the content requirements for school plans of programs included in this SPSA and believes all such content requirements have been met, including those found in district governing board policies and in the local educational agency plan.

This SPSA is based on a thorough analysis of student academic performance. The actions proposed herein form a sound, comprehensive, coordinated plan to reach stated school goals to improve student academic performance.

This SPSA was adopted by the SSC at a public meeting on .

## Attested:

Principal, Wynne Satterwhite on
SSC Chairperson, Galen Rosenberg on

